

proposed local development plan environmental report 2016 appendix 5

musselburgh area

SITE AND STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS



Contents

Introduction3
Background3
Purpose of this Document
Introduction to the Site Assessment Process
How Sites were Assessed4
Musselburgh Area: Environmental Characteristics12
Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna13
Population14
Health15
Soil
Water
Cultural Heritage18
Landscape19
Air20
Climatic Factors
Material Assets21
Site Assessments and SEA21
Detailed Site Assessments – Musselburgh Catchment22

List of Figures

Figure 1: Musselburgh Area	12
Figure 2: Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna Characteristics	13
Figure 3: Population Characteristics	14
Figure 4: Health Characteristics	15
Figure 5: Soil Characteristics	16
Figure 6: Water Characteristics	17
Figure 7: Cultural Heritage Characteristics	18
Figure 8: Landscape Characteristics	19
Figure 9: Air and Climatic Factors	20
Figure 10: Sites in Musselburgh area assessed for Main Issues Report	21

Introduction

Background

- 1. The Main Issues Report (MIR) is the first formal stage of preparing the Local Development Plan (LDP). The MIR is a consultation document, setting out the planning authority's big ideas for the future development of the area in terms of development strategy and policies and proposals. The MIR shows general proposals for development of the area including where it should and should not occur. It sets out preferred development sites and any reasonable alternatives, if they exist.
- 2. The Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005 requires 'Strategic Environmental Assessment' of LDPs. This means that the Main Issues Report needs to be subject to SEA, and an Interim Environmental Report is required to be published alongside the MIR. The SEA is required to predict and evaluate the likely significant effects on the environment of implementing the preferred and alternative approaches set out in the MIR. It needs to describe measures envisaged to prevent, reduce and as far as possible offset any significant adverse effects (mitigation). The MIR is to be site specific, and so the same is true of the SEA.

Purpose of this Document

- 3. This document provides an objective assessment of the planning merits and strategic environmental assessment of potential development sites submitted for consideration as part of the LDP process.
- 4. The document provides an overview of the key environmental characteristics of the Musselburgh area and highlights key sensitivities of the area and environmental features that could be affected, positively or negatively, by any future development. The site assessment methodology is fully explained below, and the result of each site assessment is included in this report.
- 5. The intention of this work is to show the background information considered when selecting preferred and alternative development sites for the MIR. It also allows people to take an informed view on if they agree or disagree with the preferred sites. The document also fulfils Strategic Environmental Assessment requirements by considering the potential environmental effects of all the individual sites. The cumulative impact of preferred and alternative sites is assessed through consideration of each strategy option overall as set out in the IER.

Introduction to the Site Assessment Process

6. In early 2012 East Lothian Council commenced public engagement on the preparation of its Local Development Plan. It did this with a series of community events as well as a 'call for sites' exercise. Members of the public, landowners, agents and any other interested parties

were invited to suggest potential development sites to be considered at MIR stage. Responders filled in a 'Development Options Appraisal Form' to provide information about the potential site, its proposed land use, and any potential impacts on the environment that could arise from its development.

- 7. Almost 100 site submissions were received in response to the initial call for sites which concluded in March 2012; however, submissions were accepted until the final deadline of 14th April 2013. In addition, a number of additional sites have been considered as part of the assessment including those put forward for the SESPlan Main Issues Report. The vast majority of site submissions promote housing development, however there are a small number of site submissions for business land, mixed use development, mineral extraction and for nature conservation/open space.
- 8. To help inform the MIR a comprehensive assessment of all sites has been carried out. All sites were assessed in the same way, using an assessment form that was designed to cover relevant planning and environmental consideration to assess how suitable a site may be for development. Importantly, key SEA questions were incorporated into the site assessment form. This means that sites being assessed for the purposes of the MIR were also subject to SEA at the same time.

How Sites were Assessed

- 9. A site assessment template was prepared to ensure that all sites could be assessed in a consistent way, and to allow the relative merits and drawbacks of different sites to be easily compared. The Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) was integrated into this assessment form. This was to allow a full assessment of the site including relevant planning and environmental matters.
- 10. To populate the assessment form, information on each site was gathered from a range of sources including site visits and desk based assessment. Information sources include Geographical Information Systems (GIS), information provided as part of site submissions by agents/landowners, consultation with specialist Council officers and site specific comments provided by the Consultation Authorities, including the Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA), Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH), and Historic Scotland.
- 11. The site assessment forms comprises of three main sections, which include:
 - Site information
 - Site suitability and deliverability
 - Potential impacts of the site's development (Strategic Environmental Assessment)

Site Information

12. This section of the form contains basic site information including its address, its allocated reference number, the source of the site submission (e.g. landowner, agent, house builder etc), the site size, its current and proposed land use, a summary description of the key characteristics of the site and its planning history. A map showing the boundary of the site and an aerial photograph is also included to provide context. This is to serve as an introduction for the more detailed assessment.

Suitability and Deliverability of Site

- 13. This section of the form considers whether the site is suitable for its proposed use, and if it can be developed i.e. a judgement based on the likelihood of the site being developed during the lifetime of the Local Development Plan.
- 14. To inform this judgement the assessment form includes factors such as the location and accessibility of the site, its physical characteristics (e.g. exposure and aspect), if it conforms to higher level plans (e.g. SESplan), whether infrastructure capacity is or can be made available to service the site, and if it is effective i.e. if no constraints exist or if they could be overcome to enable development in the LDP period. Relevant constraints are explained in the Scottish Government's *Planning Advice Note (PAN) 2/2010: Affordable Housing and Housing Land Audits* and include ownership, physical, contamination, deficit funding, marketability, infrastructure, and land use.
- 15. The table below shows the range of assessment topics which feature in the suitability and deliverability section of the site assessment. Each topic has associated assessment questions, which were used to help assess the planning merits of each site. Each site was rated on the basis of a good, poor or reasonable relationship with the aim of the assessment question posed for each assessment topic.
- 16. To ensure consistency in the assessment, a range of possible answers to the assessment questions were set to help categorise findings. A traffic light colour coding system was then used to illustrate how each site rated under each topic i.e. if the site has a good (green), poor (red) or reasonable (amber) relationship with the aim of the assessment question. This colour coding system allows for a clear visual comparison of the relative merits of the sites across the different assessment topics.

SUITABILITY AND DELIVERABILITY OF SITE		
Торіс	Assessment Questions	Rating
Location	 Is the site well related to an existing settlement? 	Outside an existing settlement boundary and not well related. Outside an existing settlement boundary but well related. Within an existing settlement boundary.
Accessibility	• Is the site accessible by public transport?	The site does not meet any of the
	• Are a range of facilities and services available	thresholds for accessibility listed in PAN

	 within walking distance? (Based on thresholds in Annex B of PAN 75: 400m to a bus service 800m to a rail station Maximum threshold of 1600m to local facilities (Distances based on walking routes from the centre of the site). 	75. The site meets some of the accessibility thresholds in PAN 75. The site meets all the accessibility thresholds in PAN 75.
Exposure	• Does the site have shelter from northerly winds through topography or vegetation?	Little shelter from northerly winds. Some shelter from northerly winds. Good shelter from northerly winds.
Aspect	Which direction is the site facing?	North facing. East or west facing. South-west, south or south-east facing.
Suitability for Proposed Use	 Is the site generally suitable for the proposed use? Are there any significant or potential conflicts with adjoining land uses, for example which may result in air quality or noise impacts for either existing or proposed use(s)? 	The site is unsuitable for the proposed use and/or there would be major conflicts with surrounding land uses. The site is generally suitable for the proposed use and any potential conflicts could be mitigated against. The site is suitable for the proposed use and there would be no potential conflicts with adjoining land uses.
Fit with strategic policy objectives and direction	 Is the site within the strategic development area as identified in the SDP? Would the development of the site align with the strategic objective of the SDP to steer new development to the most sustainable locations? 	The site is outwith the Strategic Development Area identified in the SDP and does not align with the strategic policy objective. The site is outwith the SDA, or it does not align well with the strategic policy objective. The site is within the Strategic Development Area identified in the Proposed SDP and aligns well with the strategic policy objective.
Physical infrastructure capacity	• Does sufficient physical infrastructure capacity exist/ can it be made available to accommodate the development? Including transport, clean water, waste water, etc.	Significant constraints, which are unlikely to be able to be overcome to make the site deliverable. Some constraints which can be overcome to make the site deliverable. No constraints.
Service infrastructure capacity	 Does sufficient service infrastructure capacity exist/ can it be made available to accommodate the development? Including education, health and emergency services (where known) etc. 	Significant constraints, which are unlikely to be able to be overcome to make the site deliverable. Some constraints which can be overcome to make the site deliverable. No constraints.
Deliverability/ Effectiveness	 When would the site be likely to come forward? Is the site / can the site be made 'effective' – i.e. are any of the following constraints present? Ownership (is the owner known? Expressed interest in developing site?), Physical, Contamination, Deficit funding; Marketability, Infrastructure, Land use 	Significant constraints – site is not effective. Moderate constraints – site can be made effective. Few/no constraints – site is effective.

Potential Environmental Impacts of Development: Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)

- 17. The East Lothian Local Development Plan is subject to Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) under the Environmental Assessment Act (Scotland) 2005. For a detailed explanation of the SEA process, and the SEA assessment of the overall spatial strategy and policy approaches set out in the MIR, please refer to the 'Strategic Environmental Assessment: Interim Environmental Report' which has also been published alongside the Main Issues Report.
- 18. The role of the SEA is also to predict (identify and describe) and to make a judgment on the significance of the environmental effects of developing sites that may be allocated by the LDP. Any potential impacts are to be identified and their significance assessed. The SEA should also outline any measures that could prevent, reduce or offset any significant harmful effects on the environment of developing a potential development site. The SEA of sites took the form of a series of assessment questions that were included in the site assessment form. These questions relate to the SEA objectives for the Local Development Plan which have been identified as follows:

SEA TOPIC	SEA OBJECTIVE
Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna	Conserve or enhance biodiversity, flora and fauna.
Population	Maintain or enhance the quality of life for East Lothian's residents.
Human Health	Maintain, or provide opportunities to improve, human health.
Water	Maintain or enhance the water environment and reduce flood risk.
Soil	Conserve or enhance soil quality, quantity and function.
Air	Maintain or enhance air quality.
Climatic Factors	Contribute to reducing GHG emissions and energy consumption or adapting to the effects of climate change.
Material Assets	Manage, maintain or promote the efficient, effective or appropriate use of material assets.
Cultural Heritage	Preserve or, where appropriate, enhance East Lothian's historic environment.
Landscape	Conserve or enhance the character and appearance of settlements and the landscape.

- 19. The SEA site assessment questions were used as prompts to predict the effects of developing each site on the relevant SEA objectives, and to evaluate if those effects would be significantly positive or negative. The SEA considers a range of effects including permanent, temporary, short, medium and long term, and cumulative effects i.e. those that may arise from the combination of the development of a number of development sites. The SEA of sites also identifies where measures would be required to mitigate any negative impacts identified.
- 20. The Scottish Government's SEA Guidance (August 2013) states that it should not be assumed that mitigation measures would be implemented if they are only discussed in broad terms in the Environmental Report. Where the need for mitigation has been

highlighted for any potential development site this is identified in the site assessments. However, it has been assumed that mitigation measures which relate to the detail of development proposals (rather than the overall principle of development on the site) would be secured once the plan is in operation – i.e. they would be secured through the suite of policies that will be included in the LDP once approved. These policies will also be subject to SEA and this will ensure that any negative environmental impacts can be managed at planning application stage.

- 21. For example, if development on a site is considered acceptable in principle, but there is the potential for development to affect the setting of a listed building if not sensitively designed and laid out, the SEA assumes that at planning application stage relevant listed building and design policies in the LDP will ensure that such an effect would be avoided; otherwise the proposal would be contrary to the policies of the plan and should not be permitted.
- 22. The table below shows the questions that were applied to each potential development site, under each of the SEA topic areas. It includes a key to the scoring and colour coding system. The environmental effects are expressed as Very Positive (++), Positive (+), Neutral (o), Negative (-), Very Negative (--) or Uncertain (?). These questions form part of the overall planning assessment of each site and the colour coding approach used in the previous section of the form is also applied.

	ACTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA	Planning Assessment	SEA score
Topic Biodiversity , Flora and Fauna	 Questions Is the site outwith an area designated for its: International National, or Local nature conservation interest? Is the site's development for the use proposed likely to have an adverse impact on the qualifying interest of such a site? Would the proposed development provide any significant opportunity to maintain or enhance wider habitat connectivity? Would the proposed development maintain or minimise the loss of protected trees or woodland important for its type, extent or landscape significance, and where relevant offer the opportunity for replacement planting and in all circumstances opportunities for an increase of such planting? Does the site contain any notable species? 	Planning Assessment Likely loss or disturbance of significant wildlife habitat or species. Some loss or disturbance of wildlife habitat or species. No loss or disturbance of wildlife habitat or species. Note: Place a * in the box where there may be connectivity with a European site and the site should be screened in to the Habitats Regulations Assessment process at this stage.	SEA score ++ Very Positive + Positive 0 Neutral - Negative Very Negative ? Uncertain
Population	1. Would the site's development for	The site's development may	++ Very Positive

Water1.Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flood prime agricultural land/rare soils.+ Positive o Neutral o Neutral o NeutralWater1.Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flooding elsewhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?The site's development would result in a significant is it ar isk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional significant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment.+ Very Negative o Neutral o Neutral vould result in a significant o Neutral o Neutra			the proposed use contribute to the	result in adverse impacts for	+ Positive
2. In the case of housing, would the site's development promote the provision of affordable housing within an area of particular affordable housing need? population. - Very Negative 3. Does the site have reasonable accessible outcation and community facilities, and employment? population. - Very Negative Human 1. Is the site known to be contaminated and, ifso, does the proposal provide the opportunity to mitigate this? The site's development is would result in benefits for the existing/future local population. + Very Positive Human 1. Is the site known to be contaminated and, ifso, does the proposal provide the opportunity to mitigate this? The site's development of the site maintain or enhance levels of noise? - Very Negative 3. Would the development of the site maintain or enhance levels of moise? Would che development of the site maintain or enhance levels of moise? - Very Negative - Very Negative 5. Is it likely that the development of the site maintain or enhance levels of moise? The site's development is on likely to have adverse mitigating arguity problems? + Very Positive Soil 1. Would the site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land /rare soils/carbon rich soils. + Very Positive + Positive Soils 1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is at risk of flooding from other sources? - Very Negative - Very Negative Soils 1. Is the site within a functional flood				_	
Soil site's development promote the provision of affordable housing within an area of particular affordable housing need? The site's development wilkely to result in any benefits for the existing/future local population. ? Uncertain Human Health 3. Does the known to be contaminated and, if so, does the proposal provide the opportunity to mitigate this? The site's development is the site site reasonable accessible to existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network? + Very Positive 1. Is the site in the case of a housing proposal is the site reasonable accessible to existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network? The site's development is not likely to have adverse impacts. + Very Positive 2. In the case of a housing proposal is the site reasonable accessible to existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network? The site's development of the site marcest on human health. and/or may have positive impacts. + Very Positive 5. Is it likely tha site of evelopment of the site will maintain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems? The site's development would result in a significant loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils. The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils. + Very Positive + Positive o Neutral Water 1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it a trisk of flooding from other sources? The site's in a functional flooding is exhere? + Very Positive + Positive o Neutral Water ilkely to increase the risk of flooding is exhere? 1. Is the site's devel					_
provision of affordable housing within an area of particular affordable housing need? unlikely to result in any benefits for the existing/future local population. 3. Does the site house provide access by active travel or public transport modes to a town centre, education and community facilities, and employment? unlikely to result in any benefits for the existing/future local population. Human Health 1. Is the site known to be contaminated and, if so, does the proposal provide the opportunity to mitigate this? The site's development is existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network? The site's development is not likely to siniticantly adversely affect human health. ++ Very Positive - Negative - Very Negative ? Uncertain 3. Would the development of the site provide opportunities to contribute to active travel and recreation as part of the Central Scotland Green Network? The site's development impacts on human health. The site's development resure that prime quality agricultural land force soils are not lost? ++ Very Positive + Positive impacts on human health and/or may have positive impacts on human health and/are soils/carbon rich soils are not lost? ++ Very Positive + Positive - Very Negative - Negative - Negative - Very Negative - Negative - Negative - Negative - Negative - Very Negative - Very Negative - Negative - Negative - Negative - Negative - Very Negative - Negative - Very Negative - Very Negative - Very Negative - Very Negative - Negative - Very Negative - Very Negative				and the second	
within an area of particular affordable housing need? benefits for the existing/future local population. 3. Does the site have reasonable access by active travel or public transport modes to a town centre, education and community facilities, and employment? The site's development would result in benefits for the existing/future local population. Human Health 1. Is the site known to be contaminated and, if so, does the proposal provide the opportunity to mitigate this? The site's development is likely to significantly adversely affect human health. ++ Very Positive + Positive o Neutral - Negative 2. In the case of a housing proposal, is the site reasonable accessible to existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network? The site's development of the site martain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems? The site's development maintain or enhance levels of noise? The site's development maintain or enhance levels of noise? ++ Very Positive impacts. Soil 1. Would the site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost? The site's development would result in some loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils. ++ Very Positive + Positive o Neutral 1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is ta trisk of flooding from other sources? The site's development would result in some loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils. ++ Very Positive + Positive o Neutral Water 1. Is the site within a functional floodplain or is ta trisk of flooding from other sourc					? Uncertain
affordable housing need? existing/future local population. access by active travel or public transport modes to a town centre, education and community facilities, and employment? the site's development is the site's development is in the site's development is in the site reasonable accessible to existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network? ++ Very Positive 1. Is the site reasonable accessible to existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network? The site's development is inpacts on human health. The site's development is not likely to have adverse inpacts. ++ Very Positive 3. Would the development of the site maintain or enhance levels of moise? 0. Neutral - Negative 3. Is it likely that the development of the site maintain or enhance levels of moise? - Negative ? Uncertain 5. Is it likely that the development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost? The site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost? - Negative 2. Would the site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost? - Negative - Negative 3. Water 1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from the site's development is now loss? - Negative + Very Positive 4. Would the site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils. The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils. The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils. The site's development ensure that rare			-		
3. Does the site have reasonable access by active travel or public transport modes to a town centre. education and community facilities, and employment? population. Human 1. Is the site known to be contaminated and, if so, does the proposal provide the opportunity to mitigate this? The site's development is likely to significantly adversely affect human health. ++ Very Positive Human 1. Is the site reasonable accessible to contrainted and, if so, does the existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network? The development of the site maintain or enhance levels of noise? -> Negative -> Very Negative 3. Would the development of the site maintain or enhance levels of noise? Soil The site's development of the site maintain or enhance levels of noise? The site's development would result in a significant ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost? ++ Very Positive Soil 1. Would the site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost? The site's development would result in a significant ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils. The site's development would result in some loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils. The site's development would result in a lon torico soils. ++ Very Positive Water 1. Is the site within a functional flood risk from other sources? Neutral land/rare soils. ++ Very Positive Soil 1. Is the site within a functional flood risk from other sources? Nould the site's development would result in some loss of prime ag			-		
access by active travel or public transport modes to a town centre, education and community facilities, and employment? The site's development would result in benefits for the existing/future local population. Human Health 1. Is the site known to be contaminated and, if so, does the proposal provide the opportunity to mitigate this? The site's development is likely to significantly adversely affect human health. ++ Very Positive + Positive or Negative - Very Negative - Very Negative 2. In the case of a housing proposal, is the site reasonable accessible to existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network? The development of the site may result in some adverse effects upon human health and/or may have positive impacts on human health and/or may have positive impacts - Very Negative - Very Negative Soil 1. Would the site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land, is to los? The site's development mould result in a significant and/or may have positive impacts ++ Very Positive + Very Positive - Very Negative Soil 1. Would the site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not los? The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils. The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils. ++ Very Positive + Positive 0. Neutral Water 1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it arisk of flooding from other sources? The site's development bilikely to increase the risk of flooding lesewhere? ++ Very Positive + Positive 0. Neutral and/or would have a			0	<u> </u>	
Soil 1. Is the site within a functional form bit solution and community facilities, and employment? Water 1. Is the site within a functional flooding elsewhere? ** Very Positive Human 1. Is the site known to be contaminated and, if so, does the proposal provide the opportunity to mitigate this? The site's development is likely to significantly adversely affect human health. The site's development is not likely to have adverse effects upon human health. The site's development is not likely to have adverse impacts on human health. The site's development is not likely to have adverse impacts on human health and/or may have positive impacts. ** Very Positive Soil 1. Would the site's development of the site will maintain or enhance levels of noise? The site's development sol los? ** Very Positive Soil 1. Would the site's development ensure that prime quality problems? The site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not los? The site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is to los? ** Very Positive + Positive Soil 1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources? The site's development ensure that price site site is in a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources? ** Very Positive + Positive Water 1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources? The site's development environment. ** Very Positive flooding is on' other sources? Watt					
education and community facilities, and employment? the existing/future local population. ++ Very Positive Human Health 1. Is the site known to be contaminated and, if so, does the proposal provide the opportunity to mitigate this? The site's development is likely to significantly adversely affect human health. ++ Very Positive 2. In the case of a housing proposal, is the site reasonable accessible to existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network? The site's development of the site provide opportunities to contribute to active travel and recreation as part of the Central Scotland Green Network? The site's development emaintain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems? The site's development impacts. ++ Very Positive Soil 1. Would the site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not tost? The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost? The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils/carbon rich soils. The site's development ensure that risk of flooding from other sources? ++ Very Positive thooding elsewhere?					
Human Health 1. Is the site known to be contaminated and, if so, does the proposal provide the opportunity to mitigate this? The site's development is likely to significanty adversely affect human health. ++ Very Positive 2. In the case of a housing proposal, is the site reasonable accessible to existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network? The development of the site provide opportunities to contribute to active travel and recreation as part of the Central Scotland Green Network? The site's development and/or may have positive impacts on human health and/or have as solis/carbon rich solis. ++ Very Positive • Negative • Negative • Very Negative • Very Negative • Very Negative • Very Negative • Neutral and/or would have a sinflicant detrimental impact on rich solis. Water 1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it a risk of flooding from other sources? The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare solis/carbon					
Human Health 1. Is the site known to be contaminated and, if so, does the proposal provide the opportunity to mitigate this? The site's development is health. ++ Very Positive o Neutral adversely affect human health. ++ Very Positive o Neutral health. 2. In the case of a housing proposal, is the site reasonable accessible to existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network? The development of the site may result in some adverse provide opportunities to contribute to active travel and recreation as part of the Central Scotland Green Network? The site's development is maintain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems? The site's development most likely to have adverse impacts on human health and/or may have positive impacts. ++ Very Positive - Very Negative ? Uncertain Soil 1. Would the site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost? The site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost? The site's development would result in a significant ensure that rarie or arbon-rich soils are not lost? The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils. ++ Very Positive + Positive o Neutral Water 1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it a risk of flooding from other sources? The site's is in a functional flooding elsewhere? ++ Very Positive + Positive o Neutral Water 1. Is the site within a functional flooding elsewhere? The site is in a functional risk from other sources and/or would have a significant derimental impa			-	-	
Healthcontaminated and, if so, does the proposal provide the opportunity to mitigate this?likely to significantly adversely affect human health.+ Positive o Neutral2. In the case of a housing proposal, is the site reasonable accessible to existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network?In the development of the site may result in some adverse effects upon human health. The site's development is not likely to have adverse impacts Negative - Very Negative ? Uncertain3. Would the development of the site maintain or enhance levels of noise?The site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost?The site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost?The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost?The site's development would result in a significant land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.++ Very Positive + Positive o NeutralWater1. Is the site within a functional plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.++ Very Positive + Positive o NeutralWater1. Is the site within a functional flooding elsewhere?The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.++ Very Positive + Positive - Very Negative - Very Negativ					L Vory Docitivo
Intentionproposal provide the opportunity to mitigate this?adversely affect human health.oNeutral Negative2. In the case of a housing proposal, is the site reasonable accessible to existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network?adversely affect human health.oNeutral Negative3. Would the development of the site provide opportunities to contribute to active travel and recreation as part of the Central Scotland Green Network?The site's development is not likely to have adverse impacts on human health and/or may have positive impacts.oNeutral Neutral5. Is it likely that the development of the site will maintain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems?The site's development would result in a significant drare soils/carbon rich soils are not lost?++ Very Positive • Very NegativeSoil1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils.++ Very Positive • Very NegativeWater1. Is the site within a functional flooding elsewhere?The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils.++ Very Positive • Positive • Positive • Very NegativeWater1. Is the site within a functional flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flooding reserver?+ Positive • Positive • Positive • Positive • Positive • Positive • Positive • Positive •					-
ionitigate this? 2. In the case of a housing proposal, is the site reasonable accessible to existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network? 3. Would the development of the site may result in some adverse provide opportunities to contribute to active travel and recreation as part of the Central Scotland Green Network? 4. Would the development of the site maintain or enhance levels of noise? 5. Is it likely that the development of the site maintain or enhance levels of moise? The site's development mould be site's development ensure that prime quality problems? The site's development ensure that prime quality problems? The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost? The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost? The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost? The site is in a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources? The site is in a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources? ++ Very Positive + Positive + Positive + Positive + Positive - Very Negative -	Health				
 2. In the case of a housing proposal, is the site reasonable accessible to existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network? 3. Would the development of the site provide opportunities to contribute to active travel and recreation as part of the Central Scotland Green Network? 4. Would development of the site maintain or enhance levels of noise? 5. Is it likely that the development of the site maintain or enhance levels of moise? 5. Is it likely that the development of the site will maintain or enhance levels of ensistions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems? 50il 1. Would the site's development ensure that prime quality aprice that prime quality soils are not lost? Would the site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost? Water 1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources? 2. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding gleswhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding gleswhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding gleswhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding gleswhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding gleswhere? 4. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding gleswhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding gleswhere? 4. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding gleswhere? 4. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding gleswhere? 4. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding flooding elsewhere? 4. Would the					
is the site reasonable accessible to existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network?may result in some adverse effects upon human health. The site's development is not likely to have adverse impacts on human health and/or may have positive impacts on human health and/or may have positive impacts on human health? Uncertain3. Would the development of the site maintain or enhance levels of noise?The site's development of the site will maintain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems?The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost?The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost?The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils.++ Very Positive + Positive > Very NegativeWater1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding elsewhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?The site's development ensurement.++ Very Positive + Positive > Very NegativeWater1. Is the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?The site's development. environment.++ Very Positive + Posi			-		_
existing open space, sports facilities or the core path network?effects upon human health. The site's development is not likely to have adverse impacts on human health and/or may have positive impacts.3. Would the development of the site maintain or enhance levels of noise?The site's development exacerbating any existing air quality problems?The site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost?The site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost?The site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost?The site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost?The site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost?The site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost?++ Very Positive •Soil1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site's development would he site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?.++ Very Positive + Positive + PositiveWater1. Is the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?The site is a functional throw out he quality of the yould he site's development be uikely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?.++ Very Positive + Positive + PositiveWater environment.1. Is the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?Would the site's development be li					
facilities or the core path network? The site's development is not likely to have adverse impacts on human health and/or may have positive impacts. a. Would the development of the site contribute to active travel and recreation as part of the Central Scotland Green Network? a. Would development of the site maintain or enhance levels of noise? impacts on human health and/or may have positive impacts. Soil 1. Would the site's development of the site will maintain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems? The site's development would result in a significant ensure that prime quality agricultural and is not lost? The site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural and is not lost? The site's development would result in a significant ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost? The site's development would result in a some loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils. ++ Very Positive Water 1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources? The site's development would result and/rare soils/carbon rich soils. ++ Very Positive + Positive				-	. eneertain
3. Would the development of the site provide opportunities to contribute to active travel and recreation as part of the Central Scotland Green Network? not likely to have adverse impacts on human health and/or may have positive impacts. 4. Would development of the site maintain or enhance levels of noise? Nould the development of the site will maintain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems? The site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost? The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost? The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost? The site's development would result in a significant estic's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils. The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils. ++ Very Positive Water 1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources? The site is in a functional flood risk from other sources and/or would have a significant detrimental end/rare soils/carbon rich soils. ++ Very Positive footplain or a high flood risk from other sources and/or would have a significant detrimental end/rare soils/carbon rich soils. Water 1. Is the site within a functional flood risk from other sources? The site is in a functional flood risk from other sources and/or would have a significant detrimental end/rare soils/carbon rich soils. ++ Very Positive footplain or is that risk of flooding from other sources and/or would have a significant detrimental end/or field weality of the water environment. <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>					
provide opportunities to contribute to active travel and recreation as part of the Central Scotland Green Network?impacts on human health and/or may have positive impacts.4. Would development of the site maintain or enhance levels of noise?is it likely that the development of the site will maintain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems?the site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost?the site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost?the site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost?the site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils.the very Positive ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils.Water1.Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional froodplain or					
contribute to active travel and recreation as part of the Central Scotland Green Network?and/or may have positive impacts.4. Would development of the site maintain or enhance levels of noise?sit likely that the development of the site will maintain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems?and/or may have positive impacts.Soil1. Would the site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost?The site's development would result in a significant land/rare soils/carbon rich soils are not lost?++ Very Positive • Positive • Negative • Negative • Negative • Negative • Negative • Very Negative • UncertainWater1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site's development would he site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?the sum of the site's development would he would he wite's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?The site is in a functional flooding elsewhere?++ Very Positive • Negative • Negat			-	-	
recreation as part of the Central Scotland Green Network?impacts.4. Would development of the site maintain or enhance levels of noise?impacts.5. Is it likely that the development of the site will maintain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems?The site's development would result in a significant loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils are not lost?The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost?The site's development would result in a significant land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.++ Very Positive + Positive o Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.Water1. Is the site within a functional plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flooding from other sources?++ Very Positive + Positive o Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.Water1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flooding disewhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?The site is in a functional if water environment.++ Very Positive + Positive o Neutral - Negative - Very Negative 				-	
Scotland Green Network?4. Would development of the site maintain or enhance levels of noise?5. Is it likely that the development of the site will maintain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems?Soil1. Would the site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost?The site's development would result in a significant land/rare soils/carbon rich soils are not lost?++ Very Positive + Positive o Neutral - Negative ? UncertainWater1. Is the site within a functional plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.++ Very Positive + Positive - Very Negative ? UncertainWater1. Is the site within a functional plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?- Negative -			recreation as part of the Central		
maintain or enhance levels of noise?maintain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems?the site's development would result in a significant land/rare soils/carbon rich soils are not lost?the site's development would result in a significant o Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.++ Very Positive Positive o Neutral NeutralWater1. Is the site within a functional plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flooding from other sources?++ Very Positive PositiveWater1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flooding elsewhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?++ Very Positive Positive water environment.			-		
maintain or enhance levels of noise?maintain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems?the site's development would result in a significant land/rare soils/carbon rich soils are not lost?the site's development would result in a significant o Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.++ Very Positive Positive o Neutral NeutralWater1. Is the site within a functional plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flooding from other sources?++ Very Positive PositiveWater1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flooding elsewhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?++ Very Positive Positive water environment.		4.	Would development of the site		
5. Is it likely that the development of the site will maintain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems?The site's development would result in a significant to Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils are not lost?The site's development would result in a significant to Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils are not lost?The site's development would result in a significant to Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils are not lost?The site's development would result in some loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils. The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.++ Very Positive + Positive o Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.Water1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flooding elsewhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?The site is in a functional envine the site's development be significant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment.++ Very Positive + Positive > Negative > Nega			maintain or enhance levels of		
the site will maintain or enhance levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems?The site's development would result in a significant to Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils are not lost?++ Very Positive vould result in a significant to Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.++ Very Positive to Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.Water1. Is the site within a functional plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site's development to is ta trisk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flooding elsewhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?The site's development.++ Very Positive to increase the risk of singificant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment.			noise?		
levels of emissions to avoid exacerbating any existing air quality problems?Image: Construct of the site is a development would result in a significant++ Very Positive + PositiveSoil1. Would the site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost?The site's development osoils are not lost?++ Very Positive + Positive2. Would the site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost?The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils.Image: Construct on the site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils> Very Negative - Very Negative3. Would the site's development plain or is it at risk of flooding elsewhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?The site's development ensure this to's development be significant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment.++ Very Positive - Negative - Very Negative - Very Negative - Very Negative - Very Negative - Very Negative - Very Negative		5.	Is it likely that the development of		
exacerbating any existing air quality problems?The site's development would result in a significant loss of prime agricultural agricultural land is not lost?The site's development would result in a significant loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils are not lost?The site's development on Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils are not lost?The site's development on Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.++ Very Positive + Positive on Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.Water1. Is the site within a functional plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flooding elsewhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?The site of the quality of the water environment.++ Very Positive + Positive on Neutral - Negative - Very Negative			the site will maintain or enhance		
quality problems?Soil1. Would the site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost?The site's development would result in a significant loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils are not lost?The site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost?++ Very Positive + Positive o Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.Water1. Is the site within a functional plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional risk from other sources o Neutral++ Very Positive + Positive - Very Negative - Very Negative ? UncertainWater1. Is the site within a functional plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional risk from other sources o Neutral - Negative - Very Negative - Negativ					
Soil1. Would the site's development ensure that prime quality agricultural land is not lost?The site's development would result in a significant loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils are not lost?The site's development o Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.+ Very Positive o Neutral o Neutral land/rare soils/carbon rich o NegativeWater1. Is the site within a functional plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flooding elsewhere? 3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?The site of development would result in some loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils.Water1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional floodplain or at high flood risk from other sources o Neutral and/or would have a significant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment.+ Very Negative yound the site's development be water environment.					
Water1.Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding elsewhere? 3.Would the site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost?would result in a significant loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.+ Positive o Neutral - Negative - Very Negative ? Uncertain would result in some loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils.Water1.Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flooding elsewhere? 3.+ Very Positive yery NegativeWater1.Is the site's development be plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional flooding elsewhere? 3.+ Very Positive yery Negative yery Negative yery Negative the site's development be water environment.					
agricultural land is not lost?loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils are not lost?o Neutral - Negative - Very Negative2. Would the site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost?Ioss of prime agricultural soils Negative - Very NegativeThe site's development would result in some loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils Very Negative - Very NegativeWater1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional floodplain or at high flood risk from other sources++ Very Positive o Neutral2. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?2. Would the site's development be significant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment Negative - Very Negative	Soil		•		-
2. Would the site's development ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost?land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.Negative Very NegativeThe site's development would result in some loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils. The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.IncertainWater1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional floodplain or at high flood risk from other sources++ Very Positive + Positive o Neutral and/or would have a significant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment> Negative -> Very Negative -> Uncertain				-	
ensure that rare or carbon-rich soils are not lost?soils Very Negative ? UncertainThe site's development would result in some loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils. The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils Very Negative ? UncertainWater1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional floodplain or at high flood risk from other sources and/or would have a significant detrimental impact on the quality of the your other sources++ Very Positive + Positive o Neutral and/or would have a significant detrimental impact on the quality of the your other sources3. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?soils Very Negative + Positive o Neutral and/or would have a significant detrimental impact on the quality of the your other sources Very Negative + Positive - Very Negative + Uncertain			-		
soils are not lost?The site's development would result in some loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils. The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.? UncertainWater1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional floodplain or at high flood risk from other sources++ Very Positive o Neutral2. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?and/or would have a significant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment Very Negative ? Uncertain			-		-
Water1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional floodplain or at high flood risk from other sources++ Very Positive o Neutral and/or would have a significant detrimental Very Negative impact on the quality of the water environment.					
Water1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional floodplain or at high flood risk from other sources++ Very Positive plain or is it at risk of flooding from risk from other sourcesAnd/or would have a significant detrimental Very Negative significant detrimental Very Negative impact on the quality of the Water environment.			soils are not lost?		? Uncertain
soils/carbon rich soils. The site's development would result in no loss of prime agricultural land/rare soils/carbon rich soils.Water1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional floodplain or at high flood risk from other sources++ Very Positive Positive o Neutral2. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?and/or would have a significant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment Very Negative ? Uncertain					
Water1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional floodplain or at high flood risk from other sources++ Very Positive o Neutral2. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?and/or would have a significant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment Very Negative y Negative					
Water1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional floodplain or at high flood risk from other sources++ Very Positive plain or at high flood risk from other sources2. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?and/or would have a significant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment Very Negative ? Uncertain					
Water1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional floodplain or at high flood risk from other sources++ Very Positive plain or at high flood risk from other sources2. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?and/or would have a significant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment Very Negative ? Uncertain					
Soils/carbon rich soils.Water1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional floodplain or at high flood risk from other sources++ Very Positive Positive2. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?and/or would have a significant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment Very Negative Positive					
Water1. Is the site within a functional flood plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?The site is in a functional floodplain or at high flood risk from other sources++ Very Positive Positive2. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?The site is in a functional floodplain or at high flood and/or would have a significant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment.++ Very Positive Positive					
plain or is it at risk of flooding from other sources?floodplain or at high flood risk from other sourcesPositive o Neutral2. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?and/or would have a significant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment.Negative ? Uncertain3. Would the site's development bewater environment.	Water	1.	Is the site within a functional flood		++ Very Positive
other sources?risk from other sourcesoNeutral2. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?and/or would have a-Negative3. Would the site's development bewater environmentUncertain	vater				
 2. Would the site's development be likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere? 3. Would the site's development be water environment. 					
likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere?significant detrimental impact on the quality of the water environment Very Negative ? Uncertain3. Would the site's development bewater environment.					
flooding elsewhere?impact on the quality of the water environment.Uncertain3. Would the site's development bewater environment.			-		_
3. Would the site's development be water environment.			-	-	
intery to maintain or emanage the first of the offer low of			likely to maintain or enhance the	The site is at some risk of	
ecological status of the water flooding and/or its					

	environment?	development may increase	
		the risk of flooding	
		elsewhere and/or it may	
		have a negative impact on	
		the water environment.	
		The site is not at risk of	
		flooding, will not lead to an	
		increased risk of flooding	
		elsewhere and is likely to	
		maintain/enhance the	
		ecological status of the	
A : #	1. Would development of the site be	water environment. The site's development is	++ Very Positive
Air	unconstrained by existing sources	likely to have significant	+ Positive
	of air pollution and would its	adverse impacts for air	o Neutral
	development ensure any effects	quality.	- Negative
	on existing sensitive receptors are	The site's development may	Very Negative
	within acceptable levels?	have some adverse impact	? Uncertain
	2. Does the site have, or can it be	on air quality.	
	provided with good active travel	The site's development	
	and public transport accessibility	would have no adverse	
	such that the need to travel by car	impact on air quality.	
	is minimised?		
	3. Does the site have good access to		
	existing facilities, services and		
	public transport?		
Climatic	1. Would the site's development	The site would not	++ Very Positive
Factors	contribute to a sustainable	contribute to a sustainable	+ Positive
	settlement strategy?	settlement strategy and	o Neutral
	2. Would the site's development help	would increase the need to	- Negative
	reduce the need to travel as well	travel.	Very Negative
	as the distance travelled? 3. Does the site lend itself to	The site would not contribute to a sustainable	? Uncertain
	development that would be energy	settlement strategy or it	
	and resource efficient?	would not reduce the need	
		to travel.	
		The site would contribute to	
		a sustainable settlement	
		strategy and/or would	
		reduce the need to travel.	
Material	1. Would the site's development	The site's development	++ Very Positive
Assets	involve the re-use of existing	would make inefficient use	+ Positive
	buildings worthy of retention,	of land and resources.	o Neutral
	make an efficient use of land and /	The site's development	- Negative
	or prioritise the use of brownfield	would make moderately	Very Negative
	land over greenfield land?	efficient use of land and	? Uncertain
	2. Would the site's development	resources.	
	avoid the permanent sterilisation	The site's development	
	-		
	of economic mineral resources the	would make efficient use of	
	of economic mineral resources the extraction of which would	would make efficient use of land and resources.	
	of economic mineral resources the extraction of which would otherwise be acceptable in policy		
	of economic mineral resources the extraction of which would otherwise be acceptable in policy terms (where known)?	land and resources.	
Cultural	 of economic mineral resources the extraction of which would otherwise be acceptable in policy terms (where known)? 1. Would the development of the site 	land and resources. The site's development	++ Very Positive
Cultural Heritage	 of economic mineral resources the extraction of which would otherwise be acceptable in policy terms (where known)? 1. Would the development of the site directly preserve and if 	land and resources. The site's development would be likely to result in a	+ Positive
	 of economic mineral resources the extraction of which would otherwise be acceptable in policy terms (where known)? 1. Would the development of the site 	land and resources. The site's development	

	 a Conservation Area? b. a listed building or its setting? c. a Scheduled Ancient Monument or its setting? d. a local archaeological site? e. a Historic Garden or Designed Landscape? f. a site included in the Inventory of Historic Battlefields? 	historic/cultural assets. The site's development would be likely to result in some loss or disturbance to historic/cultural assets. The site's development would not be likely to result in loss or disturbance to historic/cultural assets.	Very Negative ? Uncertain
Landscape	 Is the site outwith a visually sensitive location or one where a built or natural landscape feature of significance might be harmed by its development? Is the site outwith a visually and / or physically sensitive landscape within or outwith the Green Belt the development of which would not lead to the coalescence of settlements? Would the site's development allow for the consolidation of the existing settlement pattern and structure of settlements? Would development of the site conserve or enhance important areas of open / green space? 	The site's development would be likely to have significant impacts on the landscape. The site's development would be likely to have some impact on the landscape. The development of the site would not be likely to result in adverse landscape impacts.	 ++ Very Positive + Positive o Neutral - Negative Very Negative ? Uncertain

Musselburgh Area: Environmental Characteristics



Figure 1: Musselburgh Area

- 23. This section provides an overview of the key environmental characteristics in the Musselburgh area, and is structured according to the main topic areas of the Strategic Environmental Assessment.
- 24. The Musselburgh area is the most densely populated area of East Lothian, and the closest to the city of Edinburgh. It includes the town of Musselburgh, the largest in East Lothian, and the communities of Inveresk, Wallyford and Whitecraig.

Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna



Figure 2: Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna Characteristics

SEA Topic	Summary of Main Issues and Key Considerations in SEA Assessment
Biodiversity, Flora	• The area borders the Firth of Forth, which is of international significance,
and Fauna	and is designated as a Special Protection Area, Ramsar site and Site of Special Scientific Interest.
	 The area is home to the coastal Levenhall Links listed wildlife site, which attracts a wide variety of birds for feeding and roosting, and other wildlife.
	 The River Esk flows through the area from Midlothian to the Forth at Musselburgh. It is a listed wildlife site, with a variety of habitats along its banks, including ancient woodlands. Cowpits Wood is a listed wildlife site in its own right.
	 The Carberry Estate is important for its biodiversity, flora and fauna value, being a listed wildlife site with mixed woodlands.

Population



Figure 3: Population Characteristics

SEA Topic	Summary of Main Issues and Key Considerations in SEA Assessment
Population	 The area contains around 25% of East Lothian's total population. Musselburgh itself contains a wide range of facilities and services, including town centre services and secondary education facilities for the area. Wallyford and Whitecraig each contain a more limited range of local facilities.
	 Musselburgh and Wallyford are East Lothian's most accessible settlements in regional terms in relation to access by public transport to employment, health services, and retail. Musselburgh is one of the most accessible in the whole SESplan area. Parts of the area are in the 10% least deprived in Scotland, while other parts are in the 20% most deprived.

Health



Figure 4: Health Characteristics

SEA Topic	Summary of Main Issues and Key Considerations in SEA Assessment
Human Health	 The Musselburgh area has a wide variation in health deprivation, ranging from among the 10% most deprived in Scotland to the 10% least deprived. Life expectancy varies across the area by as much as seven years, with most parts of the area below East Lothian averages. The coastline provides significant opportunities for recreation, including Levenhall Links and the John Muir Way. The National Cycle Network passes through the area and there are numerous stretches of Core Path between and around settlements. There are significant areas of public open space, including Musselburgh Golf Course, Fisherrow Links, and a number of small areas of local
	importance, such as Wallyford Bing.





Figure 5: Soil Characteristics

SEA Topic	Summary of Main Issues and Key Considerations in SEA Assessment					
Soil	• The majority of the undeveloped land in the Musselburgh area is					
	classified as prime agricultural land, an important and finite land resource					
	for food production due to its highly productive nature and the wide					
	range of crops it can support. A significant proportion of this is class 1, the					
	very highest quality.					





Figure 6: Water Characteristics

SEA Topic	Summary of Main Issues and Key Considerations in SEA Assessment
Water	 Areas of Musselburgh are identified as being at medium to high risk of coastal flooding, as shown on SEPA's Flood Hazard Maps (1 in 200 year flood extent). Areas of Musselburgh, including land in the town centre, and land alongside the River Esk and its tributaries are identified as being at medium to high risk of river flooding. The majority of the area lies within a Potential Vulnerable Area. The River Esk is classified by SEPA as being of 'moderate' ecological status through Musselburgh itself and 'poor' ecological status to the south. This is due to point source pollution from a range of sources and also morphological alterations in the southern part. The coastal waters off Musselburgh are classified as having 'moderate' ecological potential due to morphological alterations. In terms of groundwater, the 'Dalkeith bedrock and localised sand and gravel aquifers' has 'poor' status, while the 'Esk Valley Sand and Gravel'
	has 'good' status.

Cultural Heritage



Figure 7: Cultural Heritage Characteristics

SEA Topic	Summary of Main Issues and Key Considerations in SEA Assessment
Cultural Heritage	 The area is rich in built and cultural heritage, with around 400 listed buildings, which are concentrated in Musselburgh and Inveresk as well as being scattered throughout the rural landscape. There are approximately 20 scheduled monuments within the area, including the site of a Roman fort and civil settlement at Inveresk. The long and varied history of settlement in the area means there is significant potential for unknown archaeological remains across much of the area.
	 Conservation Areas have been designated in Musselburgh and Inveresk in recognition of their special architectural and historic characters. There are several sites within the area that are recognised on the national Inventory of Gardens and Designed Landscapes: Carberry Tower, Newhailes, Pinkie House, Inveresk Lodge Garden, and part of Dalkeith House (which extends into Midlothian). The majority of the area is designated on the national Inventory of Historic Battlefields as the site of the Battle of Pinkie.

Landscape



Figure 8: Landscape Characteristics

SEA Topic	Summary of Main Issues and Key Considerations in SEA Assessment
Landscape	 The northern part of the area is urbanised, while low lying areas to the south are part of the Musselburgh / Prestonpans Fringe Landscape Character Area, where arable farmland is interrupted by roads and power lines. Views across the plain are often curtailed by development. Alongside the River Esk is the North Esk Landscape Character Area, which features dense woodland in some places and a sense of enclosure. The south-eastern part of the area is located on part of the Mayfield / Tranent Ridge, whose elevated slopes form the immediate visual horizon in many views south from the north and west. There are extensive views across the surrounding lowlands towards the coast. There are a number of historic designed landscapes and gardens within the area. The policy woodlands of the designed landscape of Carberry Tower are particularly prominent from many viewpoints within Edinburgh and the coastal plain. A significant proportion of the area is currently designated as part of the Edinburgh Green Belt, with the aim of maintaining the landscape setting of the city and neighbouring towns and avoiding coalescence.

Air



Figure 9: Air and Climatic Factors

SEA Topic	Summary of Main Issues and Key Considerations in SEA Assessment
Air	 The Musselburgh area has relatively good public transport accessibility to employment, health and retail at regional level, with Musselburgh itself one of the most accessible settlements in the SESplan region. Musselburgh is the closest part of East Lothian to higher order services and facilities within Edinburgh, with shorter distances travelled to access them, and lower emissions of pollutants and greenhouse gases. Parts of central Musselburgh are in the 10% least deprived areas in Scotland for geographic access to services (SIMD), while other parts of the area further from the town centre are among the 20% most deprived. Musselburgh High Street has recently been designated as an Air Quality
	Management Area due to nitrogen dioxide levels.

Climatic Factors

SEA Topic	Summary of Main Issues and Key Considerations in SEA Assessment				
Climatic Factors	• The generally good public transport accessibility to facilities, services and				
	employment in the Musselburgh area, compared with areas further east				
	in East Lothian, results in a reduced need to travel to access higher level				
	services, and lower reliance on the private car, leading to lower				

			. .
			areas further east.
groonnaiico goc	omiccióne nor	חבחד בזוחבה י	ardae filirthar daet
gitterinouse gas			areas fullier east.

• Climate change may increase the frequency and magnitude of flood events within the area.

Material Assets

SEA Topic	Summary of Main Issues and Key Considerations in SEA Assessment				
Material Assets	 The undeveloped part of the Musselburgh area is a fertile agricultural area and its wealth of prime agricultural land is an important and finite land resource. 				
	 Waste and recycling facilities in the area include the Council's Kinwegar Recycling Centre and Hamilton Waste & Recycling Ltd in Wallyford. Hamilton Waste is currently constructing a new site at Smeaton Bing. SEPA also records there being a metal recycling facility in Musselburgh. There are a small number of vacant and derelict sites within the Musselburgh area, including the former Brunton wireworks, which has now been partly redeveloped. 				

Site Assessments and SEA



Figure 10: Sites in Musselburgh area assessed for Main Issues Report

Detailed Site Assessments – Musselburgh Catchment

SITE INFORMATION		
Торіс	Comments	
Site Name	Craighall	
Site Ref	PM/MH/HSG007	
Source of Site	Agent (Holder Planning) on behalf of house builder (Persimmon Homes East	
Suggestion	Scotland)	
Site Size (ha)	138ha	
Current Use	Agricultural	
Proposed Use	Mixed use development: approx 1000 homes and a business park	
Summary	A large greenfield site in agricultural use, encompassing land between the A1	
Description	and East Coast Main Line on either side of QMU, plus land to the south of the	
	A1 between Old Craighall village and Millerhill Marshalling Yard, also bisected	
	by the railway loop to Millerhill.	



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2011. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey license number 100023381.



0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 kilometres

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2011. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey license number 100023381.

SUITABILITY AN	ID DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is adjacent to the south-western edge of Musselburgh but separated from it by the East Coast Main Line. The southern part of the site is further separated from Musselburgh by the A1 and bears little relationship with the existing settlement. The northern part of the site is already allocated for built development (employment use) in the current Local Plan (areas A and B).	
Accessibility	Musselburgh's overall accessibility via public transport to the wider city region and key employment locations as well as health and retail facilities ranks highest among other settlements in East Lothian and second in the whole SESplan area. The site is large and separated into four distinct parcels, whose accessibility varies. Sites A and B in the north are within 800m of Musselburgh station, while sites C and D are not but are still within walkable distance. Site A is within 400m of the bus stops at QMU; site B is around 750m from those bus stops and is within 400m of the bus route on the B6415 (with potential for new bus stops). Site C is fairly remote from existing bus stops, while site D is within 1600m of all parts of the site, however sites A and B are much closer to a wider range of facilities and services within Musselburgh.	
Exposure	Parts of the site are sheltered from northerly winds by railway and road embankments while other parts, especially to the south, have little shelter.	

Aspect	The large site has various aspects but is generally north-westerly and	
	southerly-easterly facing. It is relatively level with gentle	
	undulations.	
Suitability for	The site is physically suitable for the proposed uses. There may be	
Proposed Use	noise impacts which arise from the proximity of the East Coast Main	
	Line to the North and the A1 to the South of the site. Mitigation	
	measures may be required. The marshalling yards and proposed	
	Zero Waste facility to the west of the site may also raise issues of	
	noise or air quality. The mix of uses across the site will be important	
	in minimising any impacts.	
Fit with local/	The site is adjacent to a main settlement within the East Lothian SDA	
strategic policy	as identified within SESplan. Its development would therefore align	
objectives and	well with strategic policy objectives of steering new development	
direction	towards the most sustainable locations within the city region. For	
	assessment against other more detailed policy objectives see	
	'Potential Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	There are traffic capacity constraints within Musselburgh and it is	
infrastructure	uncertain how these might be mitigated. An existing agreement is in	
capacity	place for providing improvements to the QMU junction. These are	
capacity	likely to take 2 years and cost around £4.5 to £6 million. Low	
	bridges will be an issue for bus connections to Musselburgh. There	
	are existing capacity issues with Old Craighall junctions and	
	developer contributions towards improvements are likely to be	
	required. The site's development could raise cross-boundary issues	
	with Midlothian, including links with the proposed Shawfair	
	development. The site is served by Glencorse Water Treatment	
	Works and Seafield Waste Water Treatment Works which both have	
	capacity.	
Service	The site is within the catchment of Campie Primary School which has	
infrastructure	limited capacity, is landlocked, and cannot expand. A development	
capacity	of the scale proposed would require a new primary school. At	
	secondary level the site would be served by Musselburgh Grammar	
	which is subject to potential options for increasing education	
	capacity in the Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	The site is being promoted for development by a housebuilder.	
Effectiveness	There are traffic capacity constraints within the Musselburgh area	
	and further consideration is required to establish how these might	
	be mitigated. It has not yet been established whether there is	
	sufficient infrastructure capacity to serve the development and what	
	the timescales would be for achieving this. Parts of the site are	
	within the Coal Mining Development High Risk Area and a Coal	
	Mining Risk Assessment is therefore likely to be required. It is not	
	known whether potential contamination or ground conditions would	
	pose any constraints to development.	











POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA		
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international,	
Flora and Fauna	national or local nature conservation interest. It is, however, around	
	1km from the Firth of Forth SPA/Ramsar site. SNH has advised that	
	there are records of SPA birds using the area, there is suitable	
	habitat on the site, and there is potential connectivity to the SPA,	

	therefore the site should be screened in for consideration through	
	the Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage. 4ha	
	of the southern part of the site are lowland meadow priority habitat	
	(neutral grassland, semi-improved). Road and railway verges within	
	the site are similarly designated. There may be opportunities	
	through development of the site to improve habitat linkages.	
Population	The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable	+
	housing to help meet need. It has reasonable access to facilities,	
	services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public	
	transport, and would also provide additional employment	
	opportunities in an accessible location.	
Human Health	There are records of some small areas of contamination from former	+/?
	mining activities. Development may provide an opportunity to	
	mitigate effects of this pollution. The core path network passes	
	through the northern part of the site, and there are path links	
	between the sections of the site. There would be an opportunity to	
	provide a significant new area of open space in a development of	
	this scale. The site's development could exacerbate air quality	
	problems in Musselburgh town centre. The proximity of the A1 may	
	result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development.	
Soil	The development of the site would result in the significant loss of an	-
	area of prime agricultural land (the majority of which is class 1, with	
	some classes 2 and 3.1). There are no rare or carbon rich soils within	
	, this site.	
Water	SEPA's flood map shows an area of flood risk in the southern part of	?
	the site adjacent to the burn that crosses the site from south-west	
	to north-east east. There are also pockets of surface water flooding	
	within the site. SEPA advises that a Flood Risk Assessment is	
	required, and states that it maintains a level only gauging station	
	within the site. SEPA notes that Old Craighall WWTW is within the	
	site but any new development would need to connect to Seafield	
	WWTW, with the existing Old Craighall WWTW preferably being	
	removed.	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources	o/-
	of air pollution. The site is in a location with good access to local	-,
	facilities, active travel and public transport accessibility so the need	
	to travel by car is minimised. Yet, the site's development could	
	exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre by	
	increasing vehicular traffic.	
Climatic Factors	Musselburgh is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and	o/-/?
	closer to major centres of employment than other East Lothian	.,,.
	settlements, and therefore development of this site would be	
	focusing development in the most accessible and sustainable	
	locations. The site is well positioned to access public transport,	
	active travel routes, as well as local facilities and services.	
	Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to	
	some increase in car-based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas	
	emissions, particularly the employment proposals, which may	
	attract workers from a wide area, although there may also be some	
	reduction in the distance travelled for some workers if they are	
	currently commuting out of East Lothian. The site's south-eastern	
	currently commuting out of East Lotinian. The site's south-eastern	

	for single generation to the level of the should be seen and the stick second	
	facing aspect partially lends itself to development that is resource	
	efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land and	
	resources, being greenfield land of prime agricultural quality.	
Cultural	The eastern side of the site (including all of site B) is within the	-/?
Heritage	Pinkie battlefield site, and it is also around 400m from the boundary	
	of the Dalkeith Palace GDL to the east. Historic Scotland advises that	
	the site does not contribute strongly to understanding of the	
	battlefield landscape and its development would not raise issues of	
	national importance. ELCAS advises that excavations at QMU have	
	uncovered human remains and the site has moderate to good	
	potential for archaeological remains in places. There may also be	
	possible setting issues with the A-listed Monkton House.	
Landscape	The proposed development would represent a significant urban	
·	expansion to the south-west of Musselburgh. The site consists of	
	four main parts, separated by the A1 and a railway line. These are	
	generally open and agricultural in nature. Pylons cross the site from	
	south to north. Site A in the north-west is an agricultural field	
	between the A1 and the East Coast Main Line, with QMU to the	
	south-east and a proposed development area at Newcraighall to the	
	north-west. There are long views across the site including from the	
	A1 across Musselburgh to the East Lothian coast and northwards to	
	Fife. Site B is an agricultural field contained by the railway line to	
	the north, the A1 to the south, and the B6415. It is well contained	
	by these landscape features, and prominent in views from the A1.	
	Between these two sites is an area of unimproved grassland lying to	
	the east of QMU. Sites A and B and the intervening land are	
	currently allocated for employment development and were removed	
	from the green belt for this purpose. Sites C and D lie to the south	
	of the A1 and are separated by the railway line that loops off the	
	East Coast Main Line to Millerhill Marshalling Yard. There are open	
	views across site C including south from the A1 towards the Mayfield	
	ridge and the Moorfoot hills. In westward views from the A1 the	
	Millerhill Marshalling Yard (with perimeter trees) provides a visual	
	backdrop to this site. Site D is between the A1 and Old Craighall	
	village, and also affords long distance views from the A1. Sites C and	
	D are currently within the green belt and their development would	
	have significant urbanising effects in a currently open landscape,	
	particularly in views from the A1. Development of the whole site	
	would also result in the physical coalescence of Musselburgh with	
	Newcraighall (Edinburgh) and the planned Shawfair development in	
	Midlothian. If noise mitigation measures are required, they may	
	have an impact upon the landscape and/or views from the A1	
	and/or East Coast Main Line.	

SITE INFORMATION		
Торіс	Comments	
Site Name	Land at Monktonhall Terrace	
Site Ref	PM/MH/HSG041	
Source of Site	Agent (Colliers) on behalf of landowner (Edmond Developments)	
Suggestion		
Site Size (ha)	1.5ha	
Current Use	Vacant land/scrub	
Proposed Use	Housing – 12 homes	
Summary	A small site on the edge of Musselburgh, lying between Monktonhall Terrace	
Description	and the River Esk. It is largely vegetated, steeply sloping to the west, and level	
	ground within the flood plain to the east.	



0 0.0250.050.0750.1 kilometres

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalt of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2011. At rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Icense number 100023381.



0 10 20 +0 Meters

Peprodiced by peim ission of Ordnance Stineyon be half of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Stiney Licence in mber 100023381.

SUITABILITY AND	D DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is outside the settlement boundary of Musselburgh but is	
	reasonably well related to it. It is situated to the eastern border of	
	the Stoneyhill settlement.	
Accessibility	The site is well within 400m of bus stops on Monktonhall Terrace	
	where there are regular services to Musselburgh and Edinburgh.	
	Although not within 800m, Musselburgh rail station is within	
	walkable distance (1000m) of the site. Musselburgh town centre is	
	within 1600m, with a wide range of facilities, services and	
	employment opportunities. Musselburgh's overall accessibility via	
	public transport to the wider city region and key employment	
	locations as well as health and retail facilities ranks highest among	
	other settlements in East Lothian and second in the whole SESplan	
	area.	
Exposure	Vegetation within and to the north of the site provides shelter from	
	northerly winds. However, it is uncertain that the site could be	
	developed without removing much of the vegetation within it.	
Aspect	The site faces east.	
Suitability for	The eastern part of the site is unsuitable for development due to	
Proposed Use	flood risk and the remaining part of the site is physically unsuited to	
	the proposed use due to its steeply sloping and heavily vegetated	
	nature. There could also be amenity conflicts arising due to the	
	presence of a business use adjacent to the site.	
Fit with local/	The site is adjacent to a main settlement within the East Lothian SDA	
strategic policy	as identified within SESplan. Its development would therefore align	
objectives and	well with strategic policy objectives of steering new development	

direction	towards the most sustainable locations within the city region. For	
	assessment against other more detailed policy objectives see	
	'Potential Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	The site is served by Glencorse Water Treatment Works and Seafield	
infrastructure	Waste Water Treatment Works which both have capacity. The site is	
capacity	served by an existing access road, which is suitable for the proposed	
	development. There are traffic capacity constraints within the	
	Musselburgh area and further consideration is required to establish	
	how these might be mitigated.	
Service	The likely developable area of the site (outwith the flood plain) is	
infrastructure	within the catchment of Campie Primary School which has limited	
capacity	capacity, is landlocked, and cannot expand. [The eastern part of the	
	site is within the catchment for Burgh Primary School]. At secondary	
	level the site would be served by Musselburgh Grammar which is	
	subject to potential options for increasing education capacity in the	
	Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	The site has previously been granted planning permission (lapsed	
Effectiveness	2/2/14 but with a new application lodged) and has been marketed	
	for some time. There are also several invasive species within the	
	site. It is uncertain whether it is currently economically viable to	
	develop. It has not yet been established whether there is sufficient	
	infrastructure capacity to serve the development and what the	
	timescales would be for achieving this. The site is within the Coal	
	Mining Development High Risk Area and a Coal Mining Risk	
	Assessment may therefore be required. It is not known whether	
	potential contamination or ground conditions would pose any	
	constraints to development.	





POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA		
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international or	-/?
Flora and Fauna	national local nature conservation interest, however the northern	
	edge of the site is within the River Esk local wildlife site. The site	
	also contains several priority habitats in different parts: neutral	
	grassland, marshy grassland, and dense scrub. Given its restricted	
	size, it is unlikely that the site can be developed without impacting	
	on these habitats.	
Population	The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable	+
	housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to	
	facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or	
	public transport.	
Human Health	The site has good access to open space (Haugh Park lies to the	+/?
	north). There is known contamination within site; development may	
	be able to mitigate this. The site's development could exacerbate	
	air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre.	

Soil	The development of the site may requilt is some loss of elass 4 with a	/2
Soil	The development of the site may result in some loss of class 1 prime	-/?
	agricultural land, as shown on land capacity mapping, although it	
	does not appear that this designation does apply to the site in	
	reality. This may be due to the small scale of the mapping. There are	
Motor	no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.	(2
Water	The majority of the site is in an area of flood risk adjacent to the	-/?
	River Esk, as identified in SEPA's flood maps. There is also a small	
	watercourse within the site. SEPA advises that in 1990, records	
	indicate that a small compound located adjacent to the south-east	
	boundary of the site flooded up to a depth of approximately 1	
	metre. Furthermore, a previous FRA demonstrated that virtually the	
	entire site with existing ground levels is inundated during a 1 in 200	
	year event. SEPA would require a FRA if the site's development	
	should differ from the previously approved application, which	
	restricted development to the higher western edge of the site.	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources	o/-
	of air pollution. The site is in a location with good access to local	
	facilities, active travel and public transport accessibility so the need	
	to travel by car is minimised. Yet, the site's development could	
	exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre by	
	increasing vehicular traffic.	
Climatic Factors	Musselburgh is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and	o/-
	closer to major centres of employment than other East Lothian	
	settlements, and therefore development of this site would be	
	focusing development in the most accessible and sustainable	
	locations. The site is well positioned to access public transport,	
	active travel routes, as well as local facilities and services.	
	Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to	
	some increase in car-based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas	
	emissions. The site's east facing aspect does not lend itself to	
	development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land and	-
	resources, being greenfield land designated as prime agricultural	
	quality (though this designation may not be accurate).	
Cultural	The site is within the Battle of Pinkie designation. There are possible	o/-
Heritage	19 th /20 th Century curling ponds over much of the site and it is	
	considered there is moderate potential for archaeological remains.	
Landscape	The site has two distinct parts, a steep slope dropping down from	-
	Monktonhall Terrace and the level floodplain of the Esk below. The	
	majority of the site is heavily vegetated with trees and shrubs and it	
	also contains several invasive species. Given flood risk constraints	
	on the lower part of the site, any development would have to be	
	located on the steep bank below Monktonhall Terrace. It is unlikely	
	to be possible to develop here without significant tree removal and	
	resulting landscape impacts. The site is within the green belt. Its	
	development would not result in a significant intrusion into open	
	countryside or settlement coalescence, though would introduce	
	built form adjacent to the east side of Monktonhall Terrace where	
	currently there is none. This would be a localised impact that would	
	not affect the wider setting of Musselburgh.	

SITE INFORMATION		
Торіс	Comments	
Site Name	Edenhall Hospital	
Site Ref	PM/MH/HSG113	
Source of Site	Housing site option selected for consideration by the Council once known that	
Suggestion	NHS would vacate site. Site owned by NHS Scotland.	
Site Size (ha)	5.4ha	
Current Use	Former hospital (now disused)	
Proposed Use	Housing	
Summary	The former Edenhall Hospital is a brownfield site on the southern edge of	
Description and	Musselburgh. It contains several listed buildings and a number of other	
Planning History	former hospital buildings. It is accessed via Edenhall Road to the north.	



1

L

L

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2011. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey license number 100023381.



0 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 kilometres

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2011. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey license number 100023381.

Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is within the settlement boundary of Musselburgh.	
Accessibility	Musselburgh's overall accessibility via public transport to the wider	
,	city region and key employment locations as well as health and retail	
	facilities ranks highest among other settlements in East Lothian and	
	second in the whole SESplan area. The site is around 600m from	
	the nearest bus stops on Pinkie Road. There are frequent bus	
	services to Edinburgh, Tranent, Wallyford, Prestonpans and Port	
	Seton. There are no rail stations within 800m. Musselburgh town	
	centre, with a wide range of facilities, services and employment	
	opportunities, is within 1600m.	
Exposure	The northern boundary is well screened through topography and	
	vegetation, which would provide some shelter from northerly winds.	
	The site is within a natural depression but the main hospital building	
	is on a low rise within it.	
Aspect	The aspect of the site is northerly.	
Suitability for	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use for housing and	
Proposed Use	this would relate well to the surrounding residential area. There	
	may be noise impacts which arise from the proximity of the East	
	Coast Main Line to the south of the site. Mitigation measures may	
	be required.	
Fit with	The site is within a main settlement within the East Lothian SDA as	
strategic policy	identified within SESplan. Its development would therefore align	
objectives and	well with strategic policy objectives of steering new development	

b
re
1
e
d.
า
a









Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna	The site is not in an area designated for its international, national or local nature conservation importance. The site lies within a local designed landscape of fully mature landscaped grounds that should form the setting for future residential development within the site. The majority of the trees within the site are protected by TPO 108, many of which fall along the boundaries, in particular on the eastern	o/?
	boundary. There are no areas of priority habitat within the site but to the south there is an existing area of priority habitat (Neutral grassland) which runs alongside the railway line. There is also an area of priority habitat (Mixed Woodland, Semi-natural) located to the north west of the site.	
Population	The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet local need. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.	+
Human Health	The site is within close proximity to existing core paths to the north east, north west and south. These can be easily accessed and connect with existing areas of open space and there would be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development.	+
Soil	The development of the site would not result in the loss of prime agricultural land and rare or carbon rich soils.	0
Water	The Pinkie Burn used to run through the east side of the site but there is no sign of this now. A Flood Risk Assessment is likely to be required to assess the risk from this potentially culverted watercourse. There is also a natural wet area within the site midway along the western boundary, which is in a low lying area that is understood to fill up regularly with water, particularly after heavy	?
	1	
------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----
	may be required. The SEPA flood maps highlight areas of surface	
	water flooding within the site.	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources	o/-
	of air pollution. The site is in a location with good access to local	
	facilities, active travel and public transport accessibility so the need	
	to travel by car is minimised. Yet, the site's development could	
	exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre by	
	increasing vehicular traffic.	
Climatic Factors	Musselburgh is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and	o/-
	closer to major centres of employment than other East Lothian	
	settlements, and therefore development of this site would be	
	focusing development in the most accessible and sustainable	
	locations. The site is well positioned to access public transport,	
	active travel routes, as well as local facilities and services.	
	Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to	
	some increase in car-based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas	
	emissions. The site's north facing aspect does not lend itself to	
	development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development for housing would involve the	+
	redevelopment of a previously developed site and should also retain	
	the listed buildings within the site. It would therefore make an	
	efficient use of land and resources.	10
Cultural	The site contains three listed buildings whose settings should be	-/?
Heritage	respected in terms of the location of new development.	
	Development of the site should retain and convert these listed	
	buildings and their features as it is outlined in existing design	
	guidance for the site. This would include the conversion of the main	
	Edenhall Hospital building (Category C), together with its former	
	stable block (Category C) and the former Gardener's Cottage	
	(Category C). Listed features that should also be retained through	
	redevelopment should include a sundial and ornamental garden	
	walls to the south of the Edenhall Hospital building, the brick wall to	
	the south of the Gardener's Cottage and the stone gatepiers and	
	wrought iron gate. Any redevelopment should be appropriately	
	recorded before conversion. Purpose built hospital buildings within	
	the site which date from the 1950s are not listed but should be	
	recorded by a historic buildings specialist or buildings archaeologist	
	prior to any demolition. Historic Scotland comment that impacts	
	should be mitigated on the setting of scheduled monuments to the	
	west (Lewisvale Public Park - Roman works & field system) and south	
	(Pinkiehill - field system 300m S) of the site. The site also lies within the Battle of Pinkie designated area, and may preserve	
	archaeological remains relating to this. The archaeological potential	
	should be evaluated and suitable mitigation built in to any final scheme. East Lothian Council Archaeology Services outline that	
	there is moderate potential for direct impacts upon as yet unknown	
	remains, but this is dependent upon the level of previous	
	disturbance. There is some evident landscaping of the site,	
	therefore there is moderate potential for setting issues to impact on	
	the Listed buildings. This would be dependent upon massing and	
	scale of proposed development and could be mitigated through	

	design and landscaping.	
Landscape	The site is within the existing urban area of Musselburgh and is	o/-/?
	previously developed and therefore its redevelopment would	
	consolidate the existing settlement pattern. The site is visually	
	sensitive due to its mature landscape, its existing listed buildings and	
	features and its close proximity to scheduled monuments and the	
	Inveresk Conservation Area. It is important that the listed buildings	
	remain the focus of their setting throughout any redevelopment.	
	Subject to retention of the listed buildings, sensitive design, and	
	avoiding impacts on protected trees, the landscape impacts of	
	development are not likely to be significant, though there is	
	potential for harmful impacts through insensitive development.	

SITE INFORMATION	N
Торіс	Comments
Site Name	Land adjacent to Edenhall Hospital, Inveresk
Site Ref	PM/MH/HSG031
Source of Site	Wallace Land on behalf of landowner (Margo Cochrane), also Halliday Fraser
Suggestion	Munro consultants for Barratt and David Wilson Homes
Site Size (ha)	14.4ha
Current Use	Agricultural
Proposed Use	Housing – 300 homes
Summary	A greenfield site currently in agricultural use lying to the south and east of the
Description	former Edenhall Hospital, adjacent to existing development at Pinkiehill
	Crescent. It is bounded to the south by the East Coast Main Line, to the west
	by Crookston Road, and to the east by a core path that links Musselburgh and
	Wallyford.





0 20 40 80 Mekers

Peprodice dby peim tasion of Ordnance Sumeyon be tail of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Sumey Licence number 100023381.

Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is outside the settlement boundary of Musselburgh but is well related to it, lying between the boundary and the East coast main line.	
Accessibility	Musselburgh's overall accessibility via public transport to the wider city region and key employment locations as well as health and retail facilities ranks highest among other settlements in East Lothian and second in the whole SESplan area. The site is around 750m from the nearest bus stops on Pinkie Road. There are no rail stations within 800m. Musselburgh town centre, with a wide range of facilities, services and employment opportunities, is within 1600m.	
Exposure	The site is relatively flat but existing development and a tree belt provides some shelter from northerly winds.	
Aspect	The site has a north-westerly aspect.	
Suitability for Proposed Use	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use. There may be noise impacts which arise from the proximity of the East Coast Main Line to the south of the site. Mitigation measures may be required. Other surrounding land uses include housing, agriculture, and a vacant former hospital.	
Fit with local/ strategic policy objectives and direction	The site is adjacent to a main settlement within the East Lothian SDA as identified within SESplan. Its development would therefore align well with strategic policy objectives of steering new development towards the most sustainable locations within the city region. For assessment against other more detailed policy objectives see	

	'Potential Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	There are opportunities to connect to the local road network at	
infrastructure	Pinkie Hill Crescent and Crookston Rd. However, there are traffic	
capacity	capacity constraints within the Musselburgh area and further	
	consideration is required to establish how these might be mitigated.	
	The site is served by Roseberry Water Treatment Works and Seafield	
	Waste Water Treatment Works which both have available capacity.	
Service	The site is within the catchments of Pinkie St Peter's Primary School	
infrastructure	and Musselburgh Grammar. Pinkie St Peter's has very limited	
capacity	capacity, although limited expansion may be possible on the current	
	site. Musselburgh Grammar is subject to potential options for	
	increasing education capacity in the Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	The site has been promoted for development on behalf of the	
Effectiveness	landowner, and also on behalf of a housebuilder. The initial site	
	submission estimates delivery by two housebuilders within a 6 year	
	period. It has not yet been established whether there is sufficient	
	infrastructure capacity to serve the development. Parts of the site	
	are within the Coal Mining Development High Risk Area and a Coal	
	Mining Risk Assessment is therefore likely to be required. It is not	
	known whether ground conditions would pose any constraints to	
	development.	





POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA		
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international,	o/+/?*
Flora and Fauna	national or local nature conservation interest. It is, however, around	

	1.5km from the Firth of Forth SPA/Ramsar site. At this stage is it	
	screened in to the HRA process. There is a TPO (108) in the adjacent	
	Edenhall hospital and lowland meadow priority habitat (neutral	
	grassland, unimproved) in the railway verge to the south.	
	Development of the site would be unlikely to impact on protected	
	trees. There may be opportunities to improve habitat connectivity.	
Population	The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable	+
	housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to	
	facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or	
	public transport.	
Human Health	There is no known contamination within the site, and it has good	+/?
	access to the core path network to the east and west, while another	
	path runs through the site from north to south and links with	
	Crookston Road. The site's development could exacerbate air	
	quality problems in Musselburgh town centre. The proximity of the	
	East Coast Main Line may result in noise issues for residents of the	
	proposed development.	
Soil	The development of the site would result in the loss of class 1 prime	-
	agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.	
Water	There is an area of river and surface flood risk running through the	?
	site directly to the south of Edenhall Hospital according to SEPA's	
	flood maps. A Flood Risk Assessment may therefore be required.	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources	o/-
	of air pollution. The site is in a location with good access to local	
	facilities, active travel and public transport accessibility so the need	
	to travel by car is minimised. Yet, the site's development could	
	exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre by	
	increasing vehicular traffic.	
Climatic Factors	Musselburgh is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and	o/-
	closer to major centres of employment than other East Lothian	
	settlements, and therefore development of this site would be	
	focusing development in the most accessible and sustainable	
	locations. The site is well positioned to access public transport,	
	active travel routes, as well as local facilities and services.	
	Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to	
	some increase in car-based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas	
	emissions. The site's north-west facing aspect does not lend itself to	
	development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land and	-
	resources, being greenfield land of prime agricultural quality.	
Cultural	The site is within the designated Pinkie battlefield and the western	
Heritage	field is within the Inveresk Conservation Area. The western field has	
	also been identified as a candidate for scheduling as part of Roman	
	field systems. ELCAS considers that this field should be treated as a	
	Scheduled Monument, and Historic Scotland has advised that it	
	would object to development of this field as it would raise issues of	
	national importance. Historic Scotland also advises that the site is	
	within a core part of the battlefield and it contributes strongly	
	towards understanding of the battlefield landscape, with potential	
	for associated archaeological remains. This issue also raises issues of	
	national importance.	

Landscape The site is open and gently undulating agricultural land that is highly visible from key views towards Inveresk Conservation Area from the A1. In this view parts of the site have a backdrop of mature trees (including TPO 108 in the grounds of Edenhall Hospital) while in other areas existing development to the north of the site is visually exposed. The site's southern boundary is contained by the railway, which is in cutting in part and does not form a prominent visual feature in views from the A1. Development on the site would therefore be visually exposed from the south unless mitigated with substantial shelter belt planting and would represent an incursion of the settlement into open countryside. If noise mitigation measures are required, they may have an impact upon the landscape and/or surrounding views from the East Coast main Line. The site is within the green belt. Its development would have impacts in terms of the			
coalescence of Musselburgh/Inveresk with Wallyford to the east, and on the open landscape setting of Inveresk Conservation Area in key views from the south	Landscape	visible from key views towards Inveresk Conservation Area from the A1. In this view parts of the site have a backdrop of mature trees (including TPO 108 in the grounds of Edenhall Hospital) while in other areas existing development to the north of the site is visually exposed. The site's southern boundary is contained by the railway, which is in cutting in part and does not form a prominent visual feature in views from the A1. Development on the site would therefore be visually exposed from the south unless mitigated with substantial shelter belt planting and would represent an incursion of the settlement into open countryside. If noise mitigation measures are required, they may have an impact upon the landscape and/or surrounding views from the East Coast main Line. The site is within the green belt. Its development would have impacts in terms of the coalescence of Musselburgh/Inveresk with Wallyford to the east, and on the open landscape setting of Inveresk Conservation Area in	-/
key views from the south.		key views from the south.	

SITE INFORMATION	N
Торіс	Comments
Site Name	Pinkie Mains expansion
Site Ref	PM/MH/HSG058
Source of Site	Agent (Holder Planning) on behalf of client (Taylor Wimpey)
Suggestion	
Site Size (ha)	5.7ha
Current Use	Agricultural
Proposed Use	Housing – approx 127 homes
Summary	A medium-scale extension to the already approved Pinkie development site
Description	on the southern edge of Musselburgh (which is currently under construction),
	this site is within the boundaries of the allocated site. It is gently sloping and
	currently in use for agriculture.



L

.



0 40 80 160 Meters

Reproduced by peim ission of Ordnance Stitleyon be tailfof HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Stitley Licence in mber 100023381.

	D DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is outwith the settlement boundary of Musselburgh but is	
	well related to the committed area of expansion at Pinkie Mains. It	
	is also currently allocated for development.	
Accessibility	Musselburgh's overall accessibility via public transport to the wider	
	city region and key employment locations as well as health and retail	
	facilities ranks highest among other settlements in East Lothian and	
	second in the whole SESplan area. The site is around 600m from bus	
	stops on Pinkie Road (this distance may be reduced slightly once the	
	current Pinkie Mains development is complete). There are frequent	
	bus services to Edinburgh, Tranent, Wallyford, Prestonpans and Port	
	Seton. It is not within 800m of a rail station but is within walking	
	distance of Wallyford station (around 1250m). Musselburgh town	
	centre is within 1600m, with a wide range of facilities, services, and	
	employment opportunities.	
Exposure	Much of the site is relatively open and it is on rising ground. It is	
	therefore exposed to northerly winds, however new development to	
	the north (under construction) will provide a degree of shelter in	
	time.	
Aspect	The site faces north-west.	
Suitability for	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use. Adjacent land	
Proposed Use	uses are residential and agricultural and should not present amenity	
	conflicts. There may be noise impacts which arise from the	
	proximity of the East Coast Main Line to the south of the site.	
	Mitigation measures may be required.	
Fit with local/	The site is adjacent to a main settlement within the East Lothian SDA	

strategic policy	as identified within SESplan. Its development would therefore align	
objectives and	well with strategic policy objectives of steering new development	
direction	towards the most sustainable locations within the city region. For	
	assessment against other more detailed policy objectives see	
	'Potential Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	Access is achievable and a Transport Assessment has been carried	
infrastructure	out for the wider site, part of which is now under construction.	
capacity	There are traffic capacity constraints within Musselburgh and on	
	Salter's Road and it is uncertain if these can be mitigated The site is	
	served by Roseberry Water Treatment Works and Seafield Waste	
	Water Treatment Works which both have available capacity.	
Service	The site is within the catchments of Pinkie St Peter's Primary School	
infrastructure	and Musselburgh Grammar. Pinkie St Peter's has very limited	
capacity	capacity, although limited expansion may be possible on current	
	site. Musselburgh Grammar is subject to potential options for	
	increasing education capacity in the Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	The site represents an extension to an existing housing site that is	
Effectiveness	currently under construction. There is a clear housebuilder interest	
	in developing it. There are traffic capacity constraints within the	
	Musselburgh area and further consideration is required to establish	
	how these might be mitigated. Parts of the site are within the Coal	
	Mining Development High Risk Area and a Coal Mining Risk	
	Assessment may therefore be required.	



POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA		
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international,	o/?*
Flora and Fauna	national or local nature conservation interest. However, SNH has	
	advised that there are records of SPA birds using the area, there is	
	suitable habitat on the site, and there is potential connectivity to the	
	SPA, therefore the site should be screened in for consideration	
	through the Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) process at this	
	stage. There are no protected trees on or near the site.	
Population	The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable	+
	housing to help meet need. Its development may have a modest	
	contribution to the regeneration of the disadvantaged Pinkie Braes	
	area. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and	
	employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.	
Human Health	The site has no known contamination and good access to the core	+/?
	path network. Its development could exacerbate air quality	
	problems in Musselburgh town centre.	

Soil	The development of the site would result in some loss of class 1	-
	prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this	
	site.	
Water	SEPA's flood map shows a small area at risk of surface water	?
	flooding in the north-west of the site. The site will potentially	
	require a drainage impact assessment. SEPA has not raised any	
	concerns regarding flood risk or impacts on the water environment.	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources	o/-
	of air pollution. The site is in a location with good access to local	
	facilities, active travel and public transport accessibility so the need	
	to travel by car is minimised. Yet, the site's development could	
	exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre by	
	increasing vehicular traffic.	
Climatic Factors	Musselburgh is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and	o/-
	closer to major centres of employment than other East Lothian	
	settlements, and therefore development of this site would be	
	focusing development in the most accessible and sustainable	
	locations. The site is well positioned to access public transport,	
	active travel routes, as well as local facilities and services.	
	Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to	
	some increase in car-based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas	
	emissions. The site's north-west facing aspect does not lend itself to	
	development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land and	-
	resources, being greenfield land of prime agricultural quality.	
Cultural	The site is within the designated Pinkie battlefield. Historic Scotland	-/?
Heritage	notes that the area has been allocated through the 2008 Local Plan	
-	but advises that proposals should make provision for evaluation of	
	impact and mitigation for archaeological remains. There is	
	considered to be good potential for unknown archaeological	
	remains on the site.	
Landscape	The site is currently open agricultural land to the south of the Pinkie	0
	Mains development site (under construction) and east of existing	
	development on Pinkie Terrace. The southern boundary of the site	
	is a core path with some trees, while there are also a small number	
	of trees intermittently along the south-eastern edge. The site is on	
	gradually rising ground to the south-east, stopping below a low	
	ridgeline. It represents a fairly logical expansion of the existing	
	settlement in landscape terms and is reasonably contained by the	
	landform and existing and committed development, however it	
	would require enhanced planting along the southern edge to ensure	
	successful integration into the wider landscape. The site is not	
	within the green belt, having been removed in the current Local Plan	
	and allocated for residential development. The new green belt	
	boundary follows the southern edge of the site. The site's	
	development would therefore retain a separation with Wallyford to	
	the south and would not result in settlement coalescence, nor	
	impacts on the wider setting of Musselburgh. If noise mitigation	
	measures are required, they may have an impact upon the	
	landscape and/or surrounding views from the East Coast main Line.	and the second

SITE INFORMATION	
Торіс	Comments
Site Name	Westpans
Site Ref	PM/MH/BUS009
Source of Site	Council's Economic Development service
Suggestion	
Site Size (ha)	0.7ha
Current Use	Grazing
Proposed Use	Employment
Summary	A small and sloping site opposite the cluster of dwellings at Westpans on the
Description	B1348. It has the appearance of a greenfield site but records indicate it was
	formerly a landfill site. The wooded Drummohr policies lie to the south.



0 0.0250.050.075 0.1 kilometres



^{0 0.0250.050.075 0.1} kilometres

SUITABILITY AND DELIVERABILITY OF SITE		
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is outwith the settlement boundary of Musselburgh but reasonably well related to it, being opposite an existing area of	
	development at Westpans.	
Accessibility	Musselburgh's overall accessibility via public transport to the wider city region and key employment locations as well as health and retail facilities ranks highest among other settlements in East Lothian and second in the whole SESplan area. The site is within 400m of bus stops on Ravensheugh Road where there are regular services to Edinburgh, Tranent and Seton Sands. The site is not within 800m of a railway station, Musselburgh and Presonpans stations are approximately 4km from the site. The site is within 1600m walking distance of Prestonpans town centre where there are local facilities and services including shops, a Police Station and a library. It is not within walking distance of Musselburgh town centre which is 2400m away.	
Exposure	The site has little shelter from northerly winds and faces the Firth of Forth.	
Aspect	The site has a northerly aspect.	
Suitability for	The site is not physically well suited to the proposed use, being	
Proposed Use	relatively steeply sloping ground. Conflicts between the surrounding land uses which include housing at Ravensheugh Road to the north and an area of protected forestry to the south, could be mitigated through design.	
Fit with local/	The site is within the East Lothian SDA as identified within SESplan,	

strategic policy	outwith a main settlement. Its development generally would align	
objectives and	well with strategic and local policy objectives including supporting	
direction	economic growth.	
Physical	The site is served by Castle Moffat Water Treatment Works and	
infrastructure	Seafield Waste Water Treatment Works which both have available	
capacity	capacity. There are traffic capacity constraints within the	
	Musselburgh area and further consideration is required to establish	
	how these might be mitigated. Access to the site may be constrained	
	by the curve of Ravenshaugh Road and potential lack of suitable	
	visibility splays. The steep nature of the site also may be likely to	
	constrain development of a suitable access road in to the site.	
Service	School catchments and other service infrastructure are not relevant	
infrastructure	to the proposed use for business.	
capacity		
Deliverability/	The site is owned by East Lothian Council. It is not known whether	
Effectiveness	potential contamination or ground conditions would pose a	
	constraint to development. It has not yet been established whether	
	a suitable access can be achieved.	





POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA		
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international or	o/?*
Flora and Fauna	national nature conservation interest. It is, however, around 50m	
	from the Musselburgh lagoons listed wildlife site and around 350m	
	from the Firth of Forth SPA/Ramsar site and it is screened in to the	
	HRA process at this stage. The site is within an area identified as	
	priority woodland habitat (mixed woodland, semi-natural) although	
	the site itself is not wooded. The trees to the south of the site are	

	also designated ancient woodland and protected by TPO.	
Population	The site's development for employment would increase access to	+
	employment for people in the local area. The site is accessible by	
	public transport and active travel.	
Human Health	The site is the former Drummohr landfill site. It is not known	+/?
	whether its development would raises issues for human health. The	
	nearby ash disposal operation has ceased and the lagoons are to be	
	restored so this does not raise any sensitive issues. The site has	
	good access to the core path network and Levenhall links.	
Soil	The development of the site would not result in the loss of prime	0
	agricultural land and rare or carbon rich soils.	
Water	There is no river, surface or coastal flooding on the site. SEPA	?
	advises that it would require a FRA to assess the flood risk from the	
	Ravenshaugh Burn and tributary adjacent to the site.	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources	o/-
	of air pollution. The site is in a location with good access to local	
	facilities, active travel and public transport accessibility so the need	
	to travel by car is minimised. Yet, the site's development could	
	exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre by	
	increasing vehicular traffic.	
Climatic Factors	Musselburgh is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and	-
	closer to major centres of employment than other East Lothian	
	settlements, and therefore development of this site would be	
	focusing development in the most accessible and sustainable	
	locations. The site is well positioned to access public transport,	
	active travel routes, as well as local facilities and services.	
	Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to	
	some increase in car-based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas	
	emissions. The site's north facing aspect does not lend itself to	
	development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would re-use a former landfill site, albeit one	+
	that has been restored to grazing land. It would therefore make	
	reasonably efficient use of land and resources by avoiding prime	
	agricultural land.	
Cultural	The site is within the designated area of the Battle of Pinkie and	o/?
Heritage	immediately opposite a scheduled monument. Historic Scotland	
	advises that no issues of national importance are raised in relation	
	to the battlefield. ELCAS advises that there is moderate potential for	
	industrial remains associated with West Pans potteries.	
Landscape	The site is opposite the existing settlement boundary of Westpans.	o/-
	It is steeply sloping grassland and is visually well contained by a	
	backdrop of trees and a stone boundary wall. The site's	
	development would be prominent from the B1348, particularly	
	given the rising topography. The site is currently within the green	
	belt and its development would have some impact on the openness	
	of this stretch of coastline between Musselburgh and Prestonpans	
	as experienced when travelling along the B1348.	

SITE INFORMATION	
Торіс	Comments
Site Name	Beggars Bush, Levenhall, Musselburgh
Site Ref	PM/MH/HSG002
Source of Site	Agent (John Handley Associates) on behalf of landowner (Arthur Eunson) and
Suggestion	house builder (Bett Homes/Gladedale Estates Ltd)
Site Size (ha)	3.1ha
Current Use	Agricultural
Proposed Use	Housing – 75 homes
Summary	A small greenfield site on the eastern edge of Levenhall, accessed from the
Description	A199 Haddington Road.



00.026.06.0750.1 kilometres



0 12.5 25 50 Melens

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Surveyor be half of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100023381.

Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is located on the eastern edge of the Levenhall area of	
	Musselburgh. It is outside the settlement boundary but adjoins the	
	existing town and is well related to it.	
Accessibility	Musselburgh's overall accessibility via public transport to the wider	
	city region and key employment locations as well as health and retail	
	facilities ranks highest among other settlements in East Lothian and	
	second in the whole SESplan area. The site is within 400m of bus	
	stops on Haddington Road, Ravensheugh Road and Macbeth Moir	
	Road, with a wide range of frequent and regular services to	
	Edinburgh, Musselburgh, Fort Kinnaird, North Berwick (and	
	intervening coastal settlements), Tranent, Wallyford, Prestonpans,	
	Cockenzie & Port Seton and Haddington, and less frequent but	
	regular services to the Western General, ERI, and Pencaitland. The	
	site is 800m from Wallyford station. The site is within 1600m of	
	local facilities including primary school, local shop, community	
	centre and health services, and is within 1800m of Musselburgh	
	town centre which has a wider range of facilities, services and	
	employment opportunities.	
Exposure	The site has some shelter from northerly winds due to woodland to	
	the north-east.	
Aspect	The site is north-west facing.	
Suitability for	The site is suitable for the proposed use in physical terms and there	
Proposed Use	would be no potential conflicts with surrounding land uses, which	
-	include residential development, agricultural land, and woodland.	

Fit with local/	The site is adjacent to a main settlement within the East Lothian SDA	
strategic policy	as identified within SESplan. Its development would therefore align	
objectives and	well with strategic policy objectives of steering new development	
direction	towards the most sustainable locations within the city region. For	
	assessment against other more detailed policy objectives see	
	'Potential Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	Access onto the A199 is achievable and public transport and links to	
infrastructure	schools are good. However, there are traffic capacity constraints	
capacity	within the Musselburgh area and further consideration is required to	
	establish how these might be mitigated. The site is served by	
	Roseberry Water Treatment Works and Seafield Waste Water	
	Treatment Works which both have available capacity.	
Service	The site is within the catchments of Wallyford Primary School and	
infrastructure	Pinkie St Peter's Primary School. Wallyford Primary School has no	
capacity	capacity and is to be replaced. Its ability to expand is subject to	
	emerging solution. Pinkie St Peter's Primary School has very limited	
	capacity, although limited expansion may be possible on the current	
	site. At secondary level the site would be served by Musselburgh	
	Grammar which is subject to potential options for increasing	
	education capacity in the Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	The site is being promoted for development by the landowner and a	
Effectiveness	housebuilder and the site submission estimates delivering the site	
	within a 4 year period. It has not yet been established whether	
	there is sufficient infrastructure capacity to serve the development.	





POTENTIAL IMPA	CTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA	
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international,	o/?*
Flora and Fauna	national or local nature conservation interest. It is, however, around	
	100m from the Musselburgh lagoons listed wildlife site and around	
	350m from the Firth of Forth SPA/Ramsar site SNH has advised that	
	there are records of SPA birds using the area, there is suitable	
	habitat on the site, and there is potential connectivity to the SPA,	
	therefore the site should be screened in for consideration through	
	the Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage.	
	The site is immediately adjacent to TPOs 13 and 60, which also form	
	an area of priority habitat (mixed woodland, semi-natural) and	
	ancient woodland. There may be potential to improve habitat	
	connectivity in development of the site, particularly in conjunction	
	with the adjacent site (PM/MH/HSG037) if that was also developed.	
Population	The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable	+
	housing to help meet need. Its development may have a modest	
	contribution to the regeneration of the disadvantaged Pinkie Braes	
	area. The site has good access to facilities, services, and	
	employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.	
Human Health	There are records of possible contamination associated with filled	+/?
	ground where the burn through the site has been culverted.	
	Development of the site should provide the opportunity to mitigate	
	this. There is good access to Levenhall Links and the coastal path	
	network to the north of the site. The site's development could	
	exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre.	
Soil	The development of the site would result in some loss of class 1	-
	prime agricultural land in the east of the site. There are no rare or	
	carbon rich soils in this site.	2
Water	There are some areas of existing surface water flooding within the	?
	site according to SEPA's flood map, and SEPA requires a FRA to	
	assess the flood risk from the Ravenshaugh Burn and the small watercourse, which both flow through the site. SEPA advises that	
	the Ravenshaugh Burn is heavily modified along the west boundary	
	of the site but there is limited scope for restoration at this location.	
	A 6m buffer strip should be included from any development. The	
	site is within Potentially Vulnerable Area 10/21.	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources	o/-
7.11	of air pollution. The site is in a location with good access to local	0,
	facilities, active travel and public transport accessibility so the need	
	to travel by car is minimised. Yet, the site's development could	
	exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre by	
	increasing vehicular traffic.	
Climatic Factors	Musselburgh is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and	o/-
	closer to major centres of employment than other East Lothian	
	settlements, and therefore development of this site would be	
	focusing development in the most accessible and sustainable	
	locations. The site is well positioned to access public transport,	
	active travel routes, as well as local facilities and services.	
	Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to	
	some increase in car-based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas	

	emissions. The site's north-west facing aspect does not lend itself to	
	development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land and	-
	resources, being greenfield land of prime agricultural quality.	
Cultural	The site is within the designated area of the Battle of Pinkie. Historic	o/?
Heritage	Scotland advises that the site is within the area of the English camp	
	and that it makes some contribution towards appreciation of the	
	battlefield landscape, but acknowledges that limited release of	
	housing may not impact on that significance. It advises that	
	measures should be put in place to secure evaluation and mitigation	
	through design impact on landscape and in situ remains. ELCAS	
	advises there is moderate potential for unknown archaeological	
	remains.	
Landscape	The site adjoins the existing settlement boundary of Levenhall. It is	o/?
	gently undulating improved grassland and is physically well	
	contained, with mature TPO trees to the north-east, a stone	
	boundary wall and hedgerow to the south-east, and a burn along	
	much of the western edge and existing development. There are	
	views out from the site towards Arthur's Seat and the Pentlands.	
	The site's development would not represent a significant	
	encroachment of built development into open countryside but could	
	result in the impacts on the site's attractive landscape character.	
	Impacts on adjacent (and overhanging) TPO trees could be avoided	
	through appropriate site design. There appears to be a burn in	
	culvert through the north-west part of the site, which presents an	
	opportunity for landscape enhancement. The site is currently	
	designated as green belt but its development would not in itself lead	
	to coalescence of settlements or significantly affect the landscape	
	setting of Musselburgh.	

SITE INFORMATION	
Торіс	Comments
Site Name	Goshen Farm
Site Ref	PM/MH/HSG037
Source of Site	Agent (Barton Willmore) on behalf of landowner (Ashfield Commercial
Suggestion	Properties Ltd.)
Site Size (ha)	50ha
Current Use	Agricultural
Proposed Use	Mixed use, including up to 1200 homes
Summary	A large greenfield site on the eastern edge of Musselburgh, currently in
Description	agricultural use. It is bounded to the south by Haddington Road and the
	B1361 and to the north by woodland within the Drummohr policies. The site
	is in an accessible location, with Wallyford 'Park and Choose' located adjacent
	to the southern site boundary.





0 50 100 200 Meters

SUITABILITY AN	ID DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is outside the settlement boundary of Musselburgh but is	
	well related to it at its western edge, where existing development at	
	Pinkie Braes faces it across Haddington Road.	
Accessibility	Musselburgh's overall accessibility via public transport to the wider	
	city region and key employment locations as well as health and retail	
	facilities ranks highest among other settlements in East Lothian and	
	second in the whole SESplan area. The site is within 400m of several	
	bus stops on Haddington Road, with a wide range of frequent and	
	regular services to Edinburgh, Musselburgh, Fort Kinnaird, North	
	Berwick (and intervening coastal settlements), Tranent, Wallyford,	
	and Haddington, and less frequent but regular services to the	
	Western General, ERI, and Pencaitland. The site is within 800m of	
	Wallyford station, which is close to the southern boundary. The site	
	is within 1600m of local facilities including a primary school, local	
	shop, and community centre. It is also around 2.5km from	
	Musselburgh town centre with a wide range of facilities, services	
	and employment opportunities, and a similar distance from	
	Prestonpans town centre.	
Exposure	Tree belts provide some shelter from northerly winds to northern	
	parts of the site, however southern parts of the site are generally	
	more exposed due to the relative lack of topographical features	
	within the site. There is one tree belt through the southern part of	
	the site that may provide some limited shelter (if retained).	
Aspect	The site's aspect is north-west.	

Suitability for	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use and there should	
Proposed Use	be no potential conflicts with surrounding land uses, which include	
	residential development, agricultural land, a caravan park, and	
	woodland. There may be noise impacts which arise from the	
	proximity of the East Coast Main Line to the south of the site.	
	Mitigation measures may be required. However it should not	
	produce any constraints to development.	
Fit with local/	The site is adjacent to a main settlement within the East Lothian SDA	
strategic policy	as identified within SESplan. Its development would therefore align	
objectives and	well with strategic policy objectives of steering new development	
direction	towards the most sustainable locations within the city region. For	
	assessment against other more detailed policy objectives see	
	'Potential Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	The site can be physically accessed, however there are traffic	
infrastructure	capacity constraints within Musselburgh, on Salter's Road, and at	
capacity	Dolphingstone and Wallyford interchanges. It is currently uncertain	
	how these may be mitigated. The site is served by Roseberry Water	
	Treatment Works and Seafield Waste Water Treatment Works which	
	both have available capacity.	
Service	The site is within the catchments of Wallyford Primary School which	
infrastructure	has no capacity and is to be replaced. Its ability to expand is subject	
capacity	to emerging solution. At secondary level the site would be served by	
	Musselburgh Grammar which is subject to potential options for	
	increasing education capacity in the Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	The site is being promoted for development by the landowner. The	
Effectiveness	site submission estimates delivery within a 10 year period. It has not	
	yet been established whether there is sufficient infrastructure	
	capacity to serve the development. There are traffic capacity	
	constraints within the Musselburgh area and further consideration is	
	required to establish how these might be mitigated. Parts of the site	
	are within the Coal Mining Development High Risk Area and a Coal	
	Mining Risk Assessment may therefore be required.	







POTENTIAL IMPA	CTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA	
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international,	o/?*
Flora and Fauna	national or local nature conservation interest. It is, however, around	
	120m from the Musselburgh lagoons listed wildlife site and around	
	500m from the Firth of Forth SPA/Ramsar site. SNH has advised that	
	there are records of SPA birds using the area, there is suitable	
	habitat on the site, and there is potential connectivity to the SPA,	
	therefore the site should be screened in for consideration through	
	the Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage.	
	There are TPO trees adjacent to the site to the north and east; these	
	are a priority habitat, and also designated as ancient woodland to	
	the north. There may be potential to improve habitat connectivity in	
	development of the site.	
Population	The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable	+
	housing to help meet need. Its development may make a	
	contribution to the regeneration of the disadvantaged Pinkie Braes	
	area and of Wallyford. The site has good access to facilities,	
	services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public	
	transport.	
Human Health	There is no known contamination within the site. There is access to	+/?
	Levenhall Links and the coastal path to the north, and open space	
	within Pinkie Braes and Wallyford. Given the size of the site, there is	
	an opportunity to provide significant new open space within any	
	development. The site's development could exacerbate air quality	
	problems in Musselburgh town centre.	
Soil	The development of the site would result in the significant loss of an	-
	area of class 1 prime agricultural land (majority of the site). There	
	are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.	
Water	There are some areas of surface water flood risk within the site	+/?
	according to the SEPA flood map but the majority of the site should	
	be developable. SEPA requires a FRA to assess the flood risk from	
	the Ravenshaugh Burn, which flows through the site. This FRA	
	should take into account any works that have been undertaken by	
	British Rail. Consideration should be given to upstream/downstream	
	culverts and structures which may exacerbate flood risk. Sections of	
	the Ravenshaugh Burn are culverted through this site. SEPA	
	considers the development of the site would be an opportunity to	
	restore this part of the Ravenshaugh Burn by removing the culverted	

	sections and reinstating a more natural channel. This should be considered as part of any development. A buffer strip would be required.	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site is in a location with good access to local	o/-
	facilities, active travel and public transport accessibility so the need	
	to travel by car is minimised. Yet, the site's development could	
	exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre by	
Climatic Factors	increasing vehicular traffic.	
	Musselburgh is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than other East Lothian	o/-
	settlements, and therefore development of this site would be	
	focusing development in the most accessible and sustainable	
	locations. The site is well positioned to access public transport,	
	active travel routes, as well as local facilities and services.	
	Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to	
	some increase in car-based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas	
	emissions. The site's north-west facing aspect does not lend itself to	
	development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land and	
	resources, being a large area of greenfield land of prime agricultural	
	quality.	
Cultural	The site is within the site of the Pinkie battlefield. Historic Scotland	-
Heritage	advises that the site contains the English Camp and contributes	
	strongly towards understanding of the battlefield landscape. There	
	is also potential for associated archaeological remains.	
	Development of the site would raise issues of national importance.	
	Development would also affect the setting of the category B-listed Drummohr House, and design would have to take account of this,	
	including key views.	
Landscape	The site is a significant area of gently undulating agricultural land	-/
	adjacent to the eastern edge of Musselburgh. It is bounded by	
	partial stone walls to the west, south and east, and there are mature	
	trees adjacent to the northern and eastern edges. The site itself	
	contains relatively few features other than a tree belt in its southern	
	half and two small groups of buildings in the west and north (which	
	are excluded from the site itself). There are open views across the	
	site towards the Pentland hills and Arthur's Seat and it is prominent	
	in key views such as from Fa'side Hill where it provides a visual	
	separation between communities. The site is within the green belt	
	and its development on the scale proposed would represent a	
	significant change to the character of open countryside that provides a setting for surrounding settlements. It would significantly	
	reduce the separation between Musselburgh and Prestonpans,	
	leaving a gap of around 500m and inter-visibility between the two.	
	If noise mitigation measures are required, they may have an impact	
	upon the landscape and/or surrounding views from the East Coast	
	main Line.	

SITE INFORMATION	
Торіс	Comments
Site Name	Land at Salters Road
Site Ref	PM/MH/HSG042
Source of Site	Agent (GVA) on behalf of builder (Mansell Homes/I&H Brown) and landowner
Suggestion	(Jack Heaney)
Site Size (ha)	1.25ha
Current Use	Agricultural
Proposed Use	Housing – 46 homes
Summary	A small triangular site contained between the East Coast Main Line, Salter's
Description	Road, and The Loan. It is currently undeveloped but is allocated for
	development and has permission for affordable housing. It is in an extremely
	accessible location next to Wallyford 'Park and Choose'.





0 10 20 40 Melers

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Surveyor be half of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100023381.

SUITABILITY AN	D DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is outwith the existing settlement boundary of Wallyford	
	but well related to it, lying between the boundary and the railway	
	line. It is currently allocated for development.	
Accessibility	Musselburgh's overall accessibility via public transport to the wider	
	city region and key employment locations as well as health and retail	
	facilities ranks highest among other settlements in East Lothian and	
	second in the whole SESplan area. Wallyford's overall accessibility	
	ranks second in East Lothian. The site is in a highly accessible	
	location as it is within 400m of bus stops and 800m of a railway	
	station as it is immediately adjacent to Wallyford 'Park and Choose'.	
	This provides frequent bus services to Edinburgh, the Western	
	General Hospital, Tranent, Pencaitland and Haddington. There are	
	rail services to Edinburgh, Musselburgh, Prestonpans, Longniddry,	
	Drem and North Berwick. The site is within 1600m of a range of	
	services including local shops, primary school, community centre,	
	and library.	
Exposure	There is no existing development, vegetation, or topographical	
	features to provide shelter from northerly winds.	
Aspect	The site faces north west.	
Suitability for	The site is suitable for the proposed use. There may be noise	
Proposed Use	impacts which arise from the proximity of the East Coast Main Line	
	to the north. Mitigation measures may be required. Surrounding	
	uses include housing, a car dealership, and the Park and Choose on	
	the other side of the railway.	
Fit with local/	The site is adjacent to a main settlement within the East Lothian SDA	

strategic policy	as identified within SESplan. Its development would therefore align	
objectives and	well with strategic policy objectives of steering new development	
direction	towards the most sustainable locations within the city region. For	
	assessment against other more detailed policy objectives see	
	'Potential Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	Access to the site can be achieved. There are traffic capacity	
infrastructure	constraints within the Musselburgh area and further consideration is	
capacity	required to establish how these might be mitigated. The site is	
	served by Roseberry Water Treatment Works and Seafield Waste	
	Water Treatment Works which both have available capacity.	
Service	The site is within the catchments of Wallyford Primary School which	
infrastructure	has no capacity and is to be replaced. Its ability to expand is subject	
capacity	to emerging solution. At secondary level the site would be served by	
	Musselburgh Grammar which is subject to potential options for	
	increasing education capacity in the Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	The site has previously been granted planning permission for an	
Effectiveness	affordable housing use and it is being promoted by a housebuilder.	
	The site submission estimates completion within an 18 month	
	period. It has not yet been established whether there is sufficient	
	infrastructure capacity to serve the development and what the	
	timescales would be for achieving this.	



POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA		
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international,	o/?*
Flora and Fauna	national or local nature conservation interest. SNH has advised that	
	there are records of SPA birds using the area, there is suitable	
	habitat on the site, and there is potential connectivity to the SPA,	
	therefore the site should be screened in for consideration through	
	the Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage.	
	The railway line to the north contains priority habitat (neutral	
	grassland). Given the small size of the site it is unlikely there will be	
	significant opportunities for enhanced habitat connectivity.	
Population	The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable	+
	housing to help meet need. It could make a modest contribution to	
	the regeneration of the disadvantaged Pinkie Braes area and of	
	Wallyford. The site has good access to facilities, services, and	
	employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.	
Human Health	There is no known contamination within the site and good access to	o/?
	open space to the west. The site's development could potentially	
	exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre. The	
	proximity of the East Coast Main Line may result in noise issues for	

	residents of the proposed development.	
Soil	The development of the site would not result in the loss of prime	0
	agricultural land and rare or carbon rich soils.	
Water	The site is not in an area of known flood risk and SEPA has not made	0
	any comments regarding flood risk or impacts on the water	
	environment in relation to the site.	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources	o/-
	of air pollution. The site is in a location with good access to local	
	facilities, active travel and public transport accessibility so the need	
	to travel by car is minimised. Yet, the site's development could	
	exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre by	
	increasing vehicular traffic.	
Climatic Factors	Musselburgh is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and	o/-
	closer to major centres of employment than other East Lothian	
	settlements, and therefore development of this site would be	
	focusing development in the most accessible and sustainable	
	locations. The site is very well positioned to access public transport,	
	active travel routes, as well as local facilities and services.	
	Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to	
	some increase in car-based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas	
	emissions. The site's north-west facing aspect does not lend itself to	
	development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land and	0
	resources, being greenfield land of prime agricultural quality.	
Cultural	The site is within the Battle of Pinkie designation; its significance is	o/?
Heritage	uncertain. The land is previously disturbed and archaeological	
-	evaluations in the area show nil results, so it is considered there is	
	low potential for unknown remains.	
Landscape	The site is an open and fairly level area of grassland and some birch	o/-/?
	trees with no distinctive landscape features. However, it affords	
	open views including towards Arthur's Seat and the Pentland hills to	
	the west. It is not within the green belt, having been removed in the	
	current Local Plan. If noise mitigation measures are required, they	
	may have an impact upon the landscape and/or surrounding views	
	from the East Coast main Line. While a logical infill site contained by	
	roads and railway, it would have some impacts on settlement	
	coalescence between Musselburgh and Wallyford.	

SITE INFORMATION		
Торіс	Comments	
Site Name	Wallyford	
Site Ref	PM/MH/HSG024	
Source of Site	Agent (Derek Scott Planning) on behalf of Dunalastair Estates Group	
Suggestion		
Site Size (ha)	86.3ha	
Current Use	Agricultural (allocated for housing in ELLP 2008).	
Proposed Use	Increase in current allocated capacity from 1050 to 1400/1500.	
Summary	A large mainly greenfield site, largely in agricultural use, also including the	
Description	former Wallyford bing and woodland. It wraps around the eastern edge of	
	Wallyford and is bounded to the south-east by the A1, to the west by Salter's	
	Road, and to the north by the A199. It is allocated for 1000 homes in the	
	current Local Plan and benefits from planning permission for 1050 homes.	



0 75 160 300 Melen

Reproduce dby permitsion of Orchance Seneryce be kalifor HBSO. (C) Cross Copyright and database right2013. All rights in senired. Orchance Senery Lice ice rember 100623361.



0 60 120 240 Melers

Peprodice dby peim tasion of Ordnance Sumeyon be tail of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Sumey Licence number 100023381.

SUITABILITY AN	D DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is located within and adjacent to the existing Wallyford	
	settlement boundary. It is reasonably well related to it, though is of	
	a very large scale. It is currently allocated for development.	
Accessibility	Wallyford's overall accessibility via public transport to the wider city	
	region and key employment locations as well as health and retail	
	facilities ranks second among other settlements in East Lothian,	
	behind only Musselburgh. The site is within 400m of bus stops on	
	Salters Road and the A199 and within 800m of Wallyford rail station.	
	There are regular bus services to Edinburgh and the Western	
	General Hospital, Tranent, Pencaitland and Haddington. There are	
	also frequent rail services to Edinburgh, Musselburgh, Prestonpans,	
	Longniddry, Drem and North Berwick . The site is within 1600m of a	
	range of services including local shops, primary school, community	
	centre, and library.	
Exposure	Parts of the site will be well sheltered from northerly winds by	
	existing development and vegetation but the eastern and southern	
	parts of the site are at a higher elevation and do not benefit from	
	this shelter.	
Aspect	The site mainly faces north and north-west, except on the very	
	eastern edge, which faces east.	
Suitability for	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use. There may be	
Proposed Use	noise impacts which arise from the proximity of the A1 to the South	
	of the site. The north east of the site may also be impacted by noise	
	from the East Coast main line due to its proximity. Mitigation	

	measures may be required.	
Fit with local/	The site is adjacent to a main settlement within the East Lothian SDA	
strategic policy	as identified within SESplan. Its development would therefore align	
objectives and	well with strategic policy objectives of steering new development	
direction	towards the most sustainable locations within the city region. For	
	assessment against other more detailed policy objectives see	
	'Potential Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	The site can be physically accessed and the concept has already	
infrastructure	been approved, involving upgrades to Salter's Road. There are	
capacity	traffic capacity constraints in the nearby Musselburgh area and	
	further consideration is required to establish how cumulative	
	impacts might be mitigated. The site is served by Roseberry Water	
	Treatment Works and Seafield Waste Water Treatment Works which	
	both have available capacity.	
Service	Development on the scale proposed will require a new primary	
infrastructure	school. The site is within the catchment of Wallyford Primary	
capacity	School, which has no capacity and is due to be replaced as part of	
	the 1000-house allocation on this site, and this may have the ability	
	to expand further in future subject to emerging solutions. At	
	secondary level the site would be served by Musselburgh Grammar	
	which is subject to potential options for increasing education	
	capacity in the Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	The site has planning permission for 1050 homes. It is currently	
Effectiveness	uncertain whether there is sufficient infrastructure to serve a larger	
	number of dwellings within the site, and the timescales for achieving	
	this. Parts of the site are within the Coal Mining Development High	
	Risk Area and a Coal Mining Risk Assessment is therefore likely to be	
	required. Contamination and ground conditions are not expected to	
	pose any constraints to development.	







POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA			
Торіс	Comments	Score	
Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna	The site is not within any areas designated for their international or national nature conservation interest. It is, however, around 1km from the Musselburgh lagoons listed wildlife site and around 1.2km from the Firth of Forth SPA/Ramsar site. SNH has advised that there are records of SPA birds using the area, there is suitable habitat on the site, and there is potential connectivity to the SPA, therefore the site should be screened in for consideration through the Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage, in combination with other sites. There are areas of priority habitat (dense scrub) within the wooded bing areas. There are no protected trees on or near the site (although there is a TPO on the opposite side of the A199). Development of the site may provide opportunities to improve habitat connectivity.	o/+/?*	
Population	The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet need. Its development would contribute to the regeneration of Wallyford. The site has good access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.	+	
Human Health	There are areas of contamination associated with the former quarrying activities and the bing in the centre of the site, with potential to remediate this through development. The site is accessible to existing open space (the bing/woodland area) and core paths to W and S. Development of this scale has potential to provide significant new open space. The site's development could exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre. The proximity of the A1 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development.	+/?	
Soil	The development of the site would result in the significant loss of an area of class 2 prime agricultural land and smaller area of class 1. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.	-	
Water	SEPA's flood map indicates some areas of surface water flood risk within the site. The site will potentially require a drainage impact assessment. As the site is at the bottom of a relatively steep slope SEPA recommends the use of water resistant materials and construction to mitigate the potential impacts of surface water runoff.	?	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution though there may be some odour or noise impacts arising from Wallyford Industrial Estate, adjacent to the central part	o/-	

	of the site. The site is in a location with good access to local	
	facilities, active travel and public transport accessibility so the need	
	to travel by car is minimised. Yet, the site's development could	
	exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre by	
	increasing vehicular traffic.	
Climatic Factors	Wallyford is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and	o/-
	closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian	-
	settlements, and therefore development of this site would be	
	focusing development in the most accessible and sustainable	
	locations. The site is well positioned to access public transport,	
	active travel routes, as well as local facilities and services.	
	Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to	
	some increase in car-based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas	
	emissions. The site's north and east facing aspects do not lend itself	
	to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar	
	gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land and	
	resources, being a significant area of greenfield land of prime	
	agricultural quality.	
Cultural	The site is within a key area of the designated Battle of Pinkie site. It	-/?
Heritage	has previously been archaeologically evaluated and there are known	
	remains in the south-west area, which will require further work.	
	There is also considered to be very high potential for unknown	
	remains as well as known remains in the area.	
Landscape	The proposed development would represent a significant urban	o/-/?
	expansion of Wallyford, wrapping around the whole of the southern	
	and eastern edge of the existing village and extending into the	
	surrounding countryside up to the A1 to the south-east. The	
	southern half of the site consists of three agricultural fields, the	
	eastern half is a further two fields, and Wallyford Bing separates	
	these two halves. The two southernmost fields are bounded by	
	Salter's Road to the west and the A1 to the south, with existing	
	housing in Fa'side Avenue South facing onto the site from the north.	
	Development here represents a logical southerly expansion of the	
	village in landscape terms, although the site is visually prominent	
	from Salter's Road (from which the A1 to the south-east is not visible	
	due to the topography). The next field to the north is visually well-	
	contained between Fa'side Terrace and Wallyford Bing (which sits at	
	a higher level and is covered in vegetation). Along the southern	
	boundary of the site adjoining the A1 there is a degree of screening	
	by roadside vegetation and only limited glimpses into the site from	
	the road. The eastern part of the site is visually prominent from the	
	A1 to the east over an intervening field, due to the topography and	
	lack of screening vegetation by the roadside. This eastern part of	
	the site is bounded to the west by the existing village and the	
	vegetated bing, and to the east by a field boundary within a	
	depression in the landform and by a row of trees running south-east	
	to the A1. There are open views westwards across this part of the	
	site from the A1 towards the Pentland hills and Arthur's Seat and	
	northwards towards the Firth of Forth. If noise mitigation measures	
	are required, they may have an impact upon the landscape and/or	
L		

surrounding views from the A1 and/or East Coast main Line.	
Development here would represent a significant expansion into the	
open setting of the village, although this land is of comparatively	
limited landscape quality and suitable structure planting around and	
within the site could mitigate its impact. The site is not within the	
green belt, having been removed from it and allocated for	
development in the current Local Plan. Its development would not	
result in settlement coalescence and the A1 would provide a long-	
term defensible boundary to the south.	

SITE INFORMATION		
Торіс	Comments	
Site Name	Dolphingstone	
Site Ref	PM/MH/HSG008	
Source of Site	Agent (Derek Scott Planning) on behalf of landowner (Malcolm Scott	
Suggestion	Developments)	
Site Size (ha)	32.38ha	
Current Use	Agricultural Land	
Proposed Use	Housing	
Summary	A large greenfield site adjoining the existing Wallyford allocation, bordered by	
Description	the A1 to the south and the A199 to the north.	



1

1


0 50 100 200 Meters

Peproduced by peim ission of Ordnance Surveyor be half of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100023381.

	D DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is not within an existing settlement and is not well related to	
	one. It does however adjoin the existing Local Plan allocation at	
	Wallyford and in the case of that land being developed then this site	
	would adjoin the resulting enlarged settlement of Wallyford.	
Accessibility	Wallyford's overall accessibility via public transport to the wider city	
	region and key employment locations as well as health and retail	
	facilities ranks second among other settlements in East Lothian,	
	behind only Musselburgh. The site is within 400m of a bus stop that	
	provides regular services to Edinburgh, Fort Kinnaird and locations	
	within East Lothian including Tranent and Haddington. The site is	
	not within 800m of a railway station but is within walking distance of	
	Wallyford station (approximately 1300m). The site is within walking	
	distance (1600m) of a range of local facilities in Wallyford including a	
	primary school, library and limited local shopping provision.	
Exposure	The site rises in elevation to the south leaving it relatively exposed	
	to northerly winds.	
Aspect	The site is generally north west facing.	
Suitability for	In physical terms the site is generally suitable for the proposed	
Proposed Use	housing use and would not result in land use conflicts with	
	surrounding land uses which include agriculture, the A1 and a	
	recycling centre to the north on the northern side of the A199. There	
	may be noise impacts arising from the proximity of the A1 to the	
	South of the site and mitigation measures may be required.	

Fit with	Although within the East Lothian SDA as identified within SESplan,	
strategic policy	the site is not within a main settlement that provides a wide range	
objectives and	of facilities and services. Its development would therefore align	
direction	quite well with strategic policy objectives of steering new	
	development towards the most sustainable locations within the city	
	region. For assessment against other policy objectives see 'Potential	
	Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	The site would be served by Rosebery Water Treatment Works and	
infrastructure	Seafield Waste Water Treatment Works, which both have available	
capacity	capacity. Vehicular access would be required from the A199 and the	
	existing speed limit would likely need to be reduced. The additional	
	traffic movements that could be generated from the proposed	
	housing may put a significant amount of pressure on the	
	Dolphingstone junction when considered together with impacts	
	from other planned housing developments in the area.	
Service	Primary and secondary school catchments currently cut across the	
infrastructure	site, with part of the site lying in the catchment for Wallyford	
capacity	Primary School and Musselburgh Grammar Secondary School and	
capacity	part of the site lying in the catchment for Sandersons Wynd Primary	
	School and Ross High Secondary School in Tranent. Wallyford	
	Primary School has no capacity and is to be replaced. Its ability to	
	expand is subject to emerging solutions. Musselburgh Grammar is	
	subject to potential options for increasing education capacity in the	
	Musselburgh cluster. Sanderson's Wynd Primary School has limited	
	capacity but may be able to expand on the current site. Ross High	
	School has no capacity but may be able to expand. The large scale of	
	development proposed would result in the need for increased	
	education capacity as the existing schools in their current form could	
	not accommodate the pupils that would arise from a development	
	of this size.	
Deliverability/	The delivery of this site would be dependent upon infrastructure	
Effectiveness	solutions being secured for the wider area and significant level of	
	growth it is likely to experience. Comprehensive solutions will be	
	required for education capacity and transport impacts on	
	Dolphingstone junction and the local road network. The delivery of	
	this site would also need to be coordinated with, and is reliant upon,	
	the delivery of the adjacent housing allocation at Wallyford.	
	Parts of the site are within the Coal Mining Development High Risk	
	Area and a Coal Mining Risk Assessment is therefore likely to be	
	required.	
L		





POTENTIAL IMPA	CTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA	
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna	The site is not within any areas designated for their international, national or local nature conservation importance but is in relative	o/?*
	proximity to the Forth SPA and is screened in for consideration through the HRA process at this stage. There are no protected trees	
	within the site, and no notable species have been recorded within the site. SNH has not raised concerns with regards impacts on	
	biodiversity, flora and fauna.	
Population	The proposed development would provide affordable housing to meet local need and could help contribute towards the regeneration of Wallyford, one of East Lothian's more deprived communities. The site has good access by public transport to town centres nearby	+
	and Edinburgh city centre.	
Human Health	The site is not known to be contaminated. The site is not particularly accessible to existing local areas of open space or sports facilities, but combined with the existing Wallyford housing allocation it would provide opportunities to provide new high quality open spaces and facilities and to ensure green network linkages with	o/?
	existing communities. The site is not connected to the Core Path network but this does pass through the neighbouring Wallyford housing allocation so presents opportunities for additional linkages.	
Soil	The development of the site would result in a significant loss of class 1 (majority) and 2 (minority) prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.	-
Water	The site is within Potentially Vulnerable Areas 10/21 and 10/23. SEPA's flood map does not show the site to be at risk of river or coastal flooding but small areas of the site are at risk of surface water flooding. SEPA has commented that a Flood Risk Assessment would be required to assess the flood risk from the small watercourses that flow adjacent to the site. SEPA has also commented that due to the sloping nature of parts of the site careful consideration would need to be given to surface water runoff issues.	?
Air	The site has good public transport accessibility to minimise the need to travel by car, however the limited range of local facilities, and proximity to the A1 Dolphingstone junction will likely still result in an increase in car travel as a result of the development, leading to increased emissions. Additionally, it is likely that development on the site could lead to an increase in car travel to Musselburgh, the nearest town and this could exacerbate current air quality problems in the town.	0
Climatic Factors	Wallyford is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and	o/-

	closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development in the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is well positioned to access public transport, active travel routes, as well as local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car-based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-west facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site is greenfield land and its development would not therefore reuse existing buildings or previously developed land. It is also prime quality agricultural land and its development would lead to a large reduction in this important and finite land resource for food production.	
Cultural Heritage	The site is not within a Conservation Area and there are no listed buildings or scheduled monuments within the site boundary. However the category A listed Dolphingstone Dovecot lies only 60m from the site's eastern boundary and Historic Scotland has advised that the development of the site would encroach upon the immediate setting of the Dovecot. The site is within the Battle of Pinkie battlefield designation however Historic Scotland considers that the site does not contribute strongly to the understanding of the battlefield landscape and its development would not raise issues of national importance. Metal detector surveys in adjacent areas have uncovered no battle remains in the immediate vicinity. A number of undesignated cropmarks are located within the area, although it is likely they relate to mining. The Council's Archaeology Service considers there is low to moderate potential for unknown archaeological remains.	-/?
Landscape	The site is largely devoid of natural boundary features and is highly visible from the surrounding landscape and road network, including the A1 to the south, from which there are open views across the site towards Edinburgh and the Firth of Forth. The site is within the Edinburgh Green Belt and helps maintain the separation between Wallyford and Prestonpans, especially when seen in long distance views from higher land to the south such as around Fa'side and Tranent. The scale of development proposed would represent a significant encroachment into the Green Belt and may undermine its role in preventing coalescence. SNH has raised concerns in this respect. At a more localised scale, landscape features within the site include the natural stone wall along sections of the eastern boundary, which is of significant visual amenity although is in need of repair. There is a grouping of mature trees along the south western boundary, which should be retained for their amenity value. If additional noise mitigation measures are required, these may also have an impact upon the landscape and/or views from the A1.	-/

SITE INFORMATION	N
Торіс	Comments
Site Name	South West Wallyford
Site Ref	PM/MH/HSG067
Source of Site	Agent (Geddes Consulting) on behalf of landowner (Sirius Sport & Leisure Ltd
Suggestion	and Howard Wallace)
Site Size (ha)	23.2ha
Current Use	Agricultural and partly-constructed greyhound statium
Proposed Use	Housing – 194 homes, relocated stadium parking, business park.
Summary	A large site that is mainly greenfield and in agricultural use, located to the
Description	south-west of Wallyford. It includes a partly completed greyhound stadium in
	the north, and in the north-east land allocated for business has permission for
	housing. The southern part of the site is not currently allocated for any
	development.



0 0.050.10.150.2 kilometres

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2011. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Icense number 100023381.



0 40 80 160 Mekers

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Surveyor be half of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100023381.

SUITABILITY AN	ID DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is outwith the Wallyford settlement boundary but is well related to it.	
Accessibility	Wallyford's overall accessibility via public transport to the wider city region and key employment locations as well as health and retail facilities ranks second among other settlements in East Lothian, behind only Musselburgh. The site is within 400m of a bus stop on Salter's Road although this stop serves very few buses. Bus stops 200m further up Salter's Road have a frequent service to Edinburgh (terminus of the Lothian 44 route). Wallyford rail station is not within 800m but is within walkable distance (around 1200m). There are a number of facilities within 1600m including local shops, primary school and library. Musselburgh town centre is around 2.1km from the site, with a wide range of facilities, services, and employment opportunities.	
Exposure	Existing development to the north will provide a degree of shelter in the northern part of the site but southern parts of the site are more exposed.	
Aspect	The site faces north-west.	
Suitability for Proposed Use	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use. Adjacent land uses are residential and agricultural and should not present amenity conflicts. Appropriate design within the site would be needed to ensure no conflict between the stadium and residential uses. There may be noise impacts which arise from the proximity of the East Coast Main Line to the North and the A1 to the South of the site. Mitigation measures may be required.	

Fit with local/	The site is adjacent to a main settlement within the East Lothian SDA	
strategic policy	as identified within SESplan. Its development would therefore align	
objectives and	well with strategic policy objectives of steering new development	
direction	towards the most sustainable locations within the city region. For	
	assessment against other more detailed policy objectives see	
	'Potential Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	Access onto Salter's Road is achievable, however here are traffic	
infrastructure	capacity constraints within the Musselburgh area and further	
capacity	consideration is required to establish how these might be mitigated.	
	The site is served by Roseberry Water Treatment Works and Seafield	
	Waste Water Treatment Works which both have available capacity.	
Service	The site is within the catchment of Wallyford Primary School, which	
infrastructure	has no capacity and is due to be replaced, but may have the ability	
capacity	to expand in future subject to emerging solutions. At secondary	
	level the site would be served by Musselburgh Grammar which is	
	subject to potential options for increasing education capacity in the	
	Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	The site is being promoted for development by the landowner. It	
Effectiveness	has not yet been established whether there is sufficient	
	infrastructure capacity to serve the development and what the	
	timescales would be for achieving this. A small part of the site is	
	within the Coal Mining Development High Risk Area and a Coal	
	Mining Risk Assessment may therefore be required.	





POTENTIAL IMPA	CTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA	
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international,	o/?*
Flora and Fauna	national or local nature conservation interest but is within 1.2km of	
	the Musselburgh lagoons wildlife site and within 1.5km of the Firth	
	of Forth SPA/Ramsar site SNH has advised that there are records of	
	SPA birds using the area, there is suitable habitat on the site, and	
	there is potential connectivity to the SPA, therefore the site should	
	be screened in for consideration through the Habitats Regulations	
	Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage. The railway line to the north	
	of the site contains priority habit (neutral grassland). There are no	
	protected trees on or near the site.	

Population	The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable	+
	housing to help meet need. Its development could contribute to the	
	regeneration of Wallyford. The site has reasonable access to	
	facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or	
	public transport.	
Human Health	The site has no known contamination and good access to the core	+/?
	path network. Its development could exacerbate air quality	
	problems in Musselburgh town centre. The proximity of the A1 and	
	East Coast Main Line to parts of the site may result in noise issues	
	for residents of the proposed development.	
Soil	The development of the site would result in a significant loss of class	-
	1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on	
	this site.	
Water	SEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface and river	?
	water flooding, mainly on the western edge of the site. SEPA has	
	not made any comments regarding flood risk or impacts on the	
	water environment in relation to the site.	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources	o/-
	of air pollution. The site is in a location with good access to local	
	facilities, active travel and public transport accessibility so the need	
	to travel by car is minimised. Yet, the site's development could	
	exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre by	
	increasing vehicular traffic.	
Climatic Factors	Wallyford is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and	o/-
	closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian	
	settlements, and therefore development of this site would be	
	focusing development in the most accessible and sustainable	
	locations. The site is well positioned to access public transport,	
	active travel routes, as well as local facilities and services.	
	Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to	
	some increase in car-based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas	
	emissions. The site's north-west facing aspect does not lend itself to	
	development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land and	
	resources, being greenfield land of prime agricultural quality.	
Cultural	The site is in the core area of the designated Pinkie battlefield and	-/?
Heritage	contributes strongly towards understanding of the battlefield	
	landscape. Historic Scotland considers its development would raise	
	issues of national importance. Previous archaeological evaluations	
	have identified remains within the area and there is considered to	
	be a very high potential for unknown archaeological remains. The	
	site submission proposes a 'battlefield monument' within the site.	
Landscape	The northern half of the site (north of Victory Lane) includes a field	-//?
-	to the east currently allocated for business use but with housing	
	recently allowed on appeal while to the west lies a partly-	
	constructed greyhound stadium. To the south of Victory Lane is a	
	large open agricultural field. This field is clearly visible from Salter's	
	Road to the east, where there are open long-distance views across it	
	to Arthur's Seat and the Pentland hills. It is also visible in views from	
	Crookston Road further west, looking east towards Fa'side Hill. This	
	field has no particular landscape or topographical features to	
	Crookston Road further west, looking east towards Fa'side Hill. This	

provide visual containment and its development would be visually	
prominent and represent a major intrusion into open countryside,	
affecting the landscape setting of Wallyford. It would not in itself	
cause settlement coalescence. If noise mitigation measures are	
required, they may have an impact upon the landscape and/or	
surrounding views from the A1 and/or East Coast main Line.	

SITE INFORMATIO	N
Торіс	Comments
Site Name	Old Craighall village
Site Ref	PM/MH/HSG056
Source of Site	Agent (Clarendon Planning and Development) on behalf of landowner (Mr and
Suggestion	Mrs Traquair)
Site Size (ha)	2.7ha
Current Use	Agricultural
Proposed Use	Housing – 48 homes
Summary	A small site currently in agricultural use and lying to the south-east of Old
Description	Craighall village. A planning application for residential development was
	recently submitted on part of the site and refused by the Council.



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalt of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2011. At rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Icense number 100023381.



0 25 50 100 Melers

Peprodice dby peim tasion of Ordnance Suneyon be tail of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Suney Licence number 100023381.

SUITABILITY AN	D DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is outwith the settlement boundary of Old Craighall but is	
	well related to it.	
Accessibility	Old Craighall is in close proximity to Musselburgh, whose overall	
	accessibility via public transport to the wider city region and key	
	employment locations as well as health and retail facilities ranks	
	highest among other settlements in East Lothian. The site itself is	
	within 400m of bus stops on Old Craighall Road with a modest level	
	of service between Musselburgh, ERI, Dalkeith, Tranent and Port	
	Seton. It is not within 800m of a rail station; Musselburgh station is	
	around 2km away. There are no facilities within Old Craighall but	
	there is a local shop within 1600m. Musselburgh town centre is	
	around 2.5km away, with a wide range of facilities, services and	
	employment opportunities.	
Exposure	Existing development and a tree belt provide some shelter from	
	northerly winds.	
Aspect	The site faces broadly north-east.	
Suitability for	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use. Neighbouring	
Proposed Use	land uses include residential and agriculture and will not present	
	amenity conflicts. Noise impacts may arise from the nearby roads	
	including the A1 to the north of the site. Mitigation measures may	
	be required.	
Fit with local/	Although within the South East Edinburgh SDA as identified within	
strategic policy	SESplan, the site is outwith a main settlement that provides a wide	
objectives and	range of facilities and services. It is, however, in close proximity to	
direction	Musselburgh. Its development would therefore align quite well with	

	strategic policy objectives of steering new development towards the	
	most sustainable locations within the city region. For assessment	
	against other policy objectives see 'Potential Impacts of	
	Development: SEA'.	
Physical	Access to the site can physically be achieved. There are traffic	
infrastructure	capacity constraints within the Musselburgh area and further	
capacity	consideration is required to establish how these might be mitigated.	
	If this site was developed along with PM/MH/HSG056 and land in	
	Midlothian it may be possible to connect to the A68/A720 junction.	
	The site is served by Glencorse Water Treatment Works which has	
	capacity and the Old Craighall septic tank which has very limited	
	capacity.	
Service	The site is partly within the catchment of Campie Primary School and	
infrastructure	partly within that of Whitecraig Primary. Campie Primary School has	
capacity	limited capacity, is landlocked, and cannot expand. Whitecraig	
	Primary School has no capacity, and may be able to expand on the	
	current site but additional land may also be required. At secondary	
	level the site would be served by Musselburgh Grammar which is	
	subject to potential options for increasing education capacity in the	
	Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	The site is being promoted for development by the landowner and	
Effectiveness	there is developer interest. A planning application has recently been	
	submitted It has not yet been established whether there is	
	sufficient infrastructure capacity to serve the development and what	
	the timescales would be for achieving this. Small parts of the site	
	are within the Coal Mining Development High Risk Area and a Coal	
	Mining Risk Assessment may therefore be required.	





POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA		
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international,	o/?*

Flora and Fauna	national or local nature conservation interest. However, SNH has	
	advised that there are records of SPA birds using the area, there is	
	suitable habitat on the site, and there is potential connectivity to the	
	SPA, therefore the site should be screened in for consideration	
	through the Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) process at this	
	stage. There are no protected trees on or near the site.	
Population	The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable	+
	housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to	
	facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or	
	public transport.	
Human Health	There is no known contamination within the site and it is located	o/?
	close to open space within the village. It has poor access to the core	
	path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into	
	Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be	
	used for recreation. The proximity of the A1and A720 may result in	
	noise issues for residents of the proposed development.	
Soil	The development of the site would result in some loss of class 1	-
	prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this	
	site.	
Water	SEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water	?
	flooding. The site will potentially require a drainage impact	
	assessment. SEPA has not made any comments regarding flood risk	
	or impacts on the water environment in relation to the site.	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources	o/-
	of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public	
	transport accessibility, however given the limited facilities and	
	employment opportunities available locally its development is still	
	likely to increase the need to travel by private car.	
Climatic Factors	The site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly	o/-
	accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of	
	employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and	
	therefore development of this site would be focusing development	
	towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is	
	well positioned to access public transport, active travel routes, as	
	well as some local facilities and services. Realistically, however,	
	development on the site would still lead to some increase in car-	
	based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's	
	north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is	
	resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land and	_
	resources, being greenfield land of prime agricultural quality.	
Cultural	Historic Scotland has concerns about this site's impact on the setting	-/?
Heritage	of the A-listed Monkton House and advises that it may object.	7.
	However, this impact could be reduced by excluding the field	
	immediately north-east of the house. A planning application was	
	submitted in January 2014 that excluded this area. Views to and	
	from the house would need to be considered in the design of any	
	development. There is a Scheduled Monument around 60m south-	
	east of the site and there is considered to be moderate to good	
Landscana	potential for unknown archaeological remains.	0/12
Landscape	The site is open and fairly level agricultural land and is clearly visible	o/-/?

from the A1 to the north (which is on an embankment). If noise	
mitigation measures are required, they may have an impact upon	
the landscape and/or surrounding views from the A1. It is less	
visible from the A720 to the east due to the topography and the	
presence of vegetation by the road. The site is adjacent to existing	
development at Old Craighall and would represent a logical	
expansion of the village in landscape terms. However, the site has a	
largely open setting and is not visually well contained in the	
landscape, other than the western part of the site, which is a walled	
garden associated with Monkton House. Robust landscape	
treatment would be required to mitigate the visual impact of	
development. The site is within the green belt but its development	
would not in itself result in coalescence between settlements or	
major impacts on their settings.	

SITE INFORMATION	N
Торіс	Comments
Site Name	Newton Farm, Old Craighall
Site Ref	SDP/MH/HSG003
Source of Site	Agent (Holder Planning) on behalf of Cala Homes – submitted to SESplan Main
Suggestion	Issues Report
Site Size (ha)	13.8ha
Current Use	Agricultural
Proposed Use	Housing
Summary	A greenfield site currently in agricultural use located to the east of Old
Description	Craighall village and immediately to the west of the A720 Edinburgh City
	Bypass. Its southern boundary is the Council boundary with Midlothian;
	development is also being promoted on the Midlothian side.



50 100 150 200 metres 0 t

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. [C] Crown Copyr and database right 2011. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey license number 100023381.



0 50 100 150 200 metres

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyr and database right 2011. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey license number 100023381.

SUITABILITY AN	D DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is outwith the settlement boundary of Old Craighall and is	
	poorly related to it. If the intervening land were developed	
	(PM/MH/HSG056) then the site would adjoin the extended settlement.	
Accessibility	Old Craighall is in close proximity to Musselburgh, whose overall	
	accessibility via public transport to the wider city region and key	
	employment locations as well as health and retail facilities ranks	
	highest among other settlements in East Lothian. The site itself is	
	within 400m of bus stops on Old Craighall Road with a modest level	
	of service between Musselburgh, ERI, Dalkeith, Tranent and Port	
	Seton. It is not within 800m of a rail station; Musselburgh station is	
	around 2.5km away. There are no facilities within Old Craighall but	
	there is a local shop within 1600m. Musselburgh town centre is	
	around 3km away, with a wide range of facilities, services and	
	employment opportunities.	
Exposure	The site benefits from relatively limited shelter from northerly	
	winds.	
Aspect	The site faces north-east.	
Suitability for	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use. Adjacent land	
Proposed Use	uses are residential and agricultural, which should present no	
	amenity conflicts. There may be noise impacts which arise from the	
	proximity of the A720 to the eastern site boundary and the A1 to the	
	north east of the site. Mitigation measures may be required.	
Fit with local/	Although within the South East Edinburgh SDA as identified within	

strategic policy	SESplan, the site is outwith a main settlement that provides a wide	
objectives and	range of facilities and services. It is, however, in close proximity to	
direction	Musselburgh. Its development would therefore align quite well with	
	strategic policy objectives of steering new development towards the	
	most sustainable locations within the city region. For assessment	
	against other policy objectives see 'Potential Impacts of	
	Development: SEA'.	
Physical	Access can physically be achieved. There are traffic capacity	
infrastructure	constraints within the Musselburgh area and further consideration is	
capacity	required to establish how these might be mitigated. If the site was	
	developed along with land in Midlothian it may be possible to	
	connect to the A68/A720 junction. The site is served by Glencorse	
	Water Treatment Works and Seafield Waste Water Treatment	
	Works, which both have capacity available.	
Service	The site is partly within the catchment of Campie Primary School and	
infrastructure	partly within that of Whitecraig Primary School. Campie Primary has	
capacity	limited capacity, is landlocked, and cannot expand. Whitecraig	
	Primary has no capacity, and may be able to expand on current site	
	but additional land may also be required. At secondary level the site	
	it served by Musselburgh Grammar, which is subject to potential	
	options for increasing education capacity in the Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	The site submission indicates the land is in the control of a	
Effectiveness	housebuilder. It has not yet been established whether there is	
	sufficient infrastructure capacity to serve the development and what	
	the timescales would be for achieving this. Small parts of the site	
	are within the Coal Mining Development High Risk Area and a Coal	
	Mining Risk Assessment may therefore be required.	





TopicCommentsScoreBiodiversity, Flora and FaunaThe site is not within any areas designated for their international, national or local nature conservation interest. It is, however, around 2.5km from the Firth of Forth SPA/Ramsar site. Suitable habitat for SPA species is present and there are records of pink-footed geese and golden plover in the area and the site should therefore be screened in to the HRA process at this stage. The road verges of the A720 to the east of the site and there site should therefore be screened in to the HRA process at this stage. The road verges of the A720 to the east of the site and to the site should therefore be opportunities to improve habitat connectivity. There are no protected trees on or near the site.••/*PopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.•//?Human HealthThere is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with former quarrying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are on crare or carbon rich soils on this site.•/?WaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water fooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.o/-AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of ai	POTENTIAL IMPA	CTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA	
Flora and Fauna national or local nature conservation interest. It is, however, around 2.Skm from the Firth of Forth SPA/Ramsar site. Suitable habitat for SPA species is present and there are records of pink-footed geese and golden plover in the area and the site should therefore be screened in to the HRA process at this stage. The road verges of the A720 to the east of the site are designated pirority habitat (neutral grassland), as is an area to the west of the site on the other side of Old Craighall Road. Development of the site may present opportunities to improve habitat connectivity. There are no protected trees on or near the site. Population The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport. Human Health There is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with former quarrying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musseelburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development. Soil The development of the site would rosult in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site. ? Water SEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this	Торіс		Score
Flora and Fauna national or local nature conservation interest. It is, however, around 2.5km from the Firth of Forth SPA/Ramsar site. Suitable habitat for SPA species is present and there are records of pink-footed geese and golden plover in the area and the site should therefore be screened in to the HRA process at this stage. The road verges of the A720 to the east of the site are designated piroity habitat (neutral grassland), as is an area to the west of the site on the other side of Old Craighall Road. Development of the site may present opportunities to improve habitat connectivity. There are no protected trees on or near the site. + Population The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport. + Human Health There is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with former quarrying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development. • Soil The development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 • prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site. • • Water SEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated t		The site is not within any areas designated for their international,	o/?*
SPA species is present and there are records of pink-footed geese and golden plover in the area and the site should therefore be screened in to the HRA process at this stage. The road verges of the A720 to the east of the site are designated priority habitat (neutral grassland), as is an area to the west of the site on the other side of Old Craighall Road. Development of the site may present opportunities to improve habitat connectivity. There are no protected trees on or near the site.+PopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.+Human HealthThere is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with former quarrying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed developmentSoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this siteWaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.O/-AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and empl	Flora and Fauna		
and golden plover in the area and the site should therefore be screened in to the HRA process at this stage. The road verges of the A720 to the east of the site are designated priority habitat (neutral grassland), as is an area to the west of the site on the other side of Old Craighall Road. Development of the site may present opportunities to improve habitat connectivity. There are no protected trees on or near the site.+PopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.+Human HealthThere is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with former quarying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development.•SoilThe development of the site submission within the site. SEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.o/-AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and there		2.5km from the Firth of Forth SPA/Ramsar site. Suitable habitat for	
screened in to the HRA process at this stage. The road verges of the A720 to the east of the site are designated priority habitat (neutral grassland), as is an area to the west of the site on the other side of Old Craighall Road. Development of the site may present opportunities to improve habitat connectivity. There are no protected trees on or near the site.+PopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.+Human HealthThere is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with forme quarrying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musseburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development.SoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.WaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable locations. The site is and employment opportunities available locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active tra		SPA species is present and there are records of pink-footed geese	
A720 to the east of the site are designated priority habitat (neutral grassland), as is an area to the west of the site on the other side of Old Craighall Road. Development of the site may present opportunities to improve habitat connectivity. There are no protected trees on or near the site.+PopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.+Human HealthThere is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with former quarrying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed developmentSoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this siteWaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submicing a watercourse.O/-AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable accide travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would by private car.O/		and golden plover in the area and the site should therefore be	
grassland), as is an area to the west of the site on the other side of Old Craighall Road. Development of the site may present opportunities to improve habitat connectivity. There are no protected trees on or near the site.+PopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.+Human HealthThere is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with former quarrying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this siteSoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this siteWaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midothian Council area, containing a watercourse.O/-AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locality i		screened in to the HRA process at this stage. The road verges of the	
Old Craighall Road. Development of the site may present opportunities to improve habitat connectivity. There are no protected trees on or near the site.PopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.+Human HealthThere is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with former quarying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed developmentSoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this siteWaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.o/-AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable access to major centres of employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.o/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major		A720 to the east of the site are designated priority habitat (neutral	
opportunities to improve habitat connectivity. There are no protected trees on or near the site.PopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.+Human HealthThere is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with former quarrying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development.+/?SoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.*WaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.o/-AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment tham most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is postioned well to access public transport, active travel orues and some local fac		grassland), as is an area to the west of the site on the other side of	
protected trees on or near the site.PopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.+Human HealthThere is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with former quarrying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed developmentSoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this siteWaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.o/-AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available localily its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.o/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessibile location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other		Old Craighall Road. Development of the site may present	
Population The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport. + Human Health There is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with former quarrying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development. - Soil The development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site. - Water SEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse. o/- Air Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car. o/- Climatic Factors The site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards t		opportunities to improve habitat connectivity. There are no	
housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.Human HealthThere is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with former quarrying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development.+/?SoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.?WaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.0/-AirDevelopment on the site would note be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable accive travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.0/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport. active travel routes and some local facilities and servic		protected trees on or near the site.	
facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.Human HealthThere is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with former quarrying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development.+/?SoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this siteWaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.o/-AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.o/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development to wards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport,	Population	The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable	+
public transport.+/7Human HealthThere is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with former quarying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development.+/7SoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.•WaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.o/-AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.o/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site 's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through sting		housing to help meet need. The site has reasonable access to	
Human Health There is a small area of potential contamination recorded near the edge of the site, associated with former quarrying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development.		facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or	
edge of the site, associated with former quarrying activities. Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development.+/?SoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this siteWaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.0/-AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.0/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development to wards the most accessibuli cransport, active travel ravel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend		public transport.	
Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development.SoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.•WaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.•/-AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.•/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to	Human Health		
access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development.SoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.WaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).		edge of the site, associated with former quarrying activities.	+/?
the core path network although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development.SoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.WaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material AssetsThe site's development would not make efficient use of l		Development may allow for mitigation of this. The site has good	
Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development.SoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.WaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material AssetsThe site's development would not make efficient use of land and		access to existing open space within village. It has poor access to	
used for recreation. The proximity of the A1 and A720 may result in noise issues for residents of the proposed development.SoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.WaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.?AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.o/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).o/-			
noise issues for residents of the proposed development.SoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.WaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.?AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.o/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Jo/-		Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be	
SoilThe development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.WaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.?AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.o/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain)			
prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.WaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.?AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.O/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material Assets			
site.Site.WaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.?AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.O/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material Assets	Soil		-
WaterSEPA's flood map indicates some small areas of surface water flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.?AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.o/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Image: Additional data data data data data data data da			
flooding within the site. SEPA has indicated that a FRA is required for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.Image: Content of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.O/-AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.O/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material Assets	Watar		2
for the site, however this appears to relate to part of the site submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.distanceAirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.o/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).o/-	water		r
submission within the Midlothian Council area, containing a watercourse.ofAirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.o/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material Assets			
watercourse.of-AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.o/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).o/-			
AirDevelopment on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.o/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain)			
of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).A	Air		0/-
transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.o/-Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain)			07-
and employment opportunities available locally its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).•			
still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain)			
Climatic FactorsThe site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material AssetsThe site's development would not make efficient use of land and-			
accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material AssetsThe site's development would not make efficient use of land and	Climatic Factors		0/-
employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material AssetsThe site's development would not make efficient use of land and	cimatic ractors		0,
therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material AssetsThe site's development would not make efficient use of land and			
towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material AssetsThe site's development would not make efficient use of land and-			
positioned well to access public transport, active travel routes and some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material AssetsThe site's development would not make efficient use of land and			
some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material AssetsThe site's development would not make efficient use of land and			
development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Here are a set of the site's development would not make efficient use of land andMaterial AssetsThe site's development would not make efficient use of land and-			
based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material AssetsThe site's development would not make efficient use of land and		• • • • •	
north-east facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material AssetsThe site's development would not make efficient use of land and		•	
resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).Material AssetsThe site's development would not make efficient use of land and			
Material Assets The site's development would not make efficient use of land and -			
resources, being greenfield land of prime agricultural quality.	Material Assets		-
		resources, being greenfield land of prime agricultural quality.	

Cultural	The site contains a Scheduled Menument and is immediately	()
Heritage	The site contains a Scheduled Monument and is immediately adjacent to another Scheduled Monument and the Newton House	-/?
Hentage	GDL. These latter two designations are within the wider site	
	-	
	promoted for development that also includes land in Midlothian.	
	Historic Scotland raises significant concerns about the impact on the	
	Scheduled Monuments and their settings and is likely to object	
	unless impacts are avoided through either revising site boundaries	
	or a robust design that avoided impacts and addressed future	
	management. Historic Scotland is also likely to object due to	
	impacts on the immediate setting of the category A-listed Monkton	
	House. Historic Scotland also raises concerns over impacts on the	
	Newton House Inventory GDL in Midlothian. It is considered there is	
	a high potential for unknown archaeological remains in the area.	
Landscape	The site is a large area of open and fairly level agricultural land	-//?
	located to the south and east of Old Craighall village and contained	
	to the east by the A720 city bypass. There is a minor road and tree	
	belt forming the boundary to the north and a narrow belt of mature	
	trees to the south, although the full extent of the proposed	
	development site extends south beyond this into Midlothian. The	
	site wraps around the walls of the A-listed Monkton House and	
	extends west to Old Craighall Road. The site is clearly visible from	
	the A1 to the north (which is on an embankment). It screened to an	
	extent from the A720 to the east due to the topography and the	
	presence of vegetation by the road. There are few features within	
	the site itself other than infrastructure such as electricity pylons	
	crossing the southern part and a mobile phone mast in the north-	
	east corner. The openness of the site affords views out including to	
	Inveresk, Millerhill, and Carberry. If noise mitigation measures are	
	required, they may have an impact upon the landscape and/or	
	surrounding views from the A720 and the A1. Development of the	
	site itself would not represent a logical extension of Old Craighall,	
	being separated from it by another field, however it this intervening	
	field was developed (PM/MH/HSG056) then this site would form the	
	next logical extension. The scale of the site is significantly larger	
	than the existing village and therefore the proposals would	
	represent a significant change in the character of this small village in	
	an open agricultural setting, to a much larger urban area. The site is	
	within the green belt. Its development would not in itself result in	
	settlement coalescence.	
L	1	

SITE INFORMATION	N
Торіс	Comments
Site Name	Land SW of Old Craighall Junction
Site Ref	PM/MH/BUS002
Source of Site	Agent (Geddes Consulting) on behalf of landowner (Lothian Park Ltd)
Suggestion	
Site Size (ha)	5.2ha
Current Use	Agricultural
Proposed Use	Business
Summary	A greenfield site in agricultural use located immediately adjacent to Old
Description	Craighall junction, where the A720 Edinburgh City Bypass meets the A1. The
	site is to the north-east of Old Craighall village.



Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2011. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Icense number 100023381.



0 50 100 150 200 metres

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyr and database right 2011. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey license number 100023381.

SUITABILITY AN	D DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is not within an existing settlement boundary. It adjoins	
	that of Old Craighall but given the size of the proposed development	
	it does not relate particularly well to the existing settlement.	
Accessibility	Old Craighall is in close proximity to Musselburgh, whose overall	
	accessibility via public transport to the wider city region and key	
	employment locations as well as health and retail facilities ranks	
	highest among other settlements in East Lothian. The site itself is	
	within 400m of bus stops on Old Craighall Road with a modest level	
	of service between Musselburgh, ERI, Dalkeith, Tranent and Port	
	Seton. It is not within 800m of a rail station although Musselburgh	
	station is within walkable distance (around 1700m). There are no	
	facilities within Old Craighall but there is a local shop within 1600m.	
	Musselburgh town centre is around 2.5km away, with a wide range	
	of facilities and services. Importantly for a proposed business use,	
	the site is very close to the trunk road network.	
Exposure	The site is relatively well sheltered from northerly winds by the road	
	embankment to the north.	
Aspect	The site faces north-east.	
Suitability for	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use. There may be	
Proposed Use	noise impacts which arise from the proximity of the A720 to the east	
	and the A1 to the north of the site. Mitigation measures may be	
	required. However as the proposed use is business rather than	
	residential concerns over amenity are not raised.	
Fit with local/	Although within the South East Edinburgh SDA as identified within	

-		
strategic policy objectives and direction	SESplan, the site is outwith a main settlement that provides a wide range of facilities and services. It is, however, in close proximity to Musselburgh. Its development would therefore align quite well with strategic policy objectives of steering new development towards the most sustainable locations within the city region. For assessment against other policy objectives see 'Potential Impacts of	
Physical	Development: SEA'.	
infrastructure capacity	Access to the site can physically be achieved. There are traffic capacity constraints within Musselburgh and the surrounding road network (including Old Craighall Junction) and further consideration is required to establish how these might be mitigated. The site is served by Glencorse Water Treatment Works and Seafield Waste Water Treatment Works, which both have available capacity.	
Service infrastructure capacity	School catchments and other service infrastructure are not relevant to the proposed use for business.	
Deliverability/ Effectiveness	The site is being promoted for development by the landowner, a development company. Most of the site is within the Coal Mining Development High Risk Area and a Coal Mining Risk Assessment is therefore likely to be required. It is not known whether ground conditions would pose any constraints to development. It has not yet been established whether there is sufficient infrastructure capacity to serve the development and what the timescales would be for achieving this.	





POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA		
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international,	o/?*
Flora and Fauna	national or local nature conservation interest. It is, however, around	
	2.2km from the Firth of Forth SPA/Ramsar site. SNH has advised	
	that there are records of SPA birds using the area, there is suitable	
	habitat on the site, and there is potential connectivity to the SPA,	

	therefore the site should be screened in for consideration through	
	the Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage.	
	The road verges of the A720 to the east of the site are designated	
	priority habitat (neutral grassland). Development of the site may	
	present opportunities to improve habitat connectivity. There are no	
	protected trees on or near the site.	
Population	The site's development would widen employment opportunities for	+
	the local population. It is reasonably accessible by active travel or	
	public transport.	
Human Health	There is no known contamination within the site. It has access to	0
	existing open space within village. Access to the core path network	
	is poor although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh	
	and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for	
	recreation.	
Soil	The development of the site would result in some loss of class 1	_
	prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this	
	site.	
Water	Parts of the site are at risk of flooding from the Cairny Burn, which	?
Water	flows through the site. SEPA maintains a level only gauging station	•
	upstream of the site. SEPA requires a FRA to assess the flood risk	
	from the burn as well as drains that flow along the northern	
	boundary. Consideration should be given to upstream/ downstream	
	culverts which may exacerbate flood risk. A buffer strip would be	
۸:	required around the burn.	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources	o/-
	of air pollution. The site is reasonably accessibly by active travel and	
	public transport, however it is likely that its development would	
	increase travel by private car.	
Climatic Factors	The site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly	o/-
	accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of	
	employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and	
	therefore development of this site would be focusing development	
	towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is	
	well positioned to access public transport, active travel routes and	
	some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, its	
	development for employment adjacent to the A1 and A720 would	
	be likely to increase travel by car, with resultant greenhouse gas	
	emissions. However, there may also be some reduction in the	
	distance travelled for some workers if they are currently commuting	
	out of East Lothian. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend	
	itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e.	
	solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land and	-
	resources, being greenfield land of prime agricultural quality.	
Cultural	The site is partially within the designated Pinkie battlefield and close	o/?
Heritage	to a Scheduled Monument (on the other side of the A720).	
-	However, the site is not considered to contribute strongly towards	
	understanding of the battlefield landscape and there is considered	
	to be low potential for unknown archaeological remains.	
Landscape	The site is visually well-contained to the north, east and south by the	o/-/?
•	presence of tree belts. The A1 is to the north on an embankment,	
	• • • • •	

the A720 is to the east, and a minor road is to the south. The site is	
more open to the west where it is adjacent to Old Craighall Road;	
there are two dwellings between the road and site in its central part,	
which are set among mature trees. A burn crosses the north-west	
corner of the site, flanked by trees, separating it into two	
agricultural fields. The site is clearly visible from the A1 to the north,	
where it lies below the road level. Open views across the site from	
here extend to Old Craighall village and the distant Pentland hills.	
The site is currently within the green belt. Its development would	
represent a change of character from open agricultural land and it	
would be prominent in views from the A1, however the relative	
visual containment of the site means it would not be seen as an	
intrusion into the wider landscape. It would not in itself result in	
settlement coalescence. If noise mitigation measures are required,	
they may have an impact upon the landscape and/or surrounding	
views from the A720 and the A1.	

SITE INFORMATION		
Торіс	Comments	
Site Name	Land south of Whitecraig	
Site Ref	PM/MH/HSG096	
Source of Site	Council planning service. Landowner has confirmed interest in developing	
Suggestion	site.	
Site Size (ha)	15.2ha	
Current Use	Agriculture	
Proposed Use	Housing	
Summary	A greenfield site in agricultural use on gradually rising ground to the south of	
Description	Whitecraig. It is bounded to the south by Smeaton Farm, to the east by the	
	path running along the former railway line, and to the west by the A6094.	



0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 kilometres

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2011. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey license number 100023381.



0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 kilometres

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2011. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey license number 100023381.

SUITABILITY AND DELIVERABILITY OF SITE		
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is located outwith but adjoining the south-western	
	boundary of Whitecraig and is well related to it.	
Accessibility	Whitecraig is in relatively close proximity to Musselburgh and	
	Wallyford, whose overall accessibility via public transport to the	
	wider city region and key employment locations as well as health	
	and retail facilities rank highest among other settlements in East	
	Lothian. The site itself is within 400m of bus stops on Whitecraig	
	Road, with a reasonable service between Midlothian towns	
	(Dalkeith, Penicuik) and Musselburgh and Portobello. There is no	
	rail station within 800m. A reasonable number of facilities and	
	services are available within Whitecraig, including primary school,	
	local shop, well within 1600m of the site. Musselburgh town centre	
	is around 3.5km away, with a wide range of facilities, services and	
	employment opportunities.	
Exposure	The northern part of the site is sheltered from northerly winds by	
	existing development but the southern part of the site is more	
	exposed and is on rising ground to the east.	
Aspect	The site faces north-west.	
Suitability for	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use. Adjacent land	
Proposed Use	uses include housing, agriculture, primary school and playing field;	
	these should present no amenity conflicts.	
Fit with local/	Although within the East Lothian SDA as identified within SESplan,	
strategic policy	the site is outwith a main settlement that provides a wide range of	
objectives and	facilities and services but is adjacent to a smaller settlement with a	

direction	limited range of local facilities and services. Its development would	
	therefore align quite well with strategic policy objectives of steering	
	new development towards the most sustainable locations within the	
	city region. For assessment against other policy objectives see	
	'Potential Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	The site can be physically accessed. There are traffic capacity	
infrastructure	constraints within the Musselburgh area and further consideration is	
capacity	required to establish how these might be mitigated. The site is	
	served by Roseberry Water Treatment Works and Seafield Waste	
	Water Treatment Works, which both have available capacity.	
Service	The site is within the catchments of Whitecraig Primary School and	
infrastructure	Musselburgh Grammar. Whitecraig Primary has no capacity, and	
capacity	may be able to expand on current site although additional land may	
	also be required. Musselburgh Grammar is subject to potential	
	options for increasing education capacity in the Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	The landowner is interested in releasing the land for development.	
Effectiveness	The site is within the Coal Mining Development High Risk Area and a	
	Coal Mining Risk Assessment is therefore likely to be required. It is	
	not known whether ground conditions would pose any constraints	
	to development. It has not yet been established whether there is	
	sufficient infrastructure capacity to serve the development and what	
	the timescales would be for achieving this.	





POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA		
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international,	o/?*
Flora and Fauna	national or local nature conservation interest. It is, however, around	
	3.5km from the Firth of Forth SPA/Ramsar site. SNH has advised	

	that there are records of SPA birds using the area, there is suitable habitat on the site, and there is potential connectivity to the SPA, therefore the site should be screened in for consideration through the Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage. Close to the west of the site is the Cowpits wood listed wildlife site and areas of ancient woodland and priority habitat, while to the east of the site lies priority habitat (dense scrub) along the line of the core path. There are also areas of neutral grassland priority habitat to the south. There may be potential to improve habitat connectivity through the site's development.	
Population	The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet need. Its development could contribute to the	+
	regeneration of Whitecraig. The site has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.	
Human Health	There is no known contamination on the site and it has good access to the core path network (which runs along its eastern edge) and existing open space (adjacent to the northern edge).	+
Soil	The development of the site would result in some loss of prime agricultural land, the majority of which is class 2 as well as class 3.1. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.	-
Water	The site is within Potentially Vulnerable Area 10/22. SEPA requests a FRA to assess the flood risk from the unnamed watercourse that flows adjacent to the south west corner of the site. There are also small areas of surface water flood risk within the site according to SEPA's flood maps.	?
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities and employment opportunities available within the village its development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private car.	o/-
Climatic Factors	The site is in relatively close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and therefore development of this site would be focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is well positioned to access public transport, active travel routes and local facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to some increase in car- based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-west facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	0/-
Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land and resources, being greenfield land of prime agricultural quality.	-
Cultural Heritage	The site is partly within the designated area of the Battle of Pinkie and adjacent to the Dalkeith House GDL. The surrounding area contains Scheduled Monuments and listed buildings and there are possible setting Issues. It is considered there is a high potential for unknown archaeological remains.	-/?
Landscape	The site is a single large agricultural field immediately adjacent to	0

the southern edge of Whitecraig. It is open and gently undulating. It	
is visually well contained to the east by a core path and mature	
trees. To the west the A6094 provides a defined boundary, on the	
western side of which is a high stone wall. The southern edge of the	
site benefits from some visual containment provided by farm	
buildings and mature trees. The site rises to the south east and from	
this corner there are open views towards Arthur's Seat and the Firth	
of Forth. Though large, the site's development would result in a	
logical expansion of Whitecraig in landscape terms given its visual	
containment. It is within the current green belt boundary, however	
its development would not lead to the coalescence with other	
settlements as there are several fields and the A68 separating it	
from Dalkeith to the south.	

SITE INFORMATION		
Торіс	Comments	
Site Name	Land north of Whitecraig	
Site Ref	PM/MH/HSG055	
Source of Site	Agent (Justin Lamb Associates) on behalf of landowner (Executry of Sir John	
Suggestion	Hope)	
Site Size (ha)	19.2ha	
Current Use	Agricultural/equine uses	
Proposed Use	Housing	
Summary	A large greenfield site in use for agriculture and equine uses lying to the north	
Description	of Whitecraig. It is bounded to the west by Cowpits Road with the River Esk	
	woodlands beyond, while the A1 runs nearby to the north.	



0 0.050.10.150.2 kilometres

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalt of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2011. At rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Icense number 100023381.



0 30 60 120 Mekers

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Surveyon be half of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100023381.

SUITABILITY AN	D DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is outwith the settlement boundary of Whitecraig but well related to it.	
Accessibility	 Whitecraig is in relatively close proximity to Musselburgh and Wallyford, whose overall accessibility via public transport to the wider city region and key employment locations as well as health and retail facilities rank highest among other settlements in East Lothian. The site itself is within 400m of bus stops on Whitecraig Road, with a reasonable service between Midlothian towns (Dalkeith, Penicuik) and Musselburgh and Portobello. At peak hours there is a direct service to Edinburgh via Wallyford and Musselburgh. There is no rail station within 800m although Musselburgh station is 2.5km away via the core path network. A reasonable number of facilities and services are available within 1600m of the site. Musselburgh town centre is around 2.5km away, with a wide range of facilities, services and employment opportunities. 	
Exposure	The majority of the site other than the north-western corner is exposed to northerly winds, with no development, vegetation, or topographical features to provide shelter.	
Aspect	The aspect is north-westerly.	
Suitability for Proposed Use	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use and there would be no potential amenity conflicts with adjoining land uses. There may be noise impacts which arise from the proximity of the A1 to the north of the site. Mitigation measures may be required. A pub	
	the north of the site. Mitigation measures may be required. A pub and car dealership are located on the southern boundary but	

	annuantiate design should also ansure these present as an arity	
	appropriate design should also ensure these present no amenity	
	conflicts.	
Fit with local/	Although within the East Lothian SDA as identified within SESplan,	
strategic policy	the site is outwith a main settlement that provides a wide range of	
objectives and	facilities and services but is adjacent to a smaller settlement with a	
direction	limited range of local facilities and services. Its development would	
	therefore align quite well with strategic policy objectives of steering	
	new development towards the most sustainable locations within the	
	city region. For assessment against other policy objectives see	
	'Potential Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	Access to the local road network is achievable; upgrades may be	
infrastructure	required to Cowpits Road. There are traffic capacity constraints	
capacity	within the Musselburgh area and further consideration is required to	
	establish how these might be mitigated The site is served by	
	Roseberry Water Treatment Works and Seafield Waste Water	
	Treatment Works which both have available capacity. Scottish	
	water also advises there is a lot of infrastructure crossing the site,	
	which may pose some constraint to development.	
Service	This site falls within the catchments for Whitecraig Primary and	
infrastructure	Musselburgh Grammar. Whitecraig Primary has no capacity but may	
capacity	be able to expand on its current site, although additional land may	
	also be required. Musselburgh Grammar is subject to potential	
	options for increasing education capacity in the Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	The site owners are promoting the site for development. The site is	
Effectiveness	within the Coal Mining Development High Risk Area and a Coal	
	Mining Risk Assessment is therefore likely to be required. It is not	
	known whether potential contamination or ground conditions would	
	pose any constraints to development. It has not yet been	
	established whether there is sufficient infrastructure capacity to	
	serve the development and what the timescales would be for	
	achieving this.	
L		





POTENTIAL IMPA	CTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA	
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international,	o/?*
Flora and Fauna	national or local nature conservation interest. SNH has advised that	
	there are records of SPA birds using the area, there is suitable	
	habitat on the site, and there is potential connectivity to the SPA,	
	therefore the site should be screened in for consideration through	
	the Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage.	
	Cowpits wood lies to the west of the site and is a listed wildlife site,	
	priority habitat, and designated ancient woodland. Development of	
	the site may present opportunities to extend or enhance this	
	habitat.	
Population	The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable	+
	housing to help meet need. Its development may contribute to the	
	regeneration of Whitecraig. The site has reasonable access to	
	facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or	
	public transport.	
Human Health	There are records of possible contamination associated with filled	+/?
	ground and former quarrying in the northern part of the site. There	
	is also a higher than average chance of radon gas issues in the north	
	and west part of the site. Development may provide an opportunity	
	to mitigate effects of this pollution. The site has good access to the	
	core path network north to Musselburgh by the River Esk and	
	southwards towards Dalkeith. The proximity of the A1 to the	
	northern part of the site may result in noise issues for residents of	
	the proposed development.	
Soil	The development of the site would result in some loss of class 1	-
	prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this	
	site.	
Water	SEPA's flood map shows some areas of surface water flooding within	?/0/-
	the site, and the River Esk is close to the site, which has a history of	
	flooding. SEPA would require a FRA to define the area at risk of	
	flooding.	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources	o/-
	of air pollution. The site has reasonable active travel and public	
	transport accessibility, however given the relatively limited facilities	
	and employment opportunities available within the village its	
	development is still likely to increase the need to travel by private	
	car.	
Climatic Factors	The site is in relatively close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a	o/-
	highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major	
	centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements,	
	and therefore development of this site would be focusing	
	development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations.	
	The site is well positioned to access to public transport and local	
	facilities and services. Realistically, however, development on the	
	site would still lead to some increase in car-based journeys and	
	resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north-west facing	
	aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource efficient	
	through siting (i.e. solar gain).	

Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land and	-
	resources, being greenfield land of prime agricultural quality.	
Cultural	The site is within the designated Pinkie battlefield, adjacent to	-/?
Heritage	Dalkeith House GDL and the Scheduled Monument of 'Monktonhall	
	Junction, Roman camps and prehistoric settlement'. Historic	
	Scotland considers that the area may contain archaeology potential	
	of national importance and is currently considering a scheduling	
	proposal within the site. Development of the site may also raise	
	issues of national importance in relation to the battlefield as it is	
	near the core part of the battlefield and makes some contribution	
	towards understanding of the battlefield landscape, with potential	
	for associated archaeological remains. There is also potential for	
	setting impacts upon a category B-listed schoolhouse at the site's	
	northern edge and a B-listed hotel to the east.	
Landscape	The site adjoins the northern edge of Whitecraig and would	o/?
	represent a logical expansion in landscape terms. It is two open and	
	fairly level fields that are currently in use for agriculture and equine	
	uses, and is visually well-contained by adjacent woodland to the	
	west. There are open southerly views across the site. The site	
	boundary to the north-east and east does not benefit from the same	
	strong landscape features. The easternmost part of the site would	
	extend further east than the existing settlement and would intrude	
	somewhat into open countryside although the site submission	
	proposes keeping this part undeveloped. If noise mitigation	
	measures are required, they may have an impact upon the	
	landscape and/or surrounding views from the A1.The site is within	
	the green belt but its development would not in itself result in	
	settlement coalescence as it is separated from Musselburgh by the	
	A1 and open land beyond.	
L		

SITE INFORMATION		
Торіс	Comments	
Site Name	Drummohr Caravan Park	
Site Ref	PM/MH/HSG010	
Source of Site	Owner (Mr Melville)	
Suggestion		
Site Size (ha)	4.5ha	
Current Use	Caravan and camping site	
Proposed Use	Housing – medium to long term, no plans to shut site at present	
Summary	Currently in use as a caravan and camping park, this site is largely greenfield	
Description	land, and is located between Musselburgh and Prestonpans, in a wooded	
	setting within the policies associated with Drummohr House.	



00.026.06.0750.1 kilometres

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2011. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Icense number 100023381.



0 20 40 80 Mekers

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Surveyor be half of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100023381.

	ID DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is located between the settlement boundaries of	
	Musselburgh and Prestonpans and not well related to either. If the	
	proposed site at Goshen Farm were developed (PM/MH/HSG037)	
	then the site would adjoin the extended settlement.	
Accessibility	The site is in relatively close proximity to Musselburgh and	
	Prestonpans, whose overall accessibility via public transport to the	
	wider city region and key employment locations as well as health	
	and retail facilities rank first and third highest among other	
	settlements in East Lothian. In terms of the site itself, If a pedestrian	
	access was made through the northern site boundary, it would be	
	within 400m of bus stops on Ravenshaugh Road, with a regular	
	service to and from Edinburgh, Musselburgh, Tranent and	
	Cockenzie/Port Seton. There are no rail stations within 800m	
	(Wallyford station is around 1700m) and limited facilities within	
	1600m; these include a community centre and local shop.	
	Prestonpans town centre is around 2km from the site.	
Exposure	The site is relatively well sheltered from northerly winds by a tree	
	belt along its northern edge although is otherwise in a fairly exposed	
	location due to the topography, facing the coast to the north.	
Aspect	The site faces north.	
Suitability for	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use and there would	
Proposed Use	be no potential conflicts with surrounding land uses, which include	
·	agricultural land, woodland and a golf course. The Prestongrange	
	Mining Museum is located to the north but is unlikely to cause any	
	amenity conflicts.	
------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------	--
Fit with local/	Although within the East Lothian SDA as identified within SESplan,	
strategic policy	the site is outwith a main settlement that provides a wide range of	
objectives and	facilities and services. Its development would therefore align	
direction	reasonably well with strategic policy objectives of steering new	
	development towards the most sustainable locations within the city	
	region. For assessment against other policy objectives see 'Potential	
	Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	Access is achievable, however there are traffic capacity constraints	
infrastructure	within the Musselburgh area and further consideration is required to	
capacity	establish how these might be mitigated. The site is served by Castle	
	Moffat Water Treatment Works and Seafield Waste Water	
	Treatment Works which both have available capacity.	
Service	The site is within the catchments of Wallyford Primary School and	
infrastructure	Musselburgh Grammar. Wallyford has no capacity, is due to be	
capacity	replaced and may have the ability to expand in future subject to	
	emerging solutions. Musselburgh Grammar is subject to potential	
	options for increasing education capacity in the Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	The site has been promoted for development by the landowner as a	
Effectiveness	'medium term' option, with no immediate plans to close the caravan	
	park. It has not yet been established whether there is sufficient	
	infrastructure capacity to serve the development and what the	
	timescales would be for achieving this.	



POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA		
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna	The site is not within any areas designated for their international, national or local nature conservation interest. It is, however, around 110m from the Musselburgh lagoons listed wildlife site and around 300m from the Firth of Forth SPA/SSSI/Ramsar site. The current use means it is not screened in to the HRA process. The site is within TPO13, and there is priority habitat mixed woodland on the W, E and N of site. Woodland to the north is also designated ancient woodland. Development may be able to take place while maintaining protected trees, subject to appropriate design.	0/?
Population	The site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet need. It has reasonable access to facilities, services, and employment opportunities by active travel or public transport.	+
Human Health	There is no known contamination within the site and it benefits from good access to the John Muir Way coastal path and Levenhall Links to the north. The site's development could exacerbate air quality	o/?

	problems in Musselburgh town centre.	
Soil	The development of the site would result in some loss of class 1	-
	prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this	
	site.	
Water	The site is not identified as being at risk of flooding on SEPA's flood	0
	maps and SEPA has made no comments in relation to flood risk or	
	impacts on the water environment.	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources	o/-
	of air pollution. The site's development could exacerbate air quality	
	problems in Musselburgh town centre by increasing vehicular traffic.	
Climatic Factors	The site is in relatively close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a	o/-
	highly accessible location in regional terms and closer to major	
	centres of employment than most other East Lothian settlements,	
	and therefore development of this site would be focusing	
	development towards the most accessible and sustainable locations.	
	The site is well positioned to access to public transport but is not	
	particularly close to local facilities and services. Realistically,	
	development on the site would lead to some increase in car-based	
	journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north	
	facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is resource	
	efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land and	-
	resources, being largely greenfield land of prime agricultural quality,	
	albeit it is currently in use as a caravan park.	
Cultural	The site is within the designated Pinkie battlefield (associated with	o/?
Heritage	the site of the English Camp). Historic Scotland advises that as the	
	site is already developed as caravan park it does not contribute	
	strongly to understanding of the battlefield landscape, however the	
	impact on any archaeological remains would need assessment.	
	There may also be setting issues with the category B-listed	
	Drummohr House and its associated undesignated designed	
	landscape.	
Landscape	The site is well contained in an established landscape setting of	o/-/?
	mature trees, hedgerows and high natural stone and brick walls.	
	The trees are protected by a TPO. There are views north to the	
	Forth and west to Drummohr House. The site is distanced from	
	existing urban development so its development would not represent	
	a logical extension in landscape terms. However, the well contained	
	setting of the site means its development would not have a	
	significant impact beyond the site boundaries. There could be	
	impact on mature TPO trees but this could be avoided through	
	appropriate site design. The site is currently green belt between	
	Musselburgh and Prestonpans and roughly equidistant from each.	
	Its development would not in itself result in settlement coalescence	
	or significant impacts on the landscape setting of Musselburgh or	
	Prestonpans.	

SITE INFORMATION	N Contraction of the second
Торіс	Comments
Site Name	Land to rear of 8/9 Carberry Close, Inveresk
Site Ref	MIR/MH/HSG119
Source of Site	Mr/Mrs Jackson & Mr/Mrs Sangster
Suggestion	
Site Size (ha)	0.21
Current Use	Agricultural / vacant land
Proposed Use	Domestic garden ground for adjacent dwellings (removal from green belt and
	inclusion within settlement boundary)
Summary	A small area of disused land to the rear of existing dwellings at Carberry Close,
Description and	Inveresk. The land was formerly part of Carberry Kennels, the majority of
Planning History	which has now been developed for housing.





Ordnance Survey Copyright. OS Licence 100023381 Date: 04 June 2015

SUITABILITY AND	DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is outside the settlement boundary of Musselburgh but is well related to it.	
Accessibility	n/a	
Exposure	n/a	
Aspect	n/a	
Suitability for Proposed Use	Inclusion of the site within the settlement boundary and its use as garden ground would not conflict with surrounding land uses (residential and agriculture). The site is within the Coal Authority's Referral Area however the proposed use, providing there is no built development, is one that is likely to be exempt from requiring a Coal Mining Risk Assessment due to its nature.	
Fit with strategic policy objectives and direction	This use may conflict with the purpose of the Green Belt.	
Physical infrastructure capacity	There are no infrastructure issues with the use of this land as garden ground.	
Service infrastructure capacity	The proposed use does not require the provision of services.	
Deliverability/ Effectiveness	There or no issues with deliverability or effectiveness of the site for the proposed use other than possible conflict with Green Belt objectives.	



POTENTIAL IMPA	CTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA	
Торіс	Comments Musselburgh Golf Course	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international	+
Flora and Fauna	national or local nature conservation importance. The site's	
	development would not result in the loss of protected trees or	
	woodland. There are no notable species on or within 100m of the	
	site. SNH has no comment to make on the site.	
Population	n/a	n/a
Human Health	n/a	n/a
Soil	n/a	n/a
Water	A small section of the west of the site is at risk of surface water	?
	flooding although use of the site as garden ground for existing	
	development would not be likely to be significantly affected by any	
	flooding.	
Air	n/a	n/a
Climatic Factors	n/a	n/a
Material Assets	The site's development would make use of previously developed	0
	land. It is classified as prime agricultural land (class 1) but the site's	
	history suggests that this is not accurate and probably reflects the	
	small scale of the mapping.	
Cultural	The site is within the designated Pinkie battlefield but Historic	o/?
Heritage	Environment Scotland advises that the proposals would not raise	
	issues of national significance. The site is adjacent to the Inveresk	
	(Musselburgh) Conservation Area, which includes the existing	
	dwellings. Use as garden ground would be unlikely to affect the	
	conservation areas provided any new or replacement boundary	
	treatments or other ancillary development were sympathetic with	
	that existing. The site is within the scheduled area of the Roman	
	camps and prehistoric settlement at Monktonhall Junction and could	
	have a direct impact on this Scheduled Monument. Historic	
	Environment Scotland indicates that it would be unlikely to object if	
	the boundary followed the existing arable field boundary such that	
	all development would be within the previously developed area.	
Landscape	The site is located within the Edinburgh Green Belt and has a	0
	landscape character type defined as coastal margins. It is a small	
	piece of unused ground immediately to the rear of existing	
	dwellings, with agricultural land beyond. Its use as additional garden	
	ground would be unlikely in itself to have significant landscape	
	impacts provided any new or replacement boundary treatments or	
	other ancillary development were sympathetic with that existing.	

SITE INFORMATION	
Торіс	Comments
Site Name	Eskfield Cottages, Wallyford
Site Ref	MIR/MH/HSG129
Source of Site	Strutt and Parker (agent) on behalf of Mr Armstrong
Suggestion	
Site Size (ha)	0.58
Current Use	Mixed use
Proposed Use	Housing
Summary	An area of land located to the north of the A1 and south of Wallyford
Description and	containing two dwellings and adjacent land, proposed for removal from the
Planning History	green belt to allow the construction of one additional house.





Ordnance Survey Copyright. OS Licence 100023381 Date: 04 June 2015

SUITABILITY AND DELIVERABILITY OF SITE		
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is outside the settlement boundary of Wallyford but is in	
	close proximity to an area of committed development.	
Accessibility	Wallyford's overall accessibility via public transport to the wider city	
	region and key employment locations as well as health and retail	
	facilities ranks second among other settlements in East Lothian,	
	behind only Musselburgh. The site is not within 400m of a bus stop;	
	the nearest bus stop at Park Drive on Salter's Road is nevertheless	
	within walking distance of the site (560m). The site is not within	
	800m of a rail station although is within reasonable distance of	
	Wallyford Station (around 1400m). The site is within 1600m of local	
	facilities; local shops are around 800m away and the local primary	
	school is around 1100m away.	
Exposure	The site has little shelter from northerly winds.	
Aspect	The site has a north-westerly aspect.	
Suitability for	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use. An additional	
Proposed Use	house would not conflict with surrounding land uses (residential and	
	agriculture) although there may be noise impacts due to the	
	proximity of the A1, which would require to be mitigated through	
	design. The site is within the Coal Authority's Standing Advice area.	
Fit with	The site is adjacent to a main settlement within the East Lothian SDA	
strategic policy	as identified by SESplan and is within proximity of a main	
objectives and	settlement. Its development would therefore align with strategic	
direction	policy objectives of steering new development towards the most	
	sustainable locations within the city region. The removal of the area	

	from the Green Belt could conflict with Green Belt objectives	
	however. For assessment against other more detailed policy	
	objectives see 'Potential Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	The site has an existing junction onto the A6094 Salters Road which	
infrastructure	has suitable visibility. Given the number of houses proposed in the	
capacity	area and the impacts on the Salters Road interchange any additional	
	vehicles on network as a result of small housing development could	
	have an impact and therefore contributions should be sought	
	accordingly. The signalised junction at Goosebay access shall provide	
	good connectivity for pedestrians and cyclists heading to new school	
	in Wallyford. The site would be served by Roseberry Water	
	Treatment Works, which has available capacity.	
Service	The site is within the catchment of Wallyford Primary School which	
infrastructure	has no capacity and is due to be replaced as part of the nearby	
capacity	committed development, and this may have the ability to expand	
	further in future subject to emerging solutions. The site is within the	
	catchment of Musselburgh Grammar School, which is subject to	
	potential options for increasing education capacity in the	
	Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	It has not yet been established whether there is sufficient	
Effectiveness	infrastructure capacity to serve the development and what the	
	timescales would be for achieving this.	





FOTENTIAL IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA		
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international,	0
Flora and Fauna	national or local nature conservation importance. There are trees	
	within the site although these are not protected. There are no	
	notable species in or within 100m of the site.	
Population	The proposed development could provide a single additional house.	o/+
	The site has moderate access to facilities, services, and employment	

	opportunities by active travel or public transport. There may be	
	noise issues given the proximity of the A1.	
Human Health	The site is not known to be contaminated. The site is adjacent to the	+/-
	existing core path network and a cycle track and is within walking	
	distance of local services and facilities. The proximity of the A1 may	
	result in noise issues fur residents of the proposed development.	
Soil	The site's development would result in the loss of a small area of	o/-
	prime agricultural land (class 1), albeit not an area currently in	-,
	agricultural use. It would not result in the loss of rare or carbon rich	
	soils.	
Water	The site is not known to be at risk of flooding. Private drainage is	o/?
	likely to be necessary, which has the potential to impact on the	
	water environment.	
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources	o/-
	of air pollution. The site does not have particularly good access to	
	public transport but is in close proximity to the A1 trunk road. Its	
	development would be likely to result in car travel in order to access	
	higher order services and wider employment opportunities and	
	consequently a rise in emissions, albeit small in scale given the size	
	of the site. Additionally, it is likely that development at this location	
	would lead to an increase in car travel to Musselburgh, the nearest	
	town and this could exacerbate current air quality problems in the	
	town.	
Climatic Factors	The site is located to the south of Wallyford which is a highly	o/-
	accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of	
	employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and	
	therefore development of this site would be focusing development	
	closest to the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is	
	well located to active travel routes and local facilities and services.	
	However, it is only moderately accessible by public transport and	
	would likely lead to an increase in car-based journeys with resultant	
	greenhouse gas emissions, albeit small scale given the size of the	
	site. The site's north-west facing aspect does not lend itself to	
	development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land or	-
	resources, being greenfield land of prime agricultural quality.	
Cultural	There are no listed buildings within the site boundary and it is not	Ο
Heritage	within a Conservation Area. The site is within the designated area of	
	the battle of Pinkie. Historic Environment Scotlanddoes not consider	
	that any impact on the battlefield would raise issues of national	
	significance.	
Landscape	The site is located within the Edinburgh Green Belt. The	o/-
	undeveloped part of the site is small in scale and largely contained	
	by trees, which provide a degree of screening from the adjacent	
	Salter's Road to the east. The introduction of further built form on	
	this area would have limited impacts on the wider landscape	
	provided the trees were retained and the scale of the build was in	
	keeping with the existing cottages, and would not result in an	
	intrusion into open countryside or settlement coalescence, but	
	rather would be seen in context with the existing cottages. Removal	
	of the trees to facilitate development would have increased	

landscape impacts. Any development on this site will lead to the	
loss of Green Belt land.	

SITE INFORMATION	
Торіс	Comments
Site Name	The Loan, Musselburgh
Site Ref	MIR/MH/HSG133
Source of Site	Clarendon Planning and Development (agent) on behalf of the estate of the
Suggestion	late John Hope
Site Size (ha)	5.0
Current Use	Agriculture
Proposed Use	Housing
Summary	An area of greenfield land between Musselburgh and Wallyford station.
Description and	
Planning History	





Ordnance Survey Copyright. OS Licence 100023381 Date: 04 June 2015

SUITABILITY AND	DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is outside existing settlement boundaries but is well related	
	to them. It is located on the south side of Musselburgh and on the	
	north of Wallyford.	
Accessibility	Musselburgh's overall accessibility via public transport to the wider	
	city region and key employment locations as well as health and retail	
	facilities ranks highest among settlements in East Lothian and	
	second in the whole SESplan area. Wallyford's overall accessibility	
	ranks second in East Lothian. The site is within 400m of several bus	
	stops which includes bus stops at Wallyford park and ride. Services	
	run to Musselburgh, Edinburgh, North Berwick, Haddington and	
	others. The site is located within 800m of Wallyford Rail Station,	
	with half hourly trains between Edinburgh and North Berwick during	
	the day. The site is within 1600m of local facilities including local	
	shops and the local primary school at Wallyford.	
Exposure	The site has some shelter from northerly winds due to the existing	
	housing development to the north.	
Aspect	The site has a northerly aspect.	
Suitability for	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use. There would not	
Proposed Use	be conflict with surrounding land uses although the proximity of the	
	East Coast Main Line may result in noise impacts and mitigation	
	measures may be required.	
Fit with	The site is within the East Lothian SDA as identified by SESplan and	
strategic policy	its development would therefore align well with strategic policy	
objectives and	objectives of steering new development towards the most	

direction	sustainable locations within the city region. The site is within the	
	Green belt and may conflict with Green Belt objectives. For	
	assessment against other more detailed policy objectives see	
	'Potential Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	In terms of transport, any access point onto the Loan would require	
infrastructure	careful design due to the East Coast Mainline over-bridge and trees	
capacity	along the carriageway boundary. There are good options for	
	sustainable transport. Given the number of houses proposed in the	
	area and the associated impacts on the Salters Road interchange	
	and Musselburgh Town Centre any additional vehicles on network as	
	a result of housing development is likely to have a significant impact	
	and therefore contributions should be sought accordingly to provide	
	appropriate mitigation.	
	There are traffic capacity constraints within the Musselburgh area	
	and further consideration is required to establish how these might	
	be mitigated. The site is served by Roseberry Water Treatment	
	Works and Seafield Waste Water Treatment Works, which both have	
	available capacity.	
Service	The site is within the catchment of Wallyford Primary School which	
infrastructure	has no capacity and is due to be replaced as part of the nearby	
capacity	committed development, and this may have the ability to expand	
	further in future subject to emerging solutions. The site is within the	
	catchment of Musselburgh Grammar School, which is subject to	
	potential options for increasing education capacity in the	
	Musselburgh cluster.	
Deliverability/	Part of the site is within the Coal Mining Development High Risk	
Effectiveness	Area and a Coal Mining Risk Assessment may be required. It has not	
	yet been established whether there is sufficient infrastructure	
	capacity to serve the development and what the timescales would	
	be for achieving this.	





TopicCommentsScoreBiodiversity, Flora and FaunaThe site is not within any areas designated for their international, national or local nature conservation importance. There are records of SPA birds in this area, there may be suitable habitat on the site, and potential connectivity to the SPA, therefore the site should be screened in for consideration through the Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage.ofPopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet local need. It could make a contribution to the regeneration of the disadvantaged Pinkie Braes area and of Wallyford. The site has good access to facilities, services and employment opportunities by public transport and active travel.+/3Human HealthThere is no known contamination within the site. The site has good access to open space on the other side of the East Coast Main Line and is in reasonable proximity of the core path network to the west; there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing links between Wallyford Station and Musselburgh. The site's\$
Flora and Faunanational or local nature conservation importance. There are records of SPA birds in this area, there may be suitable habitat on the site, and potential connectivity to the SPA, therefore the site should be screened in for consideration through the Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage.PopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet local need. It could make a contribution to the regeneration of the disadvantaged Pinkie Braes area and of Wallyford. The site has good access to facilities, services and employment opportunities by public transport and active travel.+/3Human HealthThere is no known contamination within the site. The site has good access to open space on the other side of the East Coast Main Line and is in reasonable proximity of the core path network to the west; there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing
of SPA birds in this area, there may be suitable habitat on the site, and potential connectivity to the SPA, therefore the site should be screened in for consideration through the Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage.PopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet local need. It could make a contribution to the regeneration of the disadvantaged Pinkie Braes area and of Wallyford. The site has good access to facilities, services and employment opportunities by public transport and active travel.+/3Human HealthThere is no known contamination within the site. The site has good access to open space on the other side of the East Coast Main Line and is in reasonable proximity of the core path network to the west; there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing
and potential connectivity to the SPA, therefore the site should be screened in for consideration through the Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage.+PopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet local need. It could make a contribution to the regeneration of the disadvantaged Pinkie Braes area and of Wallyford. The site has good access to facilities, services and employment opportunities by public transport and active travel.+Human HealthThere is no known contamination within the site. The site has good access to open space on the other side of the East Coast Main Line and is in reasonable proximity of the core path network to the west; there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing+/3
screened in for consideration through the Habitats Regulations Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage.+PopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet local need. It could make a contribution to the regeneration of the disadvantaged Pinkie Braes area and of Wallyford. The site has good access to facilities, services and employment opportunities by public transport and active travel.+Human HealthThere is no known contamination within the site. The site has good access to open space on the other side of the East Coast Main Line and is in reasonable proximity of the core path network to the west; there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing+/3
Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage.PopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet local need. It could make a contribution to the regeneration of the disadvantaged Pinkie Braes area and of Wallyford. The site has good access to facilities, services and employment opportunities by public transport and active travel.Human HealthThere is no known contamination within the site. The site has good access to open space on the other side of the East Coast Main Line and is in reasonable proximity of the core path network to the west; there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing
PopulationThe site would provide housing, including an element of affordable housing to help meet local need. It could make a contribution to the regeneration of the disadvantaged Pinkie Braes area and of Wallyford. The site has good access to facilities, services and employment opportunities by public transport and active travel.+Human HealthThere is no known contamination within the site. The site has good access to open space on the other side of the East Coast Main Line and is in reasonable proximity of the core path network to the west; there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing
housing to help meet local need. It could make a contribution to the regeneration of the disadvantaged Pinkie Braes area and of Wallyford. The site has good access to facilities, services and employment opportunities by public transport and active travel.Human HealthThere is no known contamination within the site. The site has good access to open space on the other side of the East Coast Main Line and is in reasonable proximity of the core path network to the west; there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing
housing to help meet local need. It could make a contribution to the regeneration of the disadvantaged Pinkie Braes area and of Wallyford. The site has good access to facilities, services and employment opportunities by public transport and active travel.Human HealthThere is no known contamination within the site. The site has good access to open space on the other side of the East Coast Main Line and is in reasonable proximity of the core path network to the west; there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing
Wallyford. The site has good access to facilities, services and employment opportunities by public transport and active travel.Human HealthThere is no known contamination within the site. The site has good access to open space on the other side of the East Coast Main Line and is in reasonable proximity of the core path network to the west; there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing
Wallyford. The site has good access to facilities, services and employment opportunities by public transport and active travel.Human HealthThere is no known contamination within the site. The site has good access to open space on the other side of the East Coast Main Line and is in reasonable proximity of the core path network to the west; there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing
employment opportunities by public transport and active travel.Human HealthThere is no known contamination within the site. The site has good access to open space on the other side of the East Coast Main Line and is in reasonable proximity of the core path network to the west; there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing
Human HealthThere is no known contamination within the site. The site has good access to open space on the other side of the East Coast Main Line and is in reasonable proximity of the core path network to the west; there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing
access to open space on the other side of the East Coast Main Line and is in reasonable proximity of the core path network to the west; there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing
and is in reasonable proximity of the core path network to the west; there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing
there may be opportunities to connect into these networks and potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing
potentially enhance them through new development. A path runs in a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing
a north to south direction through the centre of the site providing
, .
development could exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh
town centre. The proximity of the East Coast Main Line may result
in noise impacts for residents of the proposed development.
Soil The site is not mapped as prime agricultural land but rather as part
of the urban area, due to the small scale of the mapping. In reality,
however, the site is likely to be class 1 agricultural land, in common
with other nearby areas of agricultural land. Development of the
site would not result in the loss of rare or carbon rich soils.
Water A small part in the northeast corner of the site is at medium risk of ?/-
surface water flooding according to SEPA's flood maps.
Air Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources o/ -
of air pollution. The site is in a location with good access to local
facilities, active travel and public transport accessibility so the need
to travel by car is minimised. Yet, realistically the development of
the site would result in an increase in car travel and the site's
development could exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh
town centre by the increase in vehicular traffic.
Climatic Factors Wallyford is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and o/
closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian
settlements, and therefore development of this site would be
focusing development in the most accessible and sustainable
locations. The site is well positioned to access public transport,
active travel routes, as well as local facilities and services.
Realistically, however, development on the site would still lead to

	some increase in car-based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north facing aspect does not lend itself to	
	development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not result in an efficient use of land	-
	and resources, being greenfield land that is likely to be of prime	
	agricultural quality.	
Cultural	There are no listed buildings within the site boundary and it is not	
Heritage	within a Conservation Area. The site is within the site of the Battle	
	of Pinkie. Historic Environment Scotland advises that the site's	
	development would raise issues of national significance, such that it	
	would object to its inclusion in the proposed plan, or any planning	
	application in this form.	
Landscape	The site is located within the Edinburgh Green Belt between the	
	settlements of Musselburgh and Wallyford. The site is rural and	
	undeveloped in character and is the only remaining open area	
	between the two settlements at this location. The site is bounded	
	by the Edinburgh to London east coast rail line. Its development	
	would visually and physically coalesce these two settlements and	
	affect the landscape setting of each, as well as harming their settings	
	and separate identities. Its rural character allows views through and	
	beyond the site to the wider landscape, including to the Pentlands to	
	the west, which would be lost through development.	

SITE INFORMATION	
Торіс	Comments
Site Name	Land east of Wallyford Toll
Site Ref	MIR/MH/OTH011
Source of Site	Charles Williams (for Charles Phillips and Sons Auction House)
Suggestion	
Site Size (ha)	0.85
Current Use	Auction house
Proposed Use	Inclusion within settlement boundary or allocation for redevelopment
Summary	A brownfield site currently in business use located to the northeast of
Description and	Wallyford toll.
Planning History	





Ordnance Survey Copyright. OS Licence 100023381 Date: 04 June 2015

SUITABILITY AND	DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is outside the settlement boundary of Wallyford and	
	separated from it by the A199 and East Coast Main Line.	
Accessibility	The site is in close proximity of Wallyford, whose overall accessibility	
	via public transport to the wider city region and key employment	
	locations as well as health and retail facilities ranks second among	
	other settlements in East Lothian, behind only Musselburgh. The	
	site is within 400m of bus stops located at the B1361 and at the	
	A199, from where there are services to Edinburgh, Musselburgh,	
	Haddington, North Berwick and others. The site is within 800m of	
	Wallyford Rail Station. The site is within 1600m of local services and	
	facilities, which include shops and the local primary school.	
Exposure	The site is exposed to northerly winds.	
Aspect	The site has a northerly aspect.	
Suitability for	The suitability for the proposed use would depend on what the	
Proposed Use	proposed 'Other' land use included. The site as existing is in business	
	use therefore any proposals of a business use on the site would	
	unlikely conflict with neighbouring land uses. There could be noise	
	issues from the adjacent East Coast Mainline Railway which may	
	require mitigation dependent on the use.	
Fit with	The site is located within the East Lothian SDA as defined by SESplan	
strategic policy	and its development would therefore align well with strategic policy	
objectives and	objectives of steering new development towards the most	
direction	sustainable locations within the city region. It is in the Green Belt,	
	and intensification of development may conflict with Green Belt	

125

1	
objectives. For assessment against other more detailed policy	
The site is currently in use as an auction house and has existing	
access points onto the B1361 which could be used. Given the	
number of houses proposed in the area and the associated impacts	
on Musselburgh Town Centre, the Salters Rd interchange and	
Dolphinstone Interchange any additional vehicles on network as a	
result of housing development is likely to have a significant impact	
and therefore contributions should be sought accordingly to provide	
appropriate mitigation. There is no foot way to east of site and	
consideration would be required on how pedestrians cross the	
B1361 safely to head east. School catchments could have a bearing	
on this desire line.	
The site is served by Roseberry Water Treatment Works and Seafield	
Waste Water Treatment Works, which both have available capacity.	
The site is within the catchment area of Wallyford Primary School	
which has no capacity, is to be replaced and its ability to expand is	
subject to an emerging solution. The site is within the catchment	
area of Musselburgh Grammar High School which is subject to	
potential options for increasing education capacity in the	
Musselburgh cluster.	
Part of the site is within the Coal Mining Development High Risk	
Area and a Coal Mining Risk Assessment may be required for any	
redevelopment. It is not known whether ground conditions would	
pose any constraint to redevelopment. It has not yet been	
established whether there is sufficient infrastructure capacity to	
serve the development and what the timescales would be for	
achieving this.	
	objectives see 'Potential Impacts of Development: SEA'. The site is currently in use as an auction house and has existing access points onto the B1361 which could be used. Given the number of houses proposed in the area and the associated impacts on Musselburgh Town Centre, the Salters Rd interchange and Dolphinstone Interchange any additional vehicles on network as a result of housing development is likely to have a significant impact and therefore contributions should be sought accordingly to provide appropriate mitigation. There is no foot way to east of site and consideration would be required on how pedestrians cross the B1361 safely to head east. School catchments could have a bearing on this desire line. The site is served by Roseberry Water Treatment Works and Seafield Waste Water Treatment Works, which both have available capacity. The site is within the catchment area of Wallyford Primary School which has no capacity, is to be replaced and its ability to expand is subject to an emerging solution. The site is within the catchment area of Musselburgh Grammar High School which is subject to potential options for increasing education capacity in the Musselburgh cluster. Part of the site is within the Coal Mining Development High Risk Area and a Coal Mining Risk Assessment may be required for any redevelopment. It is not known whether ground conditions would pose any constraint to redevelopment. It has not yet been established whether there is sufficient infrastructure capacity to serve the development and what the timescales would be for





POTENTIAL IMPA	CTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA	
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international,	0*
Flora and Fauna	national or local nature conservation importance. The development	
	would not result in the loss of protected trees or woodland. Curlew,	
	Oystercatcher and redshank have been recorded in this tetrad, so	
	the site should be considered for HRA.	
Population	The site's proposed other use would not provide housing or an	?
	element of affordable housing though may provide jobs. The site has	
	good access to public transport and active travel links.	
Human Health	The site is not known to be contaminated. It is not located in	o/?
	proximity to any core paths or cycle routes. It is in relatively close	
	proximity to open space adjacent to Wallyford station. The site's	
	development could exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh	
	town centre.	
Soil	The site is mapped as prime quality agricultural land, however it is	0
	previously developed so its redevelopment would not result in any	
	further loss of this resource. It would not result in the loss of rare or	
	carbon rich soils.	
Water	SEPA advises that there is surface water issues adjacent to the site	?/-
	and it would require a Flood Risk Assessment to examine the risk	
	from the Ravenshaugh Burn, which flows along the eastern	
	boundary of the site. The developable area and/or development	
	type may be constrained due to flood risk. Consideration will need	
	to be given to bridge and culvert structures upstream and	
	downstream of the site. Capacity for discharge to the water	
	environment needs to be explored. There are opportunities for	
	development to provide 1st time sewerage provision. Existing waste	
	water discharges on site could investigate connecting to 1st time	
	sewerage provision as well. Kinwegar Civic Amenity and recycling	
	centre which is adjacent to the site and also dischares waste water	
	into the Ravenhaugh Burn.	
Air	The site is not affected by existing sources of air pollution. The site	o/-
	is in a location with good access to local facilities, active travel and	
	public transport accessibility so the need to travel by car is	
	minimised. Yet, realistically the development of the site would result	
	in an increase in car travel and the site's development could	
	exacerbate air quality problems in Musselburgh town centre by	
	increasing vehicular traffic.	
Climatic Factors	Wallyford is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and	o/-
	closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian	
	settlements, and therefore development of this site would be	
	focusing development in the most accessible and sustainable	
	locations. The site is well positioned to access public transport as	
	well as local facilities and services. Realistically, however,	
	development on the site would still lead to some increase in car-	
	based journeys and resultant greenhouse gas emissions. The site's	
	north facing aspect does not lend itself to development that is	
	resource efficient through siting (i.e. solar gain).	
Matarial Assata	The site is provided by developed as its redevelopment would make	
Material Assets	The site is previously developed so its redevelopment would make	0

Cultural	There are no listed buildings within the site boundary and it is not	0
Heritage	within a Conservation Area. The site is within the designated Pinkie	
	battlefield. Historic Environment Scotland advises that a change of	
	use of the site would not raise issues of national significance.	
Landscape	The site is within the Edinburgh Green Belt, to the north of	o/+
	Wallyford and between Musselburgh and Prestonpans. Given that	
	the site is currently developed its redevelopment for other uses	
	would be unlikely to result in significant landscape impacts, provided	
	that these were in scale with adjacent cottages, in the form of single	
	to one and a half storey in height, thus retaining the ridge heights at	
	similar heights to the existing buildings and the existing boundary	
	treatments are retained.	

SITE INFORMATION	N Contraction of the second
Торіс	Comments
Site Name	Land east of Wallyford Toll
Site Ref	MIR/PP/OTH018
Source of Site	Whitehall Lodges UK (Ltd)
Suggestion	
Site Size (ha)	3.1
Current Use	Agricultual
Proposed Use	Holiday accommodation
Summary	A greenfield site currently in agricultural use, located to the northeast of
Description and	Wallyford toll roundabout.
Planning History	



Ordnance Survey Copyright. OS Licence 100023381 Date: 04 June 2015



Ordnance Survey Copyright. OS Licence 100023381 Date: 04 June 2015

SUITABILITY AN	D DELIVERABILITY OF SITE	
Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is outside the settlement boundary of Wallyford and is not	
	well related to it.	
Accessibility	The site is in reasonable proximity of Wallyford, whose overall	
	accessibility via public transport to the wider city region and key	
	employment locations as well as health and retail facilities ranks	
	second among other settlements in East Lothian, behind only	
	Musselburgh. The site is within 400m of bus stops located at the	
	B1361 and at the A199, with services running to Musselburgh,	
	Edinburgh, North Berwick, Haddington and others. The site is within	
	800m of Wallyford Rail Station. The site is within 1600m of local	
	services and facilities which include shops and the local Primary	
	School.	
Exposure	The site is relatively sheltered from northerly winds in the northeast	
	due to an existing tree belt but does not benefit from shelter in its	
	western part.	
Aspect	The site has a northerly aspect.	
Suitability for	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use. There could be	
Proposed Use	amenity conflicts arising from the proximity of the proposed	
	development to business uses (including the recycling and civic	
	amenity centre to the south) and the East Coast Main Line.	
	Mitigation measures may be required.	
Fit with	The site is within the East Lothian SDA as identified by SESplan and	

	1	
strategic policy	its development would therefore align well with strategic policy	
objectives and	objectives of steering new development towards the most	
direction	sustainable locations within the city region. It is within the Green	
	Belt and conflict may arise with green belt objectives. For	
	assessment against other more detailed policy objectives see	
	'Potential Impacts of Development: SEA'.	
Physical	The site has existing access points onto the B1361 at West Lodge	
infrastructure	which could be utilised. Given the amount of development	
capacity	proposed in the area and the associated impacts on Musselburgh	
	Town Centre, the Salters Rd interchange and Dolphinstone	
	Interchange any additional vehicles on network as a result of	
	development is likely to have a significant impact and therefore	
	contributions should be sought accordingly to provide appropriate	
	mitigation. There is no foot way along site frontage and to east of	
	site and consideration would be required on how pedestrians cross	
	the B1361 safely to head east. Speed limit reductions along site	
	frontage would be necessary.	
	The site would be served by Roseberry Water Treatment Works	
	which has available capacity. There is no waste water treatment	
	works within the vicinity.	
Service	School catchments and other service infrastructure are not relevant	
infrastructure	to the proposed use for holiday accommodation.	
capacity		
Deliverability/	The site is within the Coal Mining Development High Risk Area and a	
Effectiveness	Coal Mining Risk Assessment may be required. It has not yet been	
	established whether there is sufficient infrastructure capacity to	
	serve the development and what the timescales would be for	
	achieving this.	







POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA		
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity,	The site is not within any areas designated for their international,	o/?*
Flora and Fauna	national or local nature conservation importance. Curlew,	
	Oystercatcher and redshank have been recorded in this tetrad, so	
	the site should be considered for HRA. The site is bounded to the	
	northeast by protected trees but development would be unlikely to	
	affect these. Woodland to the north and east is priority habitat;	
	there may be opportunities to improve habitat connectivity.	
Population	The site's development for holiday accommodation would be	0
	unlikely to have significant impacts on the existing resident	
	population in the area.	
Human Health	The site is not known to be contaminated. It is not well related to	o/?
	the core path network but there may be opportunities for additional	
	linkages and networks to be made to improve active travel in the	
	area. The site's development could exacerbate air quality problems	
	in Musselburgh town centre.	
Soil	The development of the site would result in some loss of prime	-
	agricultural land (class 1). It would not result in the loss of rare or	
	carbon rich soils.	
Water	Parts of the site are at risk of surface water flooding. SEPA advises	?/-
	that a Flood Risk Assessment would be required, which should	
	assess the risk from the Ravenshaugh Burn on the western boundary	
	of the site. Capacity for discharge to the water environment needs	

	to be explored. In relation to co-location issues Kinwegar Civic	
	Amenity and recycling centre adjacent to the site also discharges waste water into the Ravenhaugh Burn.	
Air	The site is not affected by existing sources of air pollution.	o/-
	Development of the site for holiday accommodation would be likely	
	to result in an increase in car travel and could exacerbate air quality	
	problems in Musselburgh town centre by increasing vehicular traffic.	
Climatic Factors	Wallyford is in a highly accessible location in regional terms and	o/-
	closer to major centres of employment than most other East Lothian	
	settlements, and therefore development of this site would be	
	focusing development towards the most accessible and sustainable	
	locations. Realistically, however, development on the site would still	
	lead to some increase in car-based journeys and resultant	
	greenhouse gas emissions. The site's north facing aspect does not	
	lend itself to development that is resource efficient through siting	
	(i.e. solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not result in an efficient use of land	-
	and resources, being greenfield land of prime agricultural quality.	
Cultural	There are no listed buildings within the site boundary and it is not	Ο
Heritage	within a Conservation Area. The site is within the designated Pinkie	
	battlefield. Historic Environment Scotland advises that its	
	development would not raise issues of national significance.	
Landscape	The site is within the Edinburgh Green Belt, between Wallyford and	
	Prestonpans and is an open area of agricultural land between the	
	Edinburgh to London east coast rail line and the B1361. Bounded to	
	the east by trees protected by tree preservation order number 14 –	
	Kinnegar. The site is highly visible in views from the B1361 east	
	bound and also from the Edinburgh to London east coast rail line.	
	To the east is a narrow strip of woodland providing a degree of	
	screening from the road. The site is in close proximity to the	
	proposed extension to Prestonpans directly to the east beyond the	
	narrow woodland strip, there is also existing development to the	
	south (Kinwegar civic amenity and recycling facility) and to the west	
	(Charles Phillips auction house). Its development would contribute	
	strongly towards settlement coalescence and would represent an	
	incursion into the green belt and open countryside, which could lead	
	to impacts on the separate identities and landscape settings of	
	nearby settlements, particularly in combination with other potential	
	development sites.	

SITE INFORMATION		
Торіс	Comments	
Site Name	Land at Old Craighall Junction Musselburgh	
Site Ref	PM/MH/BUS003	
Source of Site		
Suggestion		
Site Size (ha)	5ha	
Current Use	Agricultural	
Proposed Use	Business	
Summary	A greenfield site located immediately adjacent to Old Craighall junction,	
Description	where the A720 Edinburgh City Bypass meets the A1. The site is to the north	
	of Old Craighall Juntion.	





0 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 kilometres

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. (C) Crown Copyright and database right 2011. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey license number 100023381.

Торіс	Assessment	Score
Location	The site is not within an existing settlement boundary. It is to the northeast of Old Craighall but separated from it by the A1. It does not relate particularly well to the existing settlement. The site is already allocated for built development (employment use) in the current Local Plan.	
Accessibility	Old Craighall is in close proximity to Musselburgh, whose overall accessibility via public transport to the wider city region and key employment locations as well as health and retail facilities ranks highest among other settlements in East Lothian. The site itself is within 400m of bus stops on Old Craighall Road with a modest level of service between Musselburgh, ERI, Dalkeith, Tranent and Port Seton. It is not within 800m of a rail station although Musselburgh station is within walkable distance (around 1700m). There are no facilities within Old Craighall but there is a local shop within 1600m. Musselburgh town centre is around 2.5km away, with a wide range of facilities and services. Importantly for a proposed business use, the site is very close to the trunk road network. Potential for site to provide active travel link from East Lothian to Edinburgh along its north boundary.	
Exposure	The site has some shelter from northerly winds from the trees to the north.	
Aspect	The site faces north-east.	
Suitability for	The site is physically suitable for the proposed use. There may be	

Proposed Use	noise impacts which arise from the proximity of the A1 to the south	
	of the site. Mitigation measures may be required. However as the	
	proposed use is business rather than residential concerns over	
	amenity are not raised.	
Fit with local/	Although within the South East Edinburgh SDA as identified within	
strategic policy	SESplan, the site is outwith a main settlement that provides a wide	
objectives and	range of facilities and services. It is, however, in close proximity to	
direction	Musselburgh. Its development would therefore align quite well with	
	strategic policy objectives of steering new development towards the	
	most sustainable locations within the city region. For assessment	
	against other policy objectives see 'Potential Impacts of	
	Development: SEA'.	
Physical	Access to the site can physically be achieved. There are traffic	
infrastructure	capacity constraints within Musselburgh and the surrounding road	
capacity	network (including Old Craighall Junction) and further consideration	
	is required to establish how these might be mitigated. The site is	
	served by Glencorse Water Treatment Works and Seafield Waste	
	Water Treatment Works, which both have available capacity.	
Service	School catchments and other service infrastructure are not relevant	
infrastructure	to the proposed use for business.	
capacity		
Deliverability/	Most of the site is within the Coal Mining Development High Risk	
Effectiveness	Area and a Coal Mining Risk Assessment is therefore likely to be	
	required. It is not known whether ground conditions would pose	
	any constraints to development. It has not yet been established	
	whether there is sufficient infrastructure capacity to serve the	
	development and what the timescales would be for achieving this.	





POTENTIAL IMPA	CTS OF DEVELOPMENT: SEA	
Торіс	Comments	Score
Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna	The site is not within any areas designated for their international, national or local nature conservation interest. It is, however, around 2.2 km from the Firth of Forth SPA/Ramsar site. There are records of SPA birds using the area, there is suitable habitat on the site, and there is potential connectivity to the SPA, therefore the site should be screened in for consideration through the Habitats Regulations	o/?*
	Appraisal (HRA) process at this stage. Development of the site may present opportunities to improve habitat connectivity. There are no protected trees on or near the site.	
Population	The site's development would widen employment opportunities for the local population. It is reasonably accessible by active travel or public transport. Could provide active travel route to link East Lothian to Edinburgh.	+
Human Health	There is no known contamination within the site. It has access to existing open space within village. Access to the core path network is poor although a footpath runs by the roadside into Musselburgh and there are other roads/tracks nearby that could be used for recreation.	ο
Soil	The development of the site would result in some loss of class 1 prime agricultural land. There are no rare or carbon rich soils on this site.	-
Water	Parts of the site are at risk of flooding from the Cairny Burn, which flows through the site. SEPA maintains a level only gauging station upstream of the site. SEPA requires a FRA to assess the flood risk from the burn as well as drains that flow along the northern boundary. Consideration should be given to upstream/ downstream culverts which may exacerbate flood risk. A buffer strip would be required around the burn. Assessment of impacts of coal mine grouting on the water environment must be assessed.	?
Air	Development on the site would not be affected by existing sources	o/-

	of air pollution. The site is reasonably accessible by active travel and	
	public transport, however it is likely that its development would	
	increase travel by private car.	
Climatic Factors	The site is in close proximity to Musselburgh, which is in a highly	o/-
	accessible location in regional terms and closer to major centres of	
	employment than most other East Lothian settlements, and	
	therefore development of this site would be focusing development	
	towards the most accessible and sustainable locations. The site is	
	well positioned to access public transport, active travel routes and	
	some local facilities and services. Realistically, however, its	
	development for employment adjacent to the A1 and A720 would	
	be likely to increase travel by car, with resultant greenhouse gas	
	emissions. However, there may also be some reduction in the	
	distance travelled for some workers if they are currently commuting	
	out of East Lothian. The site's north-east facing aspect does not lend	
	itself to development that is resource efficient through siting (i.e.	
Natovial Assata	solar gain).	
Material Assets	The site's development would not make efficient use of land and	-
	resources, being greenfield land of prime agricultural quality.	(2)
Cultural	The site is partially within the designated Pinkie battlefield and a	o/?
Heritage	Scheduled Monument is on the other side of the A1. However, the	
	site is not considered to contribute strongly towards understanding	
	of the battlefield landscape and there is considered to be low	
	potential for unknown archaeological remains.	
Landscape	The site is visually well-contained to the north, east , south and west	o/-/?
	by the presence of tree belts. The A1 is to the south and east and	
	the B6415 road is to the west. There is a golf course is to the north	
	but separated from the site by a tree belt. The site is more open to	
	the west where it is adjacent to Old Craighall Road. A burn crosses	
	the south east corner of the site, flanked by trees, separating it into	
	two agricultural fields. The site is clearly visible from the A1 to the	
	north, where it lies below the road level. Open views across the site	
	from here extend to Old Craighall village and the distant Pentland	
	hills. The site is currently within the green belt. Its development	
	would represent a change of character from open agricultural land	
	and it would be prominent in views from the A1, however the	
	relative visual containment of the site means it would not be seen as	
	an intrusion into the wider landscape. It would not in itself result in	
	settlement coalescence. If noise mitigation measures are required,	
	they may have an impact upon the landscape and/or surrounding	
	views from the A720 and the A1. This site could serve to provide	
	some wider green network connectivity.	
	some mas breather of the source of the sourc	

St Baldred's Cradle

Tyne Mouth

Versions of this document can be supplied in Braille, large print, on audiotape or in your own language. Please phone Customer Services on 01620 827199.

BAR

How to contact us

 \bigcirc

Policy & Projects Development Partnerships and Services for Communities East Lothian Council John Muir House Haddington EH41 3HA

www.eastlothian.gov.uk/ldp www.eastlothianconsultations.co.uk ldp@eastlothian.gov.uk

Dunbar Common